

# Key Outcomes Memorandum

**Date:** October 15, 2008

**To:** Members, MLPA South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group

**From:** Scott McCreary and Rebecca Tuden, CONCUR, Inc.

**Re:** Key Outcomes Memorandum – October 6 - 7, 2008 Meeting

**cc:** MLPA Initiative staff and contractors, California Department of Fish and Game  
MLPA Staff, California Department of Parks and Recreation staff (collectively known as the I-Team)

---

## Executive Summary – Key Outcomes

On October 6 – 7, 2008 the Marine Life Protection Act (MLPA) Initiative South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group (SCRSG) participated in its kick-off meeting in El Segundo, CA. **Key outcomes** from the meeting are as follows:

- After thoughtful discussion, SCRSG members unanimously adopted a revised set of ground rules. SCRSG members modified four draft ground rules addressing media contact, decision rules, coordination between primary and alternate members and commitment to ground rules were modified during the meeting. One ground rule on e-mail communications was added.
- MLPA Initiative staff (I-Team) provided an overview of the SCRSG's roles, charge, and workplan.
- I-Team staff provided a comparison of the Marine Protected Area (MPA) planning efforts for the Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary, and the MLPA central coast, north central coast and south coast processes. SCRSG members expressed appreciation for the lessons learned from the earlier processes and that the MLPA South Coast Study Region would have an improved transparent, robust and collaborative process.
- I-Team staff introduced the draft *Regional Profile of the MLPA South Coast Study Region (Point Conception to the California/Mexico border) dated September 15, 2008*. SCRSG members were asked to provide input and comment on the document to the MLPA Initiative Team (I-Team) by October 31. I-Team members clarified that this document strives to convey the best readily available science and information about the study region and is expected to be the basis for joint fact-finding between the SCRSG, staff, and the Science Advisory Team (SAT). Significant portions of the next two SCRSG meetings will be devoted to continued "joint fact-finding" to improve baseline information that will inform the SCRSG's deliberations.
- I-Team staff provided an introduction to the SCRSG on regional goals and objectives for the study region. Development of south coast regional goals and objectives will be a key focus for the next SCRSG meeting
- SCRSG members participated in two breakout session activities to become better acquainted with each other's interests, hopes, concerns, and knowledge of the region.

Key **next steps** are listed in section III below.

## **Meeting Objectives, Participants and Materials**

On October 6 – 7, 2008, the MLPA South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group (SCRSG) participated in a meeting in El Segundo, CA. This Key Outcomes Memorandum summarizes the meeting's main results.

The primary objectives of the meeting were to:

- 1) Review project goals, SCRSG charge, and work plan
- 2) Review and adopt proposed SCRSG ground rules
- 3) Review key findings of the stakeholder assessment and implications for the south coast process
- 4) Initiate review of the draft regional profile
- 5) Initiate discussion of the draft regional goals and objectives and
- 6) Outline preparations for the second SCRSG meeting

Fifty-three SCRSG members (primary and alternates) participated in the meeting.

MLPA Blue Ribbon Task Force (BRTF) members Don Benninghoven (Chair), Gregory Schem, and William Anderson each attended portions of the meeting.

MLPA Master Plan SAT members Dan Robinette and Larry Allen attended portions of the meeting.

MLPA Initiative, California Department of Parks and Recreation (State Parks), and California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) staff—collectively known as the “I-Team”—staffed the meeting.

Meeting materials may be found on the MLPA website at:  
[http://www.dfg.ca.gov/mlpa/meeting\\_100608.asp](http://www.dfg.ca.gov/mlpa/meeting_100608.asp)

## **II. Key Outcomes**

### **A. Welcome and Introductions**

Ken Wiseman, executive director of the MLPA Initiative and Becky Ota, acting manager of CDFG's Marine Region Habitat Conservation Program, welcomed the SCRSG members to the MPA evaluation and redesign process. They described the public-private partnership between the CDFG, California Resources Agency and Resources Legacy Fund Foundation and how the goal is to have a transparent, robust, respectful process with extensive public involvement. It was also noted that the SCRSG appointment process is not yet completed and the chair of the BRTF and the director of CDFG will announce other appointments as they are made.

## B. Project Background: Review of SCRSG Roles, Responsibilities and Work Plan

### SCRSG Roles and Responsibilities and Participants

I-Team staff presented an overview of the roles, responsibilities, and charge of the SCRSG. This included an overview of the other participants involved in the process including the MLPA Initiative, CDFG, the BRTF, the SAT, the California Fish and Game Commission and the public. I-Team staff also reiterated that the SCRSG is not to debate the merits of the MLPA or Marine Protected Areas as a tool and is not required to meet specific targets for area or percent coverage for MPAs in the study region.

I-Team members outlined the work plan and schedule by which the SCRSG will accomplish its charge. Key upcoming MLPA meeting dates include the following:

<b>Dates</b>	<b>Meeting</b>
November 4, 2008	BRTF (Los Angeles)
November 12, 2008	South Coast SAT (Los Angeles)
November 18 – 19, 2008	SCRSG (Ventura)
December 10, 2008	BRTF (Sacramento, in conjunction with the California Fish and Game Commission)
December 17, 2008	SAT (location TBD)
January 13 – 14, 2009	SCRSG (San Diego area)

### Reimbursement Procedures

I-Team staff reminded SCRSG members that they will be reimbursed for travel and lodging associated with SCRSG meetings. SCRSG members are to keep their receipts and submit the formal reimbursements forms directly to the Resources Legacy Fund Foundation. I-Team staff noted that the reimbursement amount is a fixed budget and that it assumes travel by car or rail and lodging at the identified locations. If SCRSG members wish to use more expensive travel arrangements then that is done at their own expense. It was also noted that a small stipend will be available for those SCRSG members who are not compensated in some other way for their attendance at the publicly noticed SCRSG meetings and work sessions.

### Dissemination of Meeting Materials

I-Team staff noted that meeting materials are available in multiple formats (i.e., hard copy, emailed electronic files, electronic files on CDs). Meeting materials will be sent in Fed-Ex packages unless SCRSG members indicate otherwise.

All SCRSG members (primaries and alternates) will be added to the SCRSG listserv. This listserv is a primary communications tool for the SCRSG.

### **C. Review and Discuss Findings from Past MLPA Study Regions and Channel Island MPA Process**

I-Team staff gave a presentation comparing past planning efforts, process choices and results of the Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary MPA planning process and the central and north central coast study regions of the MLPA Initiative effort. SCRSG members noted that effort in the SCRSG already felt balanced and collaborative with improved interaction with the SAT members.

There was also a question regarding the existing Channel Islands MPAs and whether they were “on the table” for the SCRSG to evaluate and potentially revise. I-Team staff indicated that the BRTF and California Fish and Game Commission will be providing guidance to the SCRSG on how the Channel Islands MPAs will be considered in the MLPA South Coast Study Region effort. It was noted that the Channel Islands MPAs and associated monitoring data are being reviewed by the California Fish and Game Commission at its December meeting. The Channel Islands MPAs are currently being evaluated by the MLPA SAT to determine how they meet the goals of the MLPA.

### **D. Ground Rules Reviewed, Revised, and Adopted**

SCRSG members reviewed, discussed, revised, and adopted a set of ground rules to guide SCRSG interaction and meetings. The adopted ground rules are included as Attachment 1.

This discussion built on a presentation by CONCUR of the main findings and cross-cutting themes from the interviews held with stakeholders over the summer.

SCRSG members commented on the contributions, participation and coordination between alternates and primary members. Several members suggested that the ground rules be changed to clarify that alternates are expected to participate fully in developing MPA proposals but that for time management of plenary sessions, discussions may be limited. Some members suggested that the term “alternates” does not accurately reflect the more cooperative relationship envisioned between primary and alternate members and that the term be changed to “partners”. Staff will discuss this issue with the director of the CDFG and chair of the BRTF.

Another focus of discussion was the consequence associated with breaking the ground rules and what was the ultimate standard and process for an SCRSG member to lose his or her appointment. The ground rules were modified to clarify grounds for dismissal and to indicate that a meeting with the offending SCRSG member would be held to discuss the consequences.

SCRSG members also considered the decision rules and clarified that they are based on striving for “broad-based agreement” on MPA proposals and not unanimity. The ground rules were modified to reflect this decision rule.

In addition, the SCRSG modified the language on media contact to reflect that the burden for following up on a story will rest with the reporter, not the SCRSG member. Lastly, a ground rule was added to clarify and provide guidance on listserv and e-mail communication among SCRSG members.

## E. Stakeholder Assessment

The facilitation team gave a presentation on the information gleaned from the interviews with stakeholders. Shared interests included a desire to strike a balance between utilization and protection of the resources, a desire to minimize negative socio-economic impacts and a long-term goal of preserving a healthy marine ecosystem for future generations.

These shared interests help to form the basis for creating a collaborative and integrative process for redesigning MPAs in the study region.

## Questions and clarifications

Throughout the meeting, SCRSG members asked clarifying questions and provided comments regarding the SCRSG's role and charge. Key comments from SCRSG members included the following:

- The intrinsic value and positive economic benefits of non-consumptive uses should be considered in the MLPA effort. I-Team staff noted that there is a socio-economic evaluation but it is limited and does not include an economic estimation of the value of non-consumptive uses.
- One SCRSG member noted that the use of the term “depleted” within the draft regional profile is incorrect and would apply to all species in the study region.
- Concerns about management, enforceability and long-term monitoring of MPAs were raised as important issues. It was noted that enforcement is an essential tool and should have adequate resources devoted to this piece of MPA implementation. CDFG staff noted that its feasibility guidance and evaluation of the MPA proposals will consider longer term issues of management and enforcement.
- Sustained public outreach is needed to involve and educate the coastal users.
- Concerns about protection of human artifacts and multi-cultural values of the ocean environment should be considered in the MPA design process.

## F. Introduction to Draft Regional Profile for the South Coast Study Region

I-Team staff presented the draft *Regional Profile for the MLPA South Coast Study Region (Point Conception to the California/Mexico border)* dated September 15, 2008.

SCRSG members asked whether this document was to be considered the final word on the study region. I-Team staff clarified that the regional profile is a “living document.” Initial public comments have been requested by October 31, 2008. It is expected that the SCRSG will continue to review and propose revisions to the regional profile in a “joint fact-finding” capacity at its November 18-19, 2008 meeting. I-Team staff noted that there would likely be a SCRSG work group formed to spearhead revisions to the regional profile. The goal is to complete revisions to the document to support initial SCRSG work to develop MPA proposals. The regional profile is posted to the MLPA website and copies on CD are available by request

Staff noted that species likely to benefit from MPAs and subregional summaries are not yet completed, but will be incorporated in the next draft of the regional profile. In addition, additional information is currently being collected, including data regarding substrate types, commercial and recreational fishing, and other human uses in the study region. Draft products conveying these additional data sources will be presented at the next SCRSG meeting. I-Team staff requested that SCRSG members review the draft regional profile with an eye toward providing the following types of information:

- What information needs to be added to the regional profile?
- What additional sources of information or data sets are available?
- Review, comment, and make corrections
- Provide additional information to complete the sub regional summaries

To the extent possible, SCRSG members were asked to key their suggested edits to specific sections of the draft regional profile.

### **G. Evaluation of Existing MPAs and the MPA Planning Process**

I-Team staff provided a description of the 43 marine protected areas that currently exist within the south coast study region and an overview of the iterative process that will be used to evaluate and develop MPA proposals. Staff also provided a description of how the SCRSG receives input from the SAT on evaluation of proposed MPAs and in responding to science questions. Detailed evaluation guidance is being developed by the SAT, CDFG, I-Team and State Parks staff and will be presented at the next SCRSG meeting. These evaluation tools, along with policy guidance from the BRTF and public input will be used to guide the SCRSG in crafting MPA proposals. I-Team staff also provided an overview of how science questions are presented and reviewed by the SAT.

### **H. Introduction to Regional Goals and Objectives**

I-Team staff presented a brief overview regional goals and objectives and reminded the SCRSG members that one major task of the group is to recommend regional goals and objectives for the south coast study region. Examples of the goals and objectives adopted in the north central and central coast study regions are available on the MLPA website. CDFG staff will provide additional guidance on developing regional goals and objectives at the November 18 – 19 SCRSG meeting.

### **I. Breakout Sessions**

SCRSG members participated in two breakout sessions. The first session focused on sharing interests and expertise in the south coast study region. The second focused on sharing hopes and challenges for the south coast process as well as possible ways to meet those challenges. The discussion of individual breakout groups was reported back to the full plenary.

Collectively, SCRSG members bring an exceptional base of knowledge and experience that extends throughout the study region, spans generations of use, and includes both consumptive and non-consumptive activities. There was also significant overlap in the

interests, hopes, and concerns expressed by SCRSG members. Major interests focused on ensuring an open-minded and collaborative process and all SCRSG members hoped for a process that provided a balance for all interests in the study region and provided long-term sustainability for the ocean resources. In addition, there was much discussion about future efforts to ensure effective implementation and the importance of recognizing, appreciating the diversity and multicultural uses within the study region.

Among the contributions SCRSG members offered to make are: conveying their uses of ocean resources clearly and honestly to fellow SCRSG members, sharing their experience, helping to shape relevant information, and negotiating in good faith. SCRSG members identified several strategies for the I-Team, including supporting them in including their constituents, providing information in a timely way, and responding effectively to questions posed by SCRSG members.

#### **J. Public comment**

Members of the public provided comment and asked clarifying questions during two separate public comment periods. Comments included: a request to make the MLPA website more public friendly and to translate information into multiple languages, concerns about the MLPA effort and impact on commercial fisheries, identification of beach replenishment as a major issue in San Diego and Orange counties and information on a volunteer citizen-scientist research program (ReefCheck). A member from the MLPA North Central Coast Regional Stakeholder Group also encouraged the SCRSG in its efforts for cross-interest deliberation.

#### **K. Objectives for SCRSG Meeting #2**

The next SCRSG meeting is scheduled for November 18 – 19, 2008 in the Santa Barbara area (Crowne Plaza Hotel in Ventura, CA). The main objectives for the meeting are to:

- Introduce any newly appointed SCRSG members
- Summarize the key comments received on the draft regional profile and conduct joint fact-finding to further strengthen the document
- Draft and consider adoption of south coast regional goals and objectives.
- Receive informational presentations from staff and SAT members (e.g., habitats, general fisheries information, etc.)
- Present guidelines for developing MPA proposals (e.g., MLPA SAT guidelines, CDFG feasibility guidelines) and preliminary evaluations of the existing south coast MPAs
- Review other information needs and the applicability of additional support tools

### **III. Recap of Next Steps**

#### **A. Key next steps for SCRSG members**

1. SCRSG members wishing to receive meeting materials in a format other than FedEx packages should contact [Kathie.Magnuson@resources.ca.gov](mailto:Kathie.Magnuson@resources.ca.gov) with their request.
2. Review and propose revisions to the draft regional profile for the south coast study region by October 31, 2008. SCRSG members are asked to consider the following:

- What information needs to be added to the regional profile?
- What additional sources of information or data sets are available?
- Make corrections
- Provide additional information to complete the sub regional summaries

#### **B. Key next steps for I-Team staff**

1. Transmit a copy of the adopted ground rules to the SCRSG (attached).
2. Work with the director of CDFG and the chair of the BRTF to appoint the remaining SCRSG members and discuss use of the term “partners” in lieu of primary and alternate members.

#### **Attachments**

1. Adopted SCRSG ground rules (adopted October 7, 2008)
2. Summary matrix of SCRSG members’ interests and expertise



## **Charge, Ground Rules & Decision Process** **MLPA South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group** *(Adopted on October 7, 2008)*

The following document outlines the operating ground rules for the MLPA South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group (SCRSG), including an overview of the SCRSG charge, responsibilities and decision-making process. The ground rules have been informed by confidential interviews conducted with a cross section of the nominees for the SCRSG, including nearly all of the appointed south coast members, as well as CONCUR's professional experience. These ground rules are intended to foster and reinforce constructive interaction and deliberation among SCRSG members; the rules emphasize clear communication, trust building, respect for divergent views, creative thinking, collaborative problem solving, and the pursuit of mutual gains. The SCRSG may decide to reconsider and revise these ground rules if they appear not to be serving the SCRSG process.

### **CHARGE OF THE SOUTH COAST REGIONAL STAKEHOLDER GROUP**

#### **Charge of the South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group (SCRSG)**

- **Charge of the SCRSG.** The charge of the SCRSG is to develop multiple marine protected area (MPA) proposals for consideration by the MLPA Blue Ribbon Task Force (BRTF). In meeting that charge, the SCRSG members have three primary goals to achieve for the south coast study region including: 1) Review and refine the regional profile; 2) Draft and adopt regional goals and objectives and; 3) Draft and refine alternative MPA arrays.

#### **Responsibilities**

- **SCRSG recruitment and selection.** SCRSG members have been appointed by the director of the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) and the chair of the Marine Life Protection Act (MLPA) Initiative BRTF. Taken together, appointments were made to achieve a diversity of stakeholder perspectives, expertise, and geography. SCRSG members were appointed based on their match with, and commitment to fulfilling, the following selection criteria:
  - Able to bring first hand knowledge and perspective to bear on the marine resources of the MLPA South Coast Study Region
  - Able to balance a regional perspective with localized knowledge
  - Willing to express fundamental interests (as opposed to fixed positions) and to clearly convey the interests of one or more important stakeholder groups
  - Capable of working collaboratively, seeking to integrate the interests of a broad range of constituencies
  - Able to access and use an effective communication network to reach stakeholders not attending the public meetings
  - Committed to completing all aspects of the charge of the SCRSG

- **Checking back with constituencies.** SCRSG members have been recruited based upon their ability to ably represent the views of one or more important constituencies. SCRSG members commit to: making themselves available to communicate with interested stakeholder constituents, keeping their constituencies informed of the SCRSG's efforts, and reporting relevant feedback to the SCRSG. In reporting back, SCRSG members will strive to integrate the views of their constituency rather than resorting to a "lowest common denominator" approach. In checking back with their constituencies, SCRSG members will seek to avoid prejudging preliminary proposals still in development by the SCRSG.

## Identifying and Considering Alternative MPA Proposals

- **Iterative Process.** The MLPA South Coast Project has been structured to allow time for developing and deliberating on multiple, alternative MPA proposals. This process will be an iterative one, with time allocated for MLPA Master Plan Science Advisory Team (SAT), BRTF and public review.
- **Proposals.** SCRSG members will be open to proposals from other SCRSG members or from others outside the SCRSG.
- **Goals and Objectives.** As part of their work, SCRSG members will strive to identify and consider alternative MPA proposals. SCRSG members will consider, using best readily available science and information, how each alternative proposal satisfies the goals and objectives established for the MLPA South Coast Study Region. The result of this deliberation is intended to allow the BRTF, California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), and the California Fish and Game Commission to understand how the alternative proposals identified will satisfy the MLPA.
- **Preliminary Proposals.** SCRSG work teams may develop preliminary MPA proposals, which should be regarded as tentative and not subject to broad distribution until they have been presented to the SCRSG.

## Information Sharing and Joint Fact-Finding

- **Information Gathering and Sharing.** MLPA Initiative and CDFG staff intend to create multiple opportunities for data sharing and joint fact-finding within the SCRSG. Joint fact-finding refers to a process where stakeholders are able to help identify information needs and questions for analysis, deliberations of scientific advisors are transparent, data are pooled to support better informed recommendations, and a serious effort is made to identify and narrow sources of scientific disagreement. SCRSG members are encouraged to be as specific as possible in identifying types of information they believe will support the development of work products, including alternative proposals of MPAs. This information may include a mix of peer-reviewed studies, other scientific studies, field notes from researchers, and first hand knowledge from resource users. Accordingly, SCRSG members are encouraged to contribute their own first hand knowledge to support the work of the SCRSG.
- **Best Readily Available information.** SCRSG members recognize that the MLPA South Coast Project relies on using the best readily available information. Tentative information will be treated as such. Development of MPA arrays will not be delayed in order to fill any perceived data gaps.

- **Handling Apparently Conflicting Data or Analysis.** In the event that two or more data sets or interpretations appear to conflict, participants will work collaboratively with members of the SAT Sub-Team to the South Coast Project to narrow or clarify the basis of disagreement. The BRTF may also offer policy guidance on how to address and resolve disagreements over data or analysis.

## **GROUND RULES**

### **Participation and Collaboration**

- **Active, focused participation.** Every participant is responsible for communicating his/her perspectives and interests on the issues under consideration. Voicing these perspectives is essential to enable meaningful dialogue. Everyone will participate; no one will dominate. Only one person will speak at a time. Everyone will come to the meetings prepared, and help keep the meetings on track.
- **Respectful interaction.** Participants will respect each other's personal integrity, divergent viewpoints, values and legitimacy of interests. Participants will listen courteously while others are speaking. Participants will refrain from using deliberate misinformation, any personal attacks or stereotyping.
- **Integration and creative thinking.** In developing, reviewing and revising work products, participants will strive to be open-minded and to integrate each other's ideas, perspectives and interests. Disagreements will be regarded as problems to be solved rather than battles to be won. Participants will attempt to reframe contentious issues and offer creative solutions to enable constructive dialogue. Where participants do not support a specific solution or proposal they are encouraged to offer their own solution
- **Effective communication.** Participants acknowledge the importance of communicating with other SCRSG members regarding MPA proposal development ideas and issues including e-mail and discussions outside of formal SCRSG meetings. Participants commit to bringing concerns about adherence of individual SCRSG members to the ground rules, MPA proposals or process for discussion to the facilitation team rather than expressing such concerns in a manner that undermines the respect of any individuals or the process.
- **Satisfy Mutual Interests.** Participants will work to satisfy not only their own interests but also those of other SCRSG members. Participants are encouraged to be clear about their own interests and to recognize the important distinction between underlying interests and fixed positions.
- **Commitment to ground rules.** SCRSG members commit to adhere to these ground rules, as a set of mutual obligations, once they are ratified. SCRSG members are encouraged to help uphold and enforce these ground rules. If an SCRSG member consistently deviates from these ground rules, that member may be replaced by another person upon confirmation by the director of CDFG and the chair of the BRTF. Prior to an SCRSG member being dismissed, the SCRSG member will meet with the facilitation team and the MLPA Initiative executive director to discuss the reasons for the dismissal. Flagrant personal attacks or repeated violations of the ground rules may be cause for immediate removal.

## Commitment to process

- **Good faith effort.** Participants will make a good faith effort to achieve the goals of the project within the identified schedule.
- **Come prepared.** Participants will review meeting materials in advance of the meetings and come prepared to address the meeting objectives.
- **Meeting attendance.** Meetings will start on time. Participants who know that they will be absent, late, or have to leave early will inform project staff in advance and coordinate with their alternates as needed.
- **Cell phones on silent.** Cell phones, pagers and other electronic devices will be turned off or set to “silent” mode.

## Media Contact

- **Webcast.** SCRSG meetings are public and will be simultaneously webcast. Audio and video archives of the meetings will be available on the MLPA website a few days after each meeting.
- **MLPA media contacts.** Media contacts regarding the project from a “big picture” perspective will be handled by MLPA Initiative and CDFG staff. First contacts should go to Annie Reisewitz, MLPA Initiative Media Relations Liaison at 858-228-0526 or Jordan Traverso, CDFG Deputy Director of Communications, Education and Outreach at 916-654-9937.
- **SCRSG media contact.** On occasion, reporters may contact individual SCRSG members for comment about a particular issue. Members who are contacted by the media will speak only on behalf of their group or constituency. After commenting, the stakeholder will provide the media entity with contact information for the MLPA Initiative communications staff person and request that the media entity contact that person for further information.
- **Representation to media.** SCRSG members recognize the need to maintain a balance between providing timely information to constituents and making statements to the media that could undermine the success of the MLPA Initiative process. SCRSG members agree to avoid: a) Making statements to the media that may prejudice the project’s outcome, b) Representing another group’s point of view or characterizing others’ motives, or c) Stating positions on preliminary proposals while they are still being developed or refined by work teams or the SCRSG.
- **Media subcommittee.** SCRSG members will refer requests for additional contacts to MLPA Initiative and CDFG staff listed above or the SCRSG contact list. If needed, the SCRSG may convene a multi-interest media subcommittee to work with MLPA Initiative and CDFG staff to develop briefings for the media.

- **Use of key outcomes memoranda.** In briefing constituents, SCRSG members are encouraged to rely primarily on key outcomes memoranda to be produced for the meetings.

## **SCRSG DECISION PROCESSES**

### **Decision Rules**

- **Strive for broad based support for proposals.** SCRSG members will strive to achieve a high level of agreement in developing and advancing alternative proposals for MPAs. The intent here is to strive for MPA proposals that earn broad-based support across SCRSG members' interests.
- **Use of “straw votes”.** SCRSG members recognize the need to make simple process agreements to move the effort forward. SCRSG facilitators may use “straw votes” to track progress and help the group arrive at short-term decisions to propel the process forward in an efficient fashion. A straw vote is a method used for polling the SCRSG on a particular issue and typically involves asking each primary SCRSG seat to indicate a preference on a particular issue.

### **Cooperation with BRTF & SAT Sub-Team to the South Coast Project (Science Sub-Team)**

- **Cooperation with science sub-team.** SCRSG members will work cooperatively with the Science Sub-Team in developing options and work products. The Science Sub-Team will assist the SCRSG by reviewing draft documents, addressing scientific issues and information provided by the SCRSG, and helping to frame and refer policy challenges to the BRTF. At their discretion, MLPA Initiative and CDFG staff may plan for joint meetings or work sessions of the SAT or Science Sub-Team and the SCRSG.
- **Briefings to BRTF.** The BRTF is expected to provide policy guidance to the SCRSG on each iterative round of MPA proposals. SCRSG members will have an opportunity to present focused briefings on the progress of MPA proposal development to the BRTF.

### **Primary and Alternate SCRSG members**

- **Attendance.** All SCRSG members, both primary and alternate, will make every effort to attend all of the SCRSG meetings.
- **Seating.** During SCRSG meetings, the following participants will be seated at the main table: primary SCRSG members (or alternates when primary members are not in attendance), the BRTF liaison, Science Sub-Team members in attendance, lead MLPA Initiative and CDFG staff, and project facilitators. SCRSG alternates, other staff, and members of the public will be seated nearby.
- **Coordination.** Primary SCRSG members will work with their alternates to ensure that they are informed regarding SCRSG deliberations. This will enable alternates to step in effectively as needed and keep the project from “backsliding.” Primary and alternate members are encouraged to confer in advance of the meetings, and prior to straw votes

when possible or during meeting breaks. They are also encouraged to confer with their broader constituencies at these times.

- **Meetings.** SCRSG meetings will involve both primary and alternate SCRSG members, members of the Science Sub-Team, and MLPA Initiative and CDFG staff. For purposes of timely meeting management, facilitators may, at their discretion, focus a plenary discussion on primary members. Both primary and alternate members are expected to actively participate in breakout sessions, work teams, and the development of draft and final MPA proposals.
- **Voting.** One vote per seat (primary/alternate) will be used during plenary sessions and primaries will confer with their alternate in advance of the straw vote, when possible.
- **Creation and use of list servers.** The MLPA Initiative team will create list servers to support the development and refinement of draft MPA proposals. The focus of the list servers will be to support work on individual MPAs or full MPA proposals. The intent of list servers is not to serve as a more general communication function about other marine resource issues.

## Multi-interest Work Teams

- MLPA Initiative staff expect to form SCRSG cross-interest group work teams to develop constructive, integrative work products during and between SCRSG plenary meetings. The aim of such work teams is to encourage integrative options and work products rather than work products put forward by a single bloc or interest group.

## Public Comment

- **Public comment at SCRSG meetings.** Designated times at SCRSG meetings will be agendaized for public comment. Efforts will be made to schedule public comment at consistent time slots and keyed to important SCRSG work product discussions, and to be held at the time agendaized. At all other times of the meeting, comments and discussion will be only among SCRSG members and alternates, Science Sub-Team members, and MLPA Initiative and CDFG staff.
- **Focus on work products.** To the extent possible, public comments will be directed toward the work effort, products, or process of the SCRSG. Comments on subjects external to the MLPA should be directed to other forums.
- **Other opportunities.** Members of the public are encouraged to convey their comments to relevant colleagues who serve as SCRSG members or alternates. Members of the public are also encouraged to submit comments in writing (via email to [MLPAComments@resources.ca.gov](mailto:MLPAComments@resources.ca.gov)). Written comments will be distributed to SCRSG members.
- **Speaking time.** Public comments may be limited to up to three minutes per individual speaker. The SCRSG facilitation team will exercise flexibility in allocation of speaking time depending on the number of individuals wishing to address the SCRSG.

- **MLPA response.** The MLPA Initiative Team will respond as appropriate to questions and suggestions posed in public comment portions of SCRSG meetings.

### Participation of Federal, State and Local Agencies

- Given the significant portion of the south coast study region that is under the jurisdiction of federal, state, and local agencies, the active participation of these agencies is welcome and encouraged in the south coast process.

### Role of Facilitation Team

- **Neutral facilitators.** The SCRSG facilitation team is non-partisan; the members have no stake in any particular set of alternative MPA proposals and they will not act as advocates for particular outcomes. The facilitators will strive to ensure that all SCRSG members clearly articulate their respective interests and to assist members to complete their work in a well-informed, efficient and timely fashion.
- **Foster alternatives with mutual benefit.** The facilitation team will seek to foster approaches to meeting management, and to the identification and consideration of alternative MPA proposals, which maximize joint gains and mutual benefit.
- **Efficient use of time.** The facilitators will strive to structure meetings and discussion so as to make efficient use of members' time. This includes providing materials in advance of meetings, assisting with keeping the discussion focused and monitoring discussions so that no individual or idea dominates.
- **Facilitators' discretion.** The facilitation team will use its discretion in guiding meetings and may propose agenda adjustments. The facilitation team may also use straw voting to track a range of preferences on emerging issues and gauge the level of support for alternative options.
- **Key outcomes memoranda.** The SCRSG facilitation team will prepare key outcomes memoranda to summarize the main results of the SCRSG meetings. These key outcomes memoranda will summarize key decisions made, issues discussed, and the next steps identified for moving the project forward and does not strive to serve as a meeting transcript. The facilitators will strive to prepare key outcomes memoranda within 10 days of the meetings.

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Abramson	Sarah	Heal the Bay	1. Coastal resources director for Heal the Bay (Primarily on Santa Monica Bay) 2. Past involvement in CaLobster	1. Marine education 2. Conservation 3. Diving 4. Surfing 5. Avid Sailor	1. Mainland LA County 2. Catalina Island 3. Santa Barbara County 4. Northern Channel Islands 5. Surfing Santa Monica Bay up to Santa Barbara
Allison	Calla	City of Laguna Beach	1. City of Laguna Beach 2. Orange County MPA Committee 3. LOF/Laguna Bluebelt 4. Marine safety department/lifeguards CSLSA (16 yr lifeguard) 5. CTA - educators 6. Others- surfers, free divers, state parks	1. Public outreach, education and enforcement 2. Intertidal area in Orange County 3. Abalone diving. 4. Surf/body surf, freedive/snorkle 5. Lagoon trail runner (back bay, bataquitos, etc) 6. Tipepooling	1. Huntington beach 2. San clemente 3. Del mar 4. Orange county intertidal 5. North County San Diego 6. Santa Barbara (UCSB/state parks)
Ballotti	John	Los Angeles Rod and Reel Club	1. Member of LA Rod and Reel Club (recreational fishermen) 2. UASC, RFA	1. Recreational fishing	1. Santa Monica Bay down to Long Beach
Beede	Benjamin	The Cultured Abalone	1. Aquaculture General manager at The Cultured Abalone, an aquaculture firm growing abalone and aquaculture experimental halibut production	1. Abalone. Farming abalone. 2. California halibut. 3. Kelp harvest. 4. Water quality, sustainable aquaculture. 5. Kelp, water quality/sustainable aquaculture	1. Santa Barbara Channel (specifically for kelp) 2. Orange county. (Campus Point to Coal Oil Point). 3. Grew up body surfing at orange county state beaches.
Beguhl	Philip	Santa Barbara County Fish and Game Commission	1. Santa Barbara County Fish and Game Commission - 25 years 2. Crab and lobster commercial fisher 3. Founder of joint oil fisheries group 4. Historian of sport fishing in SoCal	1. Representing crab/lobster fishery 2. Holistic modeling of ocean environment - try to build most complementary, complete model of what's going on 3. Reduce socioeconomic impact 4. Pleistocene marine record	1. Pt. Conception to Santa Monica Bay including Channel Islands
Benavides	Steve	Kelp Forest Coalition	1. Nonconsumptive diver rep 2. Recreational Advisory Abalone Committee (11-year member)	1. Recreational Scuba Diver 2. Lifetime recreational sportfisher 3. Underwater photography 4. Coastal piloting (40 years)	1. Southern offshore islands (Catalina and St Clemente) and rocky intertidal reefs in SoCal coastal areas



**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Bertelli	Bob	California Sea Urchin Commission	1. Urchin divers/processors (12 processors, 300 divers) 2. California Sea Urchin Commission	1. Diving 2. Abalone, Urchin 3. Healthy ecosystems. 4. Shellfish harvest and conservation	1. Channel Islands in general (San Nicolas, Santa Barbara Island, Catalina, Clemente) 2. Rancho Palos Verdes 3. LA and Orange counties coast
Bursek	Julie	Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary	1. Marine science and educational programs (22 years of experience) 2. Education and Outreach Coordinator, NOAA CINMS	1. Sailing 2. MS Biology- focused on marine ecology of rocky intertidal 3. Scuba- kelp forest and rocky reef exploration and monitoring 5. Rocky intertidal and soft bottom 6. Floating lab educational programs	1. Palos Verdes- Whites Pt, Cabarillo Beach 2. Laguana Beach, Dana Pt.- intertidal and nearshore systems 3. Familiar with 7 of 8 islands- Catalina, San Clemente, Santa Barbara, Anacapa, Santa Cruz, Santa Rosa, San Miguel
Cordero	Roberta	Chumash Maritime Association	1. Chumash Maritime Association board member 2. Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation 3. Association for Conflict Resolution 4. Native Network (Environmental conflict management)	1. Interested about anything can do in the ocean 2. Helping my people to come back into relationship of reciprocity with the environment 3. Teaching culture - indigenous revitalization	1. Pt. Conception/Jalama to Carpenteria 2. Santa Barbara Channel and Islands (some)
Dahl	Jim	City of San Clemente	1. City of San Clemente City Council member (past mayor) 2. Dana Point Yacht Club 3. Surfer	1. Water quality, new mps permit - dry weather diversion 2. Sand replenishment	1. Pt. Conception to Mexican border 2. Catalina Island 3. Nearshore areas
Daigle	Leslie	Mayor Pro Tem, City of Newport Beach	1. City government 2. regional government	1. Outreach to public 2. Sound policy- Be an honest broker to process 3. Carrying out the MLPA Initiative 4. Background- studied geography, been in City government (started out as a staff city planning for 5 years), last 5 years for County Planning 5. Regional government board	1. Orange County

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Dunn	W. Scott	Independent kayak guide and instructor	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Kayaker (17 yr kayak guide/instructor)</li> <li>2. Naturalist (NPS/CINMS naturalist)</li> <li>3. CINMS-SAC member representing non-consumptive recreational users</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Non-consumptive recreational users</li> <li>2. To think more about non-consumptive uses and their economic value</li> <li>3. Recognition of the non-consumptive value of these to MPAs</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pt Conception to Palos Verdes</li> <li>2. Santa Barbara to ventura county</li> <li>3. Channel islands</li> </ol>
Engel	Joanna	California Coastal Commission	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Staff Ecologist for CCC and member of many working groups/committees within CCC</li> <li>2. SCWRP</li> <li>3. CINMS Research working group</li> <li>4. Western Society of Naturalists</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sustainability</li> <li>2. Resiliency, making ocean more resilient as face climate change, concerned about climate change</li> <li>3. Diversity hotspots, biogeography</li> <li>4. Resource protection</li> <li>5. Educational opportunities</li> <li>6. Enjoyment: diver, captain (at one point), etc.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Channel Islands</li> <li>2. Pt. Conception to Pt. Mugu</li> <li>3. Southern California Bight</li> </ol>
Engle	Jack	Marine Science Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Associate research biologist - UC Santa Barbara</li> <li>2. Head of Channel Islands research program</li> <li>3. Coordinator, multi-agency rocky intertidal network (MARINE)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Catalina island</li> <li>2. Spiny lobster (growth and ecology)</li> <li>3. Surfgrass</li> <li>4. CI research program- research and kelp</li> <li>5. Long term perspective and monitoring</li> <li>6. Climatic changes</li> <li>7. Science, education, and monitoring (Rocky intertidal monitoring)</li> <li>8. Careful balance of marine life protection and human uses</li> <li>9. Long term-management of marine life for everyone</li> <li>10. In general, marine life (e.g. plants, invertebrate, fishes, kelp, sea gravies, lobster, urchins, abalone, etc)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All Channel Islands (subtidal and intertidal)</li> <li>2. Santa Barbara area (Intertidal on mainland)</li> <li>3. Point Loma, San Diego area</li> <li>4. Intertidal sites throughout southern california (455 sites, rocky)</li> </ol>
Everingham	Buck	Everingham Brothers Bait Company	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Live bait supply</li> <li>2. Private and commerical sport fishermen</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Commercial</li> <li>2. Fly coast to spot boats</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pt. Conception to Mexican border, focus between Newport and Mexican border, fish soft bottom</li> </ol>

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Feinberg	Jenn	Natural Resources Defense Council	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Marine policy consultant for NRDC</li> <li>2. Ocean Conservancy</li> <li>3. Worked for CCC in Ventura</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ecosystem protection</li> <li>2. Sustainability</li> <li>3. Conservation and preservation</li> <li>4. Public Access</li> <li>5. Wildlife viewing</li> <li>6. Diving</li> <li>7. Surfing</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pt. Conception to northern LA County</li> </ol>
Ferrigno	Ciro	Member of the Board, California Wetfish Producer's Association	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Commercial- sardine, squid, mackerel, tuna</li> <li>2. Processors</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Protect fishing grounds that historically produce.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Catalina,</li> <li>2. Clemente, and</li> <li>3. anywhere within 2 hours of LA. But do not know Pt. Conception down to San Diego</li> </ol> <p>Fish out of San Pedro (LA Harbor)</p>
Fletcher	Bob	Sportfishing Association of California	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sport fishing, Sport Fishing Association of California (president)</li> <li>2. Hubbs-Sea World Research Institute (Trustee)</li> <li>3. White Seabass Hatchery Advisory Committee (chairman)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Fishing</li> <li>2. Diving</li> <li>3. Sailing</li> <li>4. Sport Fishing</li> <li>5. Interest in bait and sportfishing species and access to traditional fishing grounds-near ports very important</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. San Diego</li> <li>2. San Clemente Island</li> <li>3. Northern Channel Islands</li> </ol>
Forster Foley	Mary Jane	MJF Consulting Inc.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Public agencies (essential services)</li> <li>2. Major ocean dischargers</li> <li>3. Coastal water agencies</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. She facilitates</li> <li>2. Worked for 3 Governors</li> <li>3. State Waterboard (SD Regional, State Board, liason for LA Regional Board)</li> <li>4. Water quality policy and plans, nonpoint source program, stormwater program, inland, bays, and estuaries plan</li> <li>5. Working with Desal research</li> <li>6. Started state watershed initiative</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Los Angeles to Mexico</li> <li>2. general knowledge of Santa Barbara and Ventura.</li> </ol>
Galipeau	Russell	Channel Islands National Park	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. National Park Service (Channel Islands National Park)</li> <li>2. Cabrillo National Monument,</li> <li>3. Santa Monica Mountains National Recreation Area</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Setting areas aside as baselines.</li> <li>2. Kelp forests.</li> <li>3. Filtering out at least one stressor on the ecosystem</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Northern Channel Islands.</li> <li>2. Other Channel Islands (some)</li> <li>3. Point Loma (some)</li> </ol>

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Gauger	Michael	Seaforth Sportfishing, Inc.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Seaforth Sportfishing Corp.</li> <li>2. Kids' fishing programs</li> <li>3. Injured veterans programs</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recreational fishing</li> <li>2. Whale watching</li> <li>3. Trying to reach populations that don't have lot of opportunity or access to fishing</li> <li>4. Snorkeling</li> <li>5. Recreational fishing promotion via Seaforth for underprivileged youth groups</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Del Mar to Mexican border</li> </ol>
Gomes	Tommy	Owner, Uni Goop Bait Company	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Commercial Fisherman (5th generation), sea urchin</li> <li>2. Owner small bait company</li> <li>3. Kids' recreational fishery</li> <li>4. Sportfisher</li> <li>5. Works with ESPN and Versus</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Commercial sea urchin fishing</li> <li>2. Recreational fishing with kids</li> <li>3. Sportfishing</li> <li>4. Trying to reduce carbon footprint</li> <li>5. Sustainability</li> <li>6. Recipient golden porpoise award</li> <li>7. Sponsors saltwater bass tournaments and donates proceeds to kids programs</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. San Diego to Mexican Line out 90 miles</li> <li>2. Dana Pt. southwest</li> </ol>
Greenberg	Joel	Recreational Fishing Alliance	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recreational Fishing Alliance (RFA), SoCal</li> <li>2. Recreational fishing</li> <li>3. Los Angeles Rod and Reel Club</li> <li>4. CDFG Groundfish Task Force</li> <li>5. Various federal stakeholder panels</li> <li>6. Volunteer to sustainably manage and conserve marine resources</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recreational fishing</li> <li>2. Being out on water</li> <li>3. Seafood</li> <li>4. Kelp/urchins</li> <li>5. Channel Islands</li> <li>6. Conservation/sustainability</li> <li>7. CCA</li> <li>8. Whale and bird watching</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Point conception to Mexico border (specifically Santa Barbara, Ventura, and Los Angeles Counties)</li> <li>2. Los Angeles</li> <li>3. Channel Islands (Northern and Southern Channel Islands and offshore banks)</li> <li>4. Santa Monica Bay</li> </ol>
Grifman	Phyllis	Sea Grant, USC	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. University of Southern California Sea Grant Program</li> <li>2. Sanctuary Advisory Council (CINMS)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Generalist- interested in establishing MPAs considering issues of access and fairness</li> <li>2. Public policy, translating complex information</li> <li>3. Long-time boater and research administrator</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Most of the California coast from Pt. Conception and Catalina Island south to Baja.</li> </ol>

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Guassac	Louie	Kumeyaay Diegueno Land Conservancy	1. Native American 2. Member of various tribes organizations and committees (Member of Kumeyaay cultural repatriation committee (KCRC), Kumeyaay border task force (KBTF), Kumeyaay Diegueno Land Conservancy (KDLC))	1. Native american use of marine resources. 2. Protect and preserve cultural resources and through this process don't remove other designations 3. Preserve and protect cultural resources and species	1. Santa Barbara to San Diego 2. San Diego to Santo Tomas (south of Ensenada)
Gutiérrez	Marcela	Wild Coast- San Diego	1. Conservation- Wild Coast 2. Commercial fisheries and aquaculture industry	1. Non-english population is represented 2. Recreational and commercial uses	1. San Diego County 2. LA County
Hanley	Kate	San Diego Coastkeeper	1. San Diego Coastkeeper 2. From a family of fishers	1. Marine conservation 2. Water quality (ASBS) 3. Kelp resrotration 4. Diver 5. Education outreach	1. San Diego County (Pt. Loma south)
Helms	Greg	Ocean Conservancy	1. Conservation (Ocean Conservancy) 2. Nonconsumptive recreation	1. Fishing, surfing, diving, sailing 2. Coastal pollution and energy	1. Point Conception to Point Mugu, with special emphasis on Santa Barbara and Channel Islands. Went to school in LA area (Marina Del Rey)
Hiemstra	Ray	Orange County Coastkeeper	1. Orange County Coastkeeper - Associate Director 2. Recreational Fisherman	1. asthetic interests 2. enjoying atmoshpere and resources 3. concerned about pop. size and modern tech. and how affects ocean resources 4. Kayaking 5. Shorefishing 6. Swimming 7. Surfing 8. Scientific Study	1. Primarily Orange County, specifically Newport and Huntington harbors, Newport and Laguna ASBSs 2. San Diego County, LA County

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Huber	Michael	U.S. Department of Defense Region IX	1. Department of Defense (DoD) 2. Navy region southwest	1. DoD has a critical interest in the sustainment and management of California's Ocean Resources as DoD relies on coastal ocean resources to prepare for the national security mission. 2. Long-term sustainment of the DoD mission in the study region, while striking a balance. 3. Testing and training of soldiers. Air/ground/subsurface/inland operations. 4. Sustainable management of resources.	1. Entire study region 2. Areas with military operations..
Kearsley	Ken	City of Malibu	1. City of Malibu - former mayor 2. Surfer (since 1954)	1. Water quality 2. Recreation, swimming, surfing, etc. 3. Coastal area home owners 4. Visitor services in marine areas 5. How to reconcile all interests in Malibu and municipal problems with interfacing with other agencies	1. [Grew up in] Santa Monica Beach 2. Tijuana slough up to Rincon - most coastal areas in Southern CA
Kennedy	MJ	Kayak Fishing Association of California	1. Deputy Director KFACA	1. Protect launch sites/access points 2. Raised in bait and tackle shop and on boats 3. Surfer. 4. Kayak fishing 5. Sport fishing, everything from surf to party boats 6. Main interest in protecting launch sites for kayak fishing, which is sensitive to closure.	1. Santa Barbara County to northern LA county 2. Ventura County coastline, primarily Ventura/Malibu
Kett	Eric	Recreational Diving (public)-scuba/snorkle	1. Recreational diving- scuba or snorkle 2. CINMS Advisory Council	1. Dive boat captain for many years (diving tourism), 2. Scuba instructor, 3. Spearfishing, and 4. Ocean recreation	1. Eight offshore islands (from 100 ft to shoreline) 2. Pt. Conception to Pt Mugu, Pt Loma

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Kronman	Mick	Harbor Operations Manager, Santa Barbara	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ports and Harbors</li> <li>2. City of Santa Barbara and working waterfront</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Balance between communities (socioeconomics) and conservation</li> <li>2. Protect harbor infrastructures and interest of coastal communities</li> <li>3. Graduated UCSB political science</li> <li>4. Commercial fisherman and fisheries consultant</li> <li>5. Culture of coastal communities (attachment to fishing and the sea)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Pt Conception to Pt Mugu</li> </ol>
Lebowitz	Paul	Kayak Fishing Association of California	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Kayak fishing association of California - I paddle to fish.</li> <li>2. Private and sportboat recreational angler, affiliated with numerous kayak fishing clubs and communities and businesses from manufacturers to shop owners</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Paddlesports.</li> <li>2. Sport fishing.</li> <li>3. Fishing</li> <li>4. Beach-going,</li> <li>5. General recreation</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Regional point-of-view</li> <li>2. Most familiar with San Diego</li> <li>3. Orange County (south)</li> <li>4. Palos verdes</li> <li>5. Malibu</li> <li>6. Catalina (west end)</li> <li>7. San Clemente (south end)</li> </ol>
Maas	Terry	Underwater Society of America, Sea Watch	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Breath hold diver</li> <li>2. Underwater Society of America</li> <li>3. California Council of Dive Clubs</li> <li>4. Affiliated w/most of dive clubs in SoCal</li> <li>5. Sea Watch</li> <li>6. Abalone advisory committee</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Consumptive, spearfishing</li> <li>2. Nonconsumptive, video and photography</li> <li>3. Breath hold diving</li> <li>4. Showing people underwater world here, and respecting it</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All</li> </ol>
Maassen	Jeff	Commercial Fishermen of Santa Barbara, Inc.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Vice President of Commercial Fisherman of Santa Barbara Inc.</li> <li>2. Commercial Fisherman</li> <li>3. Heal the Ocean</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Maintaining access for commercial and recreational fisherman</li> <li>2. Water quality, nonpoint and point source pollution</li> <li>3. Sustainability within access and ocean ecosystems as a whole</li> <li>4. Recreation, both consumptive and non-consumptive</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Southern and Northern Channel Islands (except San Nicolas)</li> <li>2. Coastal areas from Palos Verdes to Point Conception</li> </ol>
Marshall	Jenny	U.S. Navy	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Consultant to Navy - Commander, Pacific Fleet</li> <li>2. Used to work at Monterey Bay Aquarium</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Underwater acoustics, marine mammals</li> <li>2. Ensuring sustained use by Navy; sustainable training for Navy Fleet Forces</li> <li>3. Long-term health of marine ecosystems in Southern California Bight</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. San Clemente Island Range Complex</li> <li>2. Naval Amphibious Base, NAS North Island</li> </ol>

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
McCorkle	Mike	Southern California Trawlers Association	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Commercial fisherman</li> <li>2. Southern California Trawlers Association (President)</li> <li>3. PCFFA (director)</li> <li>4. Commercial Fishermen of America (advisor)</li> <li>5. Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission (PSMFC)</li> <li>6. White Seabass Management Advisory Committee</li> <li>7. Other- Santa Barbara Hatchery, SCTA, CFSB Inc, UFDA, IFR Director</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Fished many kinds of gear- Bait fishing, Lobster diving, Trawl for halibut, sea cucumber</li> <li>2. Marine mammals/fisheries (seals)</li> <li>3. Preserving fisheries</li> <li>4. Make sure all user-groups get treated fairly</li> <li>5. Make sure people who make a living on the ocean are not displaced</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Point Arguello to Mexican border including all the islands</li> <li>2. Redondo Beach</li> </ol>
McCoy	Mike	Tijuana River National Estuarine Research Reserve Management Authority	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Tijuana River National Estuarine Research Reserve</li> <li>2. Southwest Wetlands Interpretive Association (cooperating with the State Parks)</li> <li>3. Appointed by San Diego board of supervisors to oversee all parks in the county</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Coastal salt marsh ecosystem restoration</li> <li>2. Connection between marsh and ocean systems.</li> <li>3. Sand transport.</li> <li>4. Parks and recreation</li> <li>5. Estuarine ecology and interface between riparian, estuarine, and maritime systems.</li> <li>6. Health and welfare of marine mammals</li> <li>7. Education and outreach</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. San Diego</li> <li>2. Saltmarsh ecosystems from San Quentin, Mexico to Point Conception, California.</li> <li>3. Tijuana Estuary, San Diego Bay, and the estuaries in San Diego County</li> </ol>
Mills	Marc	Okuma Fishing Tackle Corporation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Recreational Fishing</li> <li>2. Recreational Boating</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Issues to support fishing</li> <li>2. Swimming and diving</li> <li>3. Recreational fishing, kayak angler</li> <li>4. Sportfishing</li> <li>5. Fishing tackle manufacturing</li> <li>6. Kids' programs</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Palos Verdes Point - Point Loma</li> <li>2. Dana Point - San Clemente Island/Catalina Island</li> </ol>
Murphy	Garth	Surfers Party	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Surfers and surfing industry</li> <li>2. Aquaculture, mariculture (shellfish, invertebrates, etc)</li> <li>3. Marine science</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Surf and swim</li> <li>2. Fishing and Pier fisherman</li> <li>3. Aquaculture</li> <li>4. Marine science</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. San Diego County up to Seal Beach (surfing) and fished about half of it (shoreline fisherman) and subsistence fishermen, and Santa River coastline</li> </ol>



**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Peveler	Jack	California Association of Port Captains and Harbormasters	1. California Association of Harbor Masters and Port Captains 2. County of Ventura	1. Fishing and consumptive uses, as well as non-consumptive uses (i.e. diving, kayaking, surfing, water quality, economic issues) 2. Dredging, coastal erosion, restrictions to dredging. 3. Vessel navigation	1. Santa Barbara to Malibu, 2. Channel Islands (Anacapa, Santa Cruz, Santa Rosa)
Pister	Benjamin	Cabrillo National Monument	1. National Park Service 2. San Diego recreational divers 3. Vice President of San Diego Shell Club	1. Interest in fishing, likes eating seafood 2. Diving 3. Sailing and boating activities 4. Interested in invertebrates, intertidal and benthic ecology 5. Professionally - manage resource, preserve for future and allow use now, public education	1. Point Fermin south to Mexican border 2. Lesser extent CINP
Protopapadakis	Lia	Santa Monica Bay Restoration Foundation	1. Santa Monica Bay Restoration Foundation/Commission (resource management) 2. SMBRC Board members (cities, local elected, discharges, and state/fed government) 3. EPA	1. Surfing 2. Scuba diving 3. Kayaking 4. Free diving 5. Federal perspective (Congress staff, ocean policy) 6. Research diving	1. South Bay, Los Angeles, South LA County, 2. Orange County, and San Diego County
Richter	Gerry	Point Conception Groundfishermen's Association	1. Pt Conception Groundfish Association (vice president) 2. Groundfish Advisory to the Pacific Fishery Management Council 3. CDFG Groundfish Task Force 4. South Bay Cable Committee (director)	1. Groundfish- CCA/RCA 2. Fixed gear commercial fishermen (longlines, fish pots, nets, rod/reel, etc). HMS, halibut. We represent all sorts of fixed gears for commercial fisheries in the study zone, including groundfish, halibut, highly migratory species, etc.	1. Catalina Island 2. Santa Barbara 3. Ventura 4. Point Conception to Oceanside 5. Santa Monica Bay 7. San Clemente
Rudie	Dave	Catalina Offshore Products	1. Sea Urchin Advisory Committee 2. Seafood processing (Owner) 3. Catalina Offshore products (seafood supplier) 4. California Sea Urchin Commission 5. San Diego Underwater Photography Society	1. Local fish 2. Balance between kelp and sea urchins. 3. Sport diving (consumptive and non-consumptive) 4. Underwater photography. 5. Commercial sea urchin and lobster fisheries 6. Kelp bed ecology and balance	1. Catalina Island, San Clemente Island and San Nicolas 2. Orange County 3. Newport Beach to San Diego

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

Last Name	First Name	Organization	What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?	What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?	What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?
Sasidharan	Vinod	San Diego State University	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Researcher and educator for Sustainable Tourism and Recreation</li> <li>2. Community representative for parks, recreation, and tourism planning</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sustainable policies and scientific measurement of outcomes</li> <li>2. Collaborative planning and policy-making</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. San Diego area and surrounding areas (south of Oceanside)</li> </ol>
Scheiwe	Brent	SEA Lab	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Director of Sea Lab</li> <li>2. Youth development and education</li> <li>3. Public aquariums</li> <li>4. Abalone population enhancement and recovery</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Youth education, getting young people involved</li> <li>2. Diving, snorkeling</li> <li>3. Abalone</li> <li>4. Kayaking</li> <li>5. Conservation, habitat restoration</li> <li>6. Water quality</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Palos Verdes/Redondo Beach</li> <li>2. Long Beach/LA harbor area</li> <li>3. Santa Monica Bay</li> </ol>
Spacie	Anne	Batiquitos Lagoon Foundation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Science advisory for Batiquitos Lagoon Foundation</li> <li>2. All MPAs in Carlsbad (City of Carlsbad and Encinitas, Carlsbad Watershed Network, San Elijo Foundation, San Diego Conservation Network)</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Conservation</li> <li>2. Outreach and education,</li> <li>3. General background in science, fisheries, and marine issues</li> <li>4. Recreation- diver</li> <li>5. Public access</li> <li>6. Sustainability of coastal estuaries and other wetland systems.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. San Diego, especially north county (up to Orange County)</li> </ol>
Steele	Bruce	Captain, F/V Halcyon	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Alternative California sea urchin association</li> <li>2. Alternative commercial fisheries representation</li> <li>3. Sanctuary advisory committee (CINMS)</li> <li>4. Member of water quality monitoring committee - advice to regional water quality control board.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Marine Mammals, otters and fishes.</li> <li>2. Farmer</li> <li>3. Water quality. Good transect data for water quality. Also the water quality for Region 3 (Salinas to Carpinteria).</li> <li>4. Ocean acidification (CO2)</li> <li>5. Commercial fishing - Santa Barbara</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Santa Barbara to Malibu</li> <li>2. Channel islands</li> </ol>
Tapp	Norris	Captain, F/V Freelance	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sportfishing Association of California (Sport fishing boat captain - 3/4 day out of Newport Harbor)</li> <li>2. Ex officio member SAC</li> <li>3. General Manager Davie's Locker</li> <li>4. Newport Landing Sportfishing</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Kids' programs</li> <li>2. Sustainability</li> <li>3. Water quality and effects on ecosystem (i.e. migration pattern of whales; very concerned about water quality around outfalls and powerplants)</li> <li>4. Sportfishing and indirect commercial fishing</li> <li>5. Whalewatching</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Oceanside to Pt. Fermin and out to San Clemente Island</li> </ol>

**California MLPA South Coast Project**  
**South Coast Regional Stakeholder Group: Summary of Interests and Areas of Expertise**  
*Revised November 10, 2008*

<b>Last Name</b>	<b>First Name</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>What primary and secondary affiliations do you hold?</b>	<b>What are your interests in and uses of the ocean in the study region?</b>	<b>What geographic areas of the study region are you most familiar with?</b>
Teufel	Cassidy	California Coastal Commission	1. California Coastal Commission (on aquaculture development committee)(energy ocean resources) 2. NCCRSG member	1. Representing CA Coastal Commission 2. Surfing 3. Diving 4. Fishing	1. Northern half of study region 2. Point Conception area
Tochihara	Wendy	Izorline International (fishing line company)	1. Fishing gear company (Izorline International) 2. Rod and Reel Radio 3. American Sportfishing Association 4. United Anglers Representative 5. Concerned citizens that want to maintain consumptive/ nonconsumptive users	1. Recreational anglers 2. Radio show- Rod and Reel radio 3. Visit tackle stores 4. Tournament angler	1. Long beach to San Diego bay, mostly inshore
Weeshoff	Dave	International Bird Rescue Research Center	1. International Bird Rescue Center (IBRRC), board member 2. Audubon societies, board member SFVAS, 3. Heal the Bay - speakers bureau 4. Algrity Marine Research Foundation - speakers bureau	1. Seabirds (bird habitat, nesting, foraging, migrating, coastal and pelagic) 2. Responding to oil spills 3. Audubon Society 4. Heal the Bay 5. Plastics on the ocean. 6. Fishermen	1. Santa Barbara to Orange County.