Decision Scorecard

for Options Selected by the California Fish and Game Commission in the Integrated Preferred Alternative for the MLPA South Coast Study Region on December 15, 2010

Public Safety	Laguna Beach-Crystal Cove-Dana
Option 1: YES- Add a general	Point complex)
provision	☐ Option 1: Use IPA shape for Laguna Beach; change to non-fishing SMCA
Option 2: NO- Do not add a general provision	
Decision 2: Campus Point SMR	Option 3: Modify southern boundary
☐ Option 1: NO- Do not add an allowance for pre-existing activities; retain SMR designation.	to exclude the pipe Option 4: Use southern boundary in Option 3, and also modify northern boundary
	☐ Option 5: Use Option 4 nearshore and extend from shore out to state waters boundary.
Decision 3: Point Dume SMCA	Decision O. Lemma Basel CMD (and
Option 1: NO- Do not add an	Decision 8: Laguna Beach SMR (and Laguna Beach- Dana Point complex)
allowance for pre-existing activities.	☐ NO: Do not add allowance for
Option 2: YES- Do add an allowance	operations at Aliso Beach
for pre-existing activities.	
Decision 4: Arrow Point to Lion Head (Catalina Island) SMCA	Decision 9: Robert E. Badham SMCA (and
Option 1: Retain boundaries (distance from shore).	Robert E. Badham-Crystal Cove complex)
Option 2: Use straight lines between coordinates.	
Decision 5: Casino Point (Catalina Island) and Lover's Cove (Catalina Island)	Option 2: Divide Crystal Cove area into two MPAs and retain Robert E.Badham name
SMCAs	Decision 10: Crystal Cove SMCA
Option 1: Do not allow the feeding of fish.	Option A: Allow commercial take as
Option 2: Allow for the feeding of fish.	proposed in the IPA
Decision 6: Upper Newport Bay SMCA	Option B: Prohibit commercial take
Option 1: NO- Do not limit restrictions on swimming, boating & access to	Decision 11: Crystal Cove (and Robert E. Badham) and Dana Point
Ecological Reserve	No: Do not add language that take is
Option 2: YES- Do limit restrictions on	prohibited within tidepools
swimming, boating & access to Ecological Reserve	▼YES: Add clarifying language that take is prohibited within tidepools.

Decision Adoption Scorecard Fish and Game Commission Meeting, December 2010 Page 2 of 2

designation of Matlahuayl as SMR.

Decision 12: Laguna Beach SMR/SMCA	Decision 17: South La Jolla SMR/SMCA
NO: Do not remove restrictions to anchoring and boat launching and retrieval	Option 1: Retain boundaries as proposed in IPA.
☑ YES: Do remove restrictions to anchoring and boat launching and retrieval	Option 2: Move northern boundary one city block north (to Palomar Ave) to enclose intertidal reef.
Decision 13: Dana Point SMCA	Option 3: Move southern boundary
Option A: Remove existing restrictions	one city block south (to Missouri Street)
on entry, and scientific collecting oversight by the director of the Dana Point SMCA.	Option 4: Move both northern and southern boundaries
Option B: Retain existing restrictions on entry, and scientific collecting oversight around the Dana Point Headlands part of the SMCA.	Decision 18: Refugio SMCA
Decision 14: Swami's SMCA	Option 2: Retain the existing MPA and regulations at Refugio.
Option 1: IPA coordinates.	rogalationio at reoragio.
Option 2: Move northern boundary north to the Cottonwood Creek mouth.	Decision 19: Doheny Beach SMCA Option 1: Removes the existing MPA at Doheny Beach as proposed in the IPA.
Option 3: Move southern boundary south to align with Parks beach boundary.	
Option 4: Move northern and southern boundaries.	Doption 2: Retain the existing MPA and regulations at Doheny Beach.
Decision 15. Swami's SMCA	
Option A: Do not change fishing regulations proposed in IPA.	
Option B: Add recreational shore-based fishing with hook and line gear	
Decision 16: San Diego-Scripps Coastal SMCA and Matlahuayl SMR cluster	
☐ Option 1: Retain shared IPA boundary between MPAs; changes designation of Matlahuayl to SMCA.	
Option 2: Move shared boundary to below base of Scripps Pier; retains	