

## Summary of potential impacts of the May 2009 MPA proposals (Round 2) on commercial and recreational fisheries in the South Coast Study Region

Draft 29 June 2009

Astrid Scholz, [ajscholz@ecotrust.org](mailto:ajscholz@ecotrust.org), Sarah Kruse, Charles Steinback,  
Jon Bonkoski and Sonya Hetrick

### 1. Introduction

The purpose of this project is to analyze the relative effects of six MPA proposals on commercial and recreational fisheries in the South Coast Study Region (SCSR). For detailed information on how data were collected and/or analyzed, please see our *Draft Survey Methods and Summary Statistics for Ecotrust's South Coast Study Region Fishery Uses and Values Project* (presented to the RSG on 3/3/2009). For information on the methods used to evaluate these data, please see Section 12 of the *SAT Draft Methods Used to Evaluate Marine Protected Area Proposals for the MLPA South Coast Region*. Additional proposal-specific information on potential fishery-specific impacts (to study region and to total area and value) for any given MPA are available in the series of Excel files provided to the RSG.

To analyze the commercial fisheries, we used data layers characterizing the spatial extent and relative importance of fishing grounds for 15 commercial fisheries. We collected this information during the summer and fall of 2008 using a stratified, representative sample of 254 commercial fishermen. Individual responses regarding the relative importance of ocean areas for each fishery were standardized using a 100-point scale and normalized to the reported fishing grounds.

To analyze the recreational fisheries, we used data layers characterizing the spatial extent and relative importance of fishing grounds for 10 commercial passenger fishing vessel (CPFV) fisheries and 17 recreational fisheries. We collected this information during the summer and fall of 2008 using a stratified, solicited<sup>1</sup> sample of 119 CPFV and 504 recreational fishermen. Individual responses regarding the relative importance of ocean areas for each fishery were standardized using a 100-point scale and normalized to the reported fishing grounds.

Based on the data described above, we evaluate the potential economic impacts on the commercial, CPFV, and recreational fishing grounds under each of the six MPA proposals (i.e., Lapis 1, Lapis 2, Opal, Topaz, Ext. A, and Ext. B). We also conduct a socioeconomic impact analysis on the commercial and CPFV fisheries. We report commercial and CPFV results by port. We report recreational results by user group (i.e., dive, kayak, and private vessel) and by county.

The remaining sections of this document summarize the potential impacts. For more detailed statistics, please see the tables in the Appendix.

In all tables presented, a 'dashed line' represents a fishery that does not occur or a fishery for which insufficient data were collected to merit presentation.

---

<sup>1</sup> The use of a solicited sample may cause traditional statistical measures (e.g., confidence intervals) to be less precise. Nevertheless, it does allow us to make generalizations about preferences of the overall recreational fishing population and about the three user groups within the study area. We feel that this adds thematic resolution to the MLPA marine planning process.

## 2. Impact of the Channel Islands MPAs (C.I. MPAs)

This report also presents the potential impacts of the Channel Island MPAs on commercial, CPFV, and recreational fishing grounds. We calculate these impacts the same way that we calculate the impacts of each MPA proposal (as described in the Introduction).

The Channel Islands network, which was established by California Fish and Game Commission (CFGF) in 2002 and expanded by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) in 2006 and 2007, encompasses 241 square nautical miles (or 318 square miles). It consists of 11 marine reserves where all harvest and take is prohibited (Richardson Rock, Harris Point, Carrington Point, Scorpion, Anacapa Island, Footprint, Gulf Island, Skunk Point, South Point, Judith Rock, and Santa Barbara Island) and two marine conservation areas that allow limited take of lobster and/or pelagic fish (Painted Cave and Anacapa Island). The Channel Islands network was originally set to be reconsidered during the marine planning process (i.e., stakeholders would be given the opportunity to propose changes to the siting of the existing MPAs), and it was later decided that the Channel Islands MPAs would not be changed.

Therefore, because all proposal must include the Channel Island MPAs, the potential impacts of the Channel Islands (C.I.) MPAs will be the same under all the alternative MPA proposals and any comparison of the proposals should separate out these impacts.

By subtracting the estimated C.I. MPAs impacts from the estimated total impacts, stakeholders can more easily assess the potential impacts of MPAs that can be changed. For example, if the total impact of a MPA proposal is a 19% reduction in net economic revenue, but 5% of this reduction comes from the Channel Island MPAs, then stakeholders can only potentially affect 14% of the impact (i.e., the minimum impact of their proposal is a 5% reduction in net economic revenue assuming zero impact elsewhere in the SCSR).

### 3. Results for Commercial Fisheries

We summarize here our analyses of the potential impacts on the 15 commercial fisheries (i.e., Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line), Ca. Halibut (Trawl), Coastal Pelagics, Lobster, N. Fishery (Hook & Line), N. Fishery (Trap), Rock Crab, Sablefish, Sea Cucumber (Diving), Sea Cucumber (Trawl), Spot Prawn, Squid, Swordfish, Thornyhead, and Urchin). The commercial fisheries are reported for the entire study region and by port (i.e., Santa Barbara, Ventura, Port Hueneme, San Pedro, Dana Point, Oceanside, and San Diego).

#### 3.1 Potential Impacts on Commercial Fishing Grounds (Area and Value)

MPA proposals vary considerably in their effects, both between and across fisheries. As mentioned previously, this report only presents results. Evaluation methods are presented in a separate document.

Each proposal affects the commercial fisheries differently. Ext. A and Ext. B generally have the lowest potential impacts in terms of both total value and total area, while Opal generally has the highest potential impacts. For information on the potential impacts on commercial fishing grounds for the 65 port-fishery combinations considered (both in terms of total area and total value), please see Tables A.1 and A.2 in the Appendix.

#### 3.2 Potential Net Economic Impacts on Commercial Fisheries

A key assumption of this analysis is that each of the MPA proposals completely eliminates fishing opportunities in areas closed to specific fisheries and that fishermen are unable to adjust or mitigate in any way. In other words, the analysis assumes that all fishing in an area affected by an MPA is lost completely, when in reality it is more likely that fishermen will shift their efforts areas outside the MPA. The effect of such an assumption is most likely an overestimation of the impacts, or a “worst case scenario.”

Table 1 summarizes the MPA proposals with the estimated highest and lowest potential net economic impact by port (for associated values, see Table 2). On average, Ext. B is estimated to have the lowest potential net economic impact across the study region, while Opal is estimated to have the highest potential impact.

Figure 1 summarizes the potential annual net economic impact on SCSR commercial fisheries considered, calculated as a percentage reduction in net economic revenue (i.e., profit). The potential impacts from each proposal are further broken down by port in Figure 2 and Table 2. On average, Ventura is the port estimated to see the lowest potential net economic impacts (as a %), while Oceanside is estimated to see the highest potential net impacts (as a %). Tables 3–10 show potential impacts by fishery for each port and for the SCSR.<sup>2</sup>


In terms of potential net economic impact across the SCSR for the top six commercial species (based on % contribution to overall SCSR ex-vessel values), several patterns emerge from the analysis of the six proposals:

- The rock crab fishery sees the lowest range of potential impacts (in dollars). Topaz has the highest potential impact on the rock crab fishery (\$91,869), while Ext. B has the lowest potential impact (\$63,073).
- The squid fishery sees the highest range of potential impacts (in dollars). Opal has the highest potential impact on the squid fishery (\$1,709,636), while Ext. A has the lowest potential impact (\$702,287).
- The coastal pelagics fishery sees the lowest range of potential impacts (as a %). Lapis 1 has the highest potential impact on the coastal pelagics fishery (8.9%), while Ext. B has the lowest potential impact (3.4%).
- The spot prawn fishery sees the highest range of potential impacts (as a %). Opal has the highest potential impact on the spot prawn fishery (19.2%), while Ext. A has the lowest potential impact (17.0%).

<sup>2</sup> For an explanation of why net economic impact can exceed 100%, please see the Appendix.


**Table 1: Highest/Lowest Annual Estimated Net Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries by Port<sup>3</sup>**

Port	MPA Proposal(s) with highest potential impact	MPA Proposal(s) with lowest potential impact
Santa Barbara	Topaz	Ext. B
Ventura	Opal	Ext. A
Port Hueneme	Opal	Ext. A
San Pedro	Opal	Ext. A
Dana Point	Opal	Ext. A
Oceanside	Opal	Ext. B
San Diego	Lapis 1	Ext. B
<b>Study Region</b>	<b>Opal</b>	<b>Ext. B</b>

**Figure 1: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries (% Reduction in Profit)**

<sup>3</sup> For all economic impacts, the results are the estimated maximum potential economic impact on average annual net revenue from 2000-07 (in \$2007).

Figure 2: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries by Port (% Reduction in Profit)



**Table 2: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries by Port (Reduction in Profit)**

Port	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	C.I. MPAs			Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
				Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal			
Santa Barbara	\$5,796,804	\$2,655,064	\$3,141,740	\$392,181	\$385,250	\$399,092	\$455,919	\$376,862	\$341,738
Ventura	\$5,061,321	\$2,828,803	\$2,232,518	\$290,770	\$269,787	\$373,115	\$157,866	\$138,909	\$140,479
Port Hueneme	\$11,061,000	\$6,008,602	\$5,052,398	\$793,561	\$727,657	\$916,663	\$585,911	\$519,553	\$533,200
San Pedro	\$20,141,349	\$10,989,464	\$9,151,885	\$1,156,759	\$1,093,810	\$1,234,148	\$913,877	\$753,777	\$758,301
Dana Point	\$1,860,091	\$926,136	\$933,955	\$154,059	\$164,905	\$240,326	\$219,057	\$111,231	\$131,268
Oceanside	\$987,326	\$481,905	\$505,421	\$113,926	\$116,159	\$158,889	\$138,688	\$120,863	\$104,665
San Diego	\$3,093,219	\$1,462,682	\$1,630,538	\$501,648	\$275,261	\$381,796	\$307,771	\$259,132	\$245,033
<b>Study Region<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>\$48,001,110</b>	<b>\$25,352,655</b>	<b>\$22,648,455</b>	<b>\$3,402,903</b>	<b>\$3,032,829</b>	<b>\$3,704,030</b>	<b>\$2,779,088</b>	<b>\$2,280,327</b>	<b>\$2,254,685</b>

Port	% Reduction in Profit	
	Baseline	MPAs
Santa Barbara	100%	48%
Ventura	100%	56%
Port Hueneme	100%	54%
San Pedro	100%	55%
Dana Point	100%	50%
Oceanside	100%	49%
San Diego	100%	47%
<b>Study Region</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>

Port	% Reduction in Profit	
	Baseline	MPAs
Santa Barbara	12.5%	12.3%
Ventura	13.0%	12.1%
Port Hueneme	15.7%	14.4%
San Pedro	12.6%	12.0%
Dana Point	16.5%	17.7%
Oceanside	22.5%	23.0%
San Diego	30.8%	16.9%
<b>Study Region</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>

<sup>4</sup> This total includes all the port-fishery combinations considered in Tables 3–9 except for Santa Barbara Ca. Halibut (Trawl) and Sea Cucumber(Trawl). Please see Table 3 for estimated impacts on these two fisheries.

Table 3: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact for Santa Barbara

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	C.I. MPAs			Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
				\$ Reduction in Profit								
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	\$70,658	\$37,025	\$33,633	\$2,938			\$7,046	\$7,295	\$7,687	\$8,629	\$6,702	\$4,076
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	\$200,567	\$65,184	\$135,383	\$0			\$12,035	\$14,631	\$9,965	\$14,649	\$15,017	\$10,351
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	\$1,558,845	\$716,026	\$842,819	\$43,055			\$95,791	\$95,791	\$100,886	\$136,171	\$93,371	\$97,957
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$150,237	\$77,523	\$72,715	\$10,879			\$14,915	\$14,915	\$15,472	\$15,750	\$13,442	\$10,740
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$39,144	\$19,986	\$19,157	\$1,266			\$2,057	\$2,034	\$2,057	\$3,070	\$2,169	\$2,470
Rock Crab	\$845,105	\$396,193	\$448,912	\$27,368			\$66,029	\$66,029	\$65,821	\$76,076	\$64,366	\$48,639
Sablefish	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$19,874	\$9,858	\$10,017	\$1,538			\$1,838	\$1,838	\$1,907	\$1,948	\$1,763	\$1,521
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	\$163,088	\$40,772	\$122,316	\$0			\$4,096	\$4,096	\$4,138	\$5,052	\$4,038	\$3,867
Spot Prawn	\$48,537	\$23,651	\$24,886	\$0			\$4,657	\$4,657	\$2,604	\$4,718	\$4,657	\$0
Squid	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	\$3,064,404	\$1,374,803	\$1,689,601	\$169,180			\$199,847	\$192,691	\$202,658	\$209,558	\$190,391	\$176,336
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$6,160,459</b>	<b>\$2,761,020</b>	<b>\$3,399,438</b>	<b>\$256,224</b>			<b>\$408,311</b>	<b>\$403,977</b>	<b>\$413,195</b>	<b>\$475,620</b>	<b>\$395,918</b>	<b>\$355,956</b>
				<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>								
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	100%	52%	48%	8.7%			20.9%	21.7%	22.9%	25.7%	19.9%	12.1%
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	100%	33%	68%	0.0%			8.9%	10.8%	7.4%	10.8%	11.1%	7.6%
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	100%	46%	54%	5.1%			11.4%	11.4%	12.0%	16.2%	11.1%	11.6%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	100%	52%	48%	15.0%			20.5%	20.5%	21.3%	21.7%	18.5%	14.8%
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	51%	49%	6.6%			10.7%	10.6%	10.7%	16.0%	11.3%	12.9%
Rock Crab	100%	47%	53%	6.1%			14.7%	14.7%	14.7%	16.9%	14.3%	10.8%
Sablefish	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	50%	50%	15.4%			18.4%	18.4%	19.0%	19.4%	17.6%	15.2%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	100%	25%	75%	0.0%			3.3%	3.3%	3.4%	4.1%	3.3%	3.2%
Spot Prawn	100%	49%	51%	0.0%			18.7%	18.7%	10.5%	19.0%	18.7%	0.0%
Squid	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—			—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	100%	45%	55%	10.0%			11.8%	11.4%	12.0%	12.4%	11.3%	10.4%
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>7.5%</b>			<b>12.0%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>

**Table 4: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact for Ventura**

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	C.I. MPAs					
				Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	\$18,178	\$9,525	\$8,653	\$1,231	\$2,032	\$1,252	\$1,862	\$2,405	\$2,142
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	\$371,161	\$170,486	\$200,675	\$5,035	\$3,579	\$4,549	\$7,218	\$6,764	\$5,156
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$35,207	\$17,976	\$17,231	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Rock Crab	\$126,384	\$59,250	\$67,134	\$3,637	\$3,658	\$3,637	\$3,699	\$3,699	\$3,668
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$49,076	\$24,342	\$24,734	\$6,695	\$4,516	\$5,708	\$9,221	\$5,904	\$8,292
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$108,471	\$52,855	\$55,616	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Squid	\$4,352,843	\$2,494,369	\$1,858,475	\$274,172	\$256,002	\$357,969	\$135,866	\$120,137	\$121,221
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$5,061,321</b>	<b>\$2,828,803</b>	<b>\$2,232,518</b>	<b>\$290,770</b>	<b>\$269,787</b>	<b>\$373,115</b>	<b>\$157,866</b>	<b>\$138,909</b>	<b>\$140,479</b>
				<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>					
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	100%	52%	48%	14.2%	23.5%	14.5%	21.5%	27.8%	24.8%
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	100%	46%	54%	2.5%	1.8%	2.3%	3.6%	3.4%	2.6%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	51%	49%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rock Crab	100%	47%	53%	5.4%	5.4%	5.4%	5.5%	5.5%	5.5%
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	50%	50%	27.1%	18.3%	23.1%	37.3%	23.9%	33.5%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	100%	49%	51%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Squid	100%	57%	43%	14.8%	13.8%	19.3%	7.3%	6.5%	6.5%
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>
				<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>					
				11.0%					
				3.9%					



Table 5: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact for Port Hueneeme

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	C.I. MPAs					
				Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	\$19,373	\$10,152	\$9,222	\$1,182	\$2,036	\$1,228	\$1,816	\$2,412	\$2,135
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	\$767,935	\$427,164	\$340,771	\$21,118	\$22,732	\$16,914	\$28,549	\$17,305	\$14,714
Lobster	\$420,552	\$193,172	\$227,379	\$16,908	\$15,671	\$16,805	\$18,042	\$18,661	\$18,867
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$49,637	\$25,613	\$24,024	\$7,656	\$7,656	\$5,663	\$8,652	\$6,625	\$69
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$61,447	\$31,374	\$30,073	\$769	\$658	\$769	\$1,237	\$1,274	\$1,029
Rock Crab	\$131,803	\$61,790	\$70,012	\$11	\$11	\$11	\$22	\$22	\$22
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$258,699	\$128,315	\$130,384	\$35,577	\$32,547	\$34,275	\$38,829	\$34,093	\$32,710
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$427,903	\$208,506	\$219,398	\$88,006	\$92,691	\$88,006	\$88,006	\$92,017	\$100,173
Squid	\$7,387,374	\$4,233,286	\$3,154,088	\$510,872	\$490,621	\$654,468	\$286,732	\$266,942	\$284,431
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	\$1,536,277	\$689,230	\$847,047	\$111,464	\$63,035	\$98,524	\$114,026	\$80,203	\$79,050
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$11,061,000</b>	<b>\$6,008,602</b>	<b>\$5,052,398</b>	<b>\$793,561</b>	<b>\$727,657</b>	<b>\$916,663</b>	<b>\$585,911</b>	<b>\$519,553</b>	<b>\$533,200</b>
				<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>					
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	100%	52%	48%	12.8%	22.1%	13.3%	19.7%	26.2%	23.2%
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	100%	56%	44%	6.2%	6.7%	5.0%	8.4%	5.1%	4.3%
Lobster	100%	46%	54%	7.4%	6.9%	7.4%	7.9%	8.2%	8.3%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	100%	52%	48%	31.9%	31.9%	23.6%	36.0%	27.6%	0.3%
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	51%	49%	2.6%	2.2%	2.6%	4.1%	4.2%	3.4%
Rock Crab	100%	47%	53%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	50%	50%	27.3%	25.0%	26.3%	29.8%	26.1%	25.1%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	100%	49%	51%	40.1%	42.2%	40.1%	40.1%	41.9%	45.7%
Squid	100%	57%	43%	16.2%	15.6%	20.7%	9.1%	8.5%	9.0%
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	100%	45%	55%	13.2%	7.4%	11.6%	13.5%	9.5%	9.3%
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>

Table 6: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact for San Pedro

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	C.I. MPAs			Lapis 1 Lapis 2 Opal Topaz			Ext. A	Ext. B
				\$ Reduction in Profit			\$ Reduction in Profit				
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	\$5,121,261	\$2,848,701	\$2,272,559	\$17,278	\$183,543	\$163,330	\$167,894	\$167,894	\$91,282	\$73,352	
Lobster	\$980,389	\$450,323	\$530,066	\$801	\$52,794	\$49,269	\$58,242	\$58,242	\$58,803	\$58,563	
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$14,034	\$7,242	\$6,793	\$724	\$1,265	\$1,333	\$1,414	\$1,414	\$1,179	\$793	
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$76,447	\$39,033	\$37,414	\$0	\$5,003	\$5,493	\$4,352	\$4,352	\$3,753	\$5,015	
Rock Crab	\$136,953	\$64,205	\$72,748	\$0	\$56	\$22	\$67	\$67	\$67	\$67	
Sablefish	\$68,707	\$38,647	\$30,059	\$0	\$16,374	\$20,723	\$16,541	\$16,541	\$16,407	\$14,366	
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$164,935	\$81,808	\$83,127	\$2,346	\$14,892	\$13,389	\$12,663	\$12,663	\$14,594	\$15,553	
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Spot Prawn	\$389,257	\$189,674	\$199,583	\$0	\$7,175	\$4,078	\$4,906	\$4,906	\$1,257	\$3,679	
Squid	\$10,719,087	\$6,142,503	\$4,576,584	\$144,248	\$561,633	\$697,199	\$385,329	\$385,329	\$315,209	\$336,579	
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Thornyhead	\$280,325	\$144,835	\$135,490	\$0	\$62,779	\$99,417	\$83,304	\$83,304	\$63,113	\$46,332	
Urchin	\$2,189,956	\$982,494	\$1,207,462	\$62,461	\$188,295	\$179,894	\$179,163	\$179,163	\$188,112	\$204,002	
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$20,141,349</b>	<b>\$10,989,464</b>	<b>\$9,151,885</b>	<b>\$227,858</b>	<b>\$1,093,810</b>	<b>\$1,234,148</b>	<b>\$913,877</b>	<b>\$913,877</b>	<b>\$753,777</b>	<b>\$758,301</b>	

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	C.I. MPAs			Lapis 1 Lapis 2 Opal Topaz			Ext. A	Ext. B
				\$ Reduction in Profit			\$ Reduction in Profit				
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Coastal Pelagics	100%	56%	44%	0.8%	8.1%	7.2%	7.4%	7.4%	4.0%	3.2%	
Lobster	100%	46%	54%	0.2%	10.0%	9.3%	11.0%	11.0%	11.1%	11.0%	
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	100%	52%	48%	10.7%	18.6%	19.6%	20.8%	20.8%	17.4%	11.7%	
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	51%	49%	0.0%	13.4%	14.7%	11.6%	11.6%	10.0%	13.4%	
Rock Crab	100%	47%	53%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	
Sablefish	100%	56%	44%	0.0%	54.5%	68.9%	55.0%	55.0%	54.6%	47.8%	
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	50%	50%	2.8%	17.9%	16.1%	15.2%	15.2%	17.6%	18.7%	
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Spot Prawn	100%	49%	51%	0.0%	3.6%	2.0%	2.5%	2.5%	0.6%	1.8%	
Squid	100%	57%	43%	3.2%	12.3%	15.2%	8.4%	8.4%	6.9%	7.4%	
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Thornyhead	100%	52%	48%	0.0%	46.3%	73.4%	61.5%	61.5%	46.6%	34.2%	
Urchin	100%	45%	55%	5.2%	15.6%	14.9%	14.8%	14.8%	15.6%	16.9%	
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	

Table 7: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact for Dana Point

Fishery	Baseline		Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	Lapis				Topaz	Opal	Ext. A	Ext. B
	GER	NER (Profit)			Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz				
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	\$914,095	\$419,872	\$494,223	\$494,223	\$65,284	\$59,383	\$81,045	\$92,548	\$22,110	\$56,246	—	—
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$31,345	\$16,004	\$15,341	\$15,341	\$7,731	\$7,173	\$619	\$2,030	\$558	\$619	—	—
Rock Crab	\$38,375	\$17,991	\$20,384	\$20,384	\$3,225	\$2,190	\$582	\$2,023	\$547	\$645	—	—
Sablefish	\$127,274	\$71,591	\$55,682	\$55,682	\$12,199	\$30,331	\$38,388	\$30,641	\$30,393	\$26,612	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$300,792	\$146,568	\$154,224	\$154,224	\$9,548	\$10,141	\$30,517	\$23,954	\$9,596	\$9,691	—	—
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	\$196,774	\$130,362	\$66,411	\$66,411	\$11,255	\$11,270	\$28,595	\$14,103	\$11,090	\$11,075	—	—
Thornyhead	\$160,858	\$83,110	\$77,748	\$77,748	\$34,733	\$35,526	\$59,938	\$50,820	\$35,743	\$24,604	—	—
Urchin	\$90,579	\$40,637	\$49,942	\$49,942	\$10,085	\$8,891	\$642	\$2,938	\$1,194	\$1,775	—	—
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$1,860,091</b>	<b>\$926,136</b>	<b>\$933,955</b>	<b>\$933,955</b>	<b>\$154,059</b>	<b>\$164,905</b>	<b>\$240,326</b>	<b>\$219,057</b>	<b>\$111,231</b>	<b>\$131,268</b>	—	—

Fishery	C.I. MPAs		Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	Lapis				Topaz	Opal	Ext. A	Ext. B
	GER	NER (Profit)			Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz				
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	100%	46%	54%	54%	13.2%	12.0%	16.4%	18.7%	4.5%	11.4%	—	—
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	51%	49%	49%	50.4%	46.8%	4.0%	13.2%	3.6%	4.0%	—	—
Rock Crab	100%	47%	53%	53%	15.8%	10.7%	2.9%	9.9%	2.7%	3.2%	—	—
Sablefish	100%	56%	44%	44%	21.9%	54.5%	68.9%	55.0%	54.6%	47.8%	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	100%	49%	51%	51%	6.2%	6.6%	19.8%	15.5%	6.2%	6.3%	—	—
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	100%	66%	34%	34%	16.9%	17.0%	43.1%	21.2%	16.7%	16.7%	—	—
Thornyhead	100%	52%	48%	48%	44.7%	45.7%	77.1%	65.4%	46.0%	31.6%	—	—
Urchin	100%	45%	55%	55%	20.2%	17.8%	1.3%	5.9%	2.4%	3.6%	—	—
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	—	—

Fishery	C.I. MPAs		Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	Lapis				Topaz	Opal	Ext. A	Ext. B
	GER	NER (Profit)			Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz				
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	100%	46%	54%	54%	13.2%	12.0%	16.4%	18.7%	4.5%	11.4%	—	—
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	51%	49%	49%	50.4%	46.8%	4.0%	13.2%	3.6%	4.0%	—	—
Rock Crab	100%	47%	53%	53%	15.8%	10.7%	2.9%	9.9%	2.7%	3.2%	—	—
Sablefish	100%	56%	44%	44%	21.9%	54.5%	68.9%	55.0%	54.6%	47.8%	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	100%	49%	51%	51%	6.2%	6.6%	19.8%	15.5%	6.2%	6.3%	—	—
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	100%	66%	34%	34%	16.9%	17.0%	43.1%	21.2%	16.7%	16.7%	—	—
Thornyhead	100%	52%	48%	48%	44.7%	45.7%	77.1%	65.4%	46.0%	31.6%	—	—
Urchin	100%	45%	55%	55%	20.2%	17.8%	1.3%	5.9%	2.4%	3.6%	—	—
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	—	—

Table 8: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact for Oceanside

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	C.I. MPAs			Topaz			Ext. A			Ext. B					
				\$ Reduction in Profit			\$ Reduction in Profit			\$ Reduction in Profit			\$ Reduction in Profit					
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	\$400,696	\$184,052	\$216,644	\$1,146	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$21,205	\$10,827	\$10,378	\$0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rock Crab	\$35,177	\$16,491	\$18,686	\$0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sablefish	\$90,829	\$51,091	\$39,738	\$0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$211,491	\$103,054	\$108,437	\$0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	\$207,737	\$107,331	\$100,406	\$0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	\$20,191	\$9,058	\$11,132	\$0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$987,326</b>	<b>\$481,905</b>	<b>\$505,421</b>	<b>\$1,146</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
				<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>			<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>			<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>			<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>					
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	100%	46%	54%	0.5%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	51%	49%	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rock Crab	100%	47%	53%	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sablefish	100%	56%	44%	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	100%	49%	51%	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	100%	52%	48%	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	100%	45%	55%	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>
					<b>43.2%</b>	<b>45.8%</b>	<b>76.2%</b>	<b>64.4%</b>	<b>46.1%</b>	<b>32.3%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>56.4%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>58.3%</b>
					<b>22.5%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>31.4%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>31.4%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>20.7%</b>



Table 10: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact for the SCSR

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	C.I. MPAs		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
				\$ Reduction in Profit	\$ Reduction in Profit				\$ Reduction in Profit		
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	\$108,209	\$56,702	\$51,508	\$4,794	—	—	\$11,363	\$10,166	\$12,307	\$11,520	\$8,354
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	\$5,889,196	\$3,275,865	\$2,613,331	\$21,043	—	\$233,676	\$206,274	\$180,244	\$196,443	\$108,588	\$88,066
Lobster	\$6,360,856	\$2,921,739	\$3,439,117	\$55,518	—	\$616,482	\$445,339	\$541,653	\$562,871	\$414,928	\$445,814
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$217,200	\$112,075	\$105,125	\$11,668	—	\$24,105	\$24,156	\$22,827	\$26,135	\$21,600	\$11,956
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$372,719	\$190,306	\$182,413	\$1,266	—	\$29,104	\$24,566	\$21,172	\$23,078	\$17,232	\$18,364
Rock Crab	\$1,469,292	\$688,818	\$780,474	\$31,005	—	\$84,494	\$83,158	\$83,455	\$91,869	\$78,722	\$63,073
Sablefish	\$286,809	\$161,330	\$125,479	\$0	—	\$27,489	\$68,351	\$86,507	\$69,048	\$68,491	\$59,970
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$500,296	\$248,147	\$252,149	\$32,868	—	\$59,327	\$54,878	\$56,928	\$63,606	\$57,277	\$58,999
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$1,741,435	\$848,554	\$892,881	\$88,006	—	\$158,640	\$159,653	\$171,640	\$166,693	\$152,194	\$158,232
Squid	\$22,459,304	\$12,870,158	\$9,589,146	\$357,317	—	\$1,406,112	\$1,308,256	\$1,709,636	\$807,927	\$702,287	\$742,232
Swordfish	\$366,725	\$242,956	\$123,770	\$2,626	—	\$12,045	\$12,306	\$29,928	\$15,177	\$12,100	\$11,917
Thornyhead	\$648,920	\$335,275	\$313,645	\$0	—	\$130,590	\$144,332	\$235,820	\$198,798	\$145,165	\$103,356
Urchin	\$7,580,148	\$3,400,730	\$4,179,418	\$275,201	—	\$611,380	\$490,198	\$554,052	\$545,135	\$490,223	\$484,353
<b>All Fisheries<sup>5</sup></b>	<b>\$48,001,110</b>	<b>\$25,352,655</b>	<b>\$22,648,455</b>	<b>\$881,311</b>	—	<b>\$3,402,903</b>	<b>\$3,032,829</b>	<b>\$3,704,030</b>	<b>\$2,779,088</b>	<b>\$2,280,327</b>	<b>\$2,254,685</b>
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	100%	52%	48%	9.3%	—	18.4%	22.1%	19.7%	23.9%	22.4%	16.2%
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	100%	56%	44%	0.8%	—	8.9%	7.9%	6.9%	7.5%	4.2%	3.4%
Lobster	100%	46%	54%	1.6%	—	17.9%	12.9%	15.7%	16.4%	12.1%	13.0%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	100%	52%	48%	11.1%	—	22.9%	23.0%	21.7%	24.9%	20.5%	11.4%
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	51%	49%	0.7%	—	16.0%	13.5%	11.6%	12.7%	9.4%	10.1%
Rock Crab	100%	47%	53%	4.0%	—	10.8%	10.7%	10.7%	11.8%	10.1%	8.1%
Sablefish	100%	56%	44%	0.0%	—	21.9%	54.5%	68.9%	55.0%	54.6%	47.8%
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	50%	50%	13.0%	—	23.5%	21.8%	22.6%	25.2%	22.7%	23.4%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	100%	49%	51%	9.9%	—	17.8%	17.9%	19.2%	18.7%	17.0%	17.7%
Squid	100%	57%	43%	3.7%	—	14.7%	13.6%	17.8%	8.4%	7.3%	7.7%
Swordfish	100%	66%	34%	2.1%	—	9.7%	9.9%	24.2%	12.3%	9.8%	9.6%
Thornyhead	100%	52%	48%	0.0%	—	41.6%	46.0%	75.2%	63.4%	46.3%	33.0%
Urchin	100%	45%	55%	6.6%	—	14.6%	11.7%	13.3%	13.0%	11.7%	11.6%
<b>All Fisheries</b>	—	—	—	<b>3.9%</b>	—	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>16.4%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>

<sup>5</sup> Santa Barbara Ca. Halibut (Trawl) and Sea Cucumber (Trawl) are not included in this total. Please see Table 3 for estimated impacts on these two fisheries.

### 3.3 Potential Gross Economic Impacts on Commercial Fisheries

A key assumption of our analysis is that each of the MPA proposals completely eliminates fishing opportunities in areas closed to specific fisheries and that fishermen are unable to adjust or mitigate in any way. In other words, the analysis assumes that all fishing in an area affected by an MPA is lost completely, when in reality it is more likely that fishermen will shift their efforts areas outside the MPA. The effect of such an assumption is most likely an overestimation of the impacts, or a “worst case scenario.”

Unlike net economic impact, gross economic impact does not account for fishermen’s operating costs. Therefore, the percentage reduction in gross economic revenue on SCSR commercial fisheries considered is less than the percentage reduction in net economic revenue; however, the dollar reduction in gross economic revenue is greater than the dollar reduction in net economic revenue. Figures 3–4 compare the potential annual gross economic impact with the potential net economic impact on SCSR commercial fisheries considered.


On average, Ext. B is estimated to have the lowest potential gross economic impact across the study region, while Opal is estimated to have the highest potential impact.

The potential gross economic impacts from each proposal are further broken down by port in Figure 5 and Table 11. On average, Ventura is the port estimated to see the lowest potential gross economic impacts (as a %), while Oceanside is estimated to see the highest potential gross economic impacts (as a %). Tables 12–19 show potential impacts by fishery for each port and for the SCSR.


In terms of potential gross economic impact across the SCSR for the top six commercial species (based on % contribution to overall SCSR ex-vessel values), several patterns emerge from the analysis of the six proposals:

- The rock crab fishery sees the lowest range of potential impacts (in dollars). Topaz has the highest potential impact on the rock crab fishery (\$112,056), while Ext. B has the lowest potential impact (\$76,933).
- The squid fishery sees the highest range of potential impacts (in dollars). Opal has the highest potential impact on the squid fishery (\$2,744,133), while Ext. A has the lowest potential impact (\$1,127,240).
- The coastal pelagics fishery sees the lowest range of potential impacts (as a %). Lapis 1 has the highest potential impact on the coastal pelagics fishery (6.2%), while Ext. B has the lowest potential impact (2.3%).
- The spot prawn fishery sees the highest range of potential impacts (as a %). Opal has the highest potential impact on the spot prawn fishery (12.5%), while Ext. A has the lowest potential impact (11.1%).

**Figure 3: Estimated Gross Economic Impact (GEI) and Annual Net Economic Impact (NEI) on Commercial Fisheries (% Reduction in Profit)**



**Figure 4: Estimated Gross Economic Impact (GEI) and Annual Net Economic Impact (NEI) on Commercial Fisheries (\$ Reduction in Profit)**



**Figure 5: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries by Port (% Reduction in Profit)**








Table 12: Estimated Annual GrossEconomic Impact for Santa Barbara

Fishery	Baseline GER	C.I. MPAs					
		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
		<b>\$ Reduction in Profit</b>					
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	\$70,658	\$9,405	\$9,737	\$10,260	\$11,517	\$8,945	\$5,441
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	\$200,567	\$13,759	\$16,727	\$11,392	\$16,747	\$17,169	\$11,833
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	\$1,558,845	\$117,225	\$117,225	\$123,461	\$166,641	\$114,263	\$119,875
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$150,237	\$19,321	\$19,321	\$20,042	\$20,402	\$17,413	\$13,912
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$39,144	\$2,728	\$2,697	\$2,728	\$4,071	\$2,877	\$3,276
Rock Crab	\$845,105	\$80,538	\$80,538	\$80,285	\$92,793	\$78,510	\$59,326
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$19,874	\$2,339	\$2,339	\$2,427	\$2,478	\$2,244	\$1,936
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	\$163,088	\$4,681	\$4,681	\$4,730	\$5,773	\$4,615	\$4,420
Spot Prawn	\$48,537	\$5,912	\$5,912	\$3,305	\$5,989	\$5,912	\$0
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	\$3,064,404	\$239,636	\$231,056	\$243,007	\$251,281	\$228,298	\$211,444
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$6,160,459</b>	<b>\$495,544</b>	<b>\$490,233</b>	<b>\$501,636</b>	<b>\$577,693</b>	<b>\$480,246</b>	<b>\$431,463</b>

Fishery	Baseline GER	C.I. MPAs					
		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
		<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>					
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	100.0%	13.3%	13.8%	14.5%	16.3%	12.7%	7.7%
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	100.0%	6.9%	8.3%	5.7%	8.4%	8.6%	5.9%
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	100.0%	7.5%	7.5%	7.9%	10.7%	7.3%	7.7%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	100.0%	12.9%	12.9%	13.3%	13.6%	11.6%	9.3%
N. Fishery (Trap)	100.0%	7.0%	6.9%	7.0%	10.4%	7.4%	8.4%
Rock Crab	100.0%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	11.0%	9.3%	7.0%
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100.0%	11.8%	11.8%	12.2%	12.5%	11.3%	9.7%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	100.0%	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	3.5%	2.8%	2.7%
Spot Prawn	100.0%	12.2%	12.2%	6.8%	12.3%	12.2%	0.0%
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	100.0%	7.8%	7.5%	7.9%	8.2%	7.5%	6.9%
<b>All Fisheries</b>	—	8.0%	8.0%	8.1%	9.4%	7.8%	7.0%



Table 14: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact for Port Huenueme

Fishery	Baseline GER	C.I. MPAs					\$ Reduction in Profit						
		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	\$19,373	\$1,207	\$2,718	\$1,639	\$2,424	\$3,220	\$2,850	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	\$767,935	\$5,913	\$35,709	\$26,571	\$44,847	\$27,185	\$23,115	\$20,691	\$19,177	\$20,565	\$22,079	\$22,836	\$23,088
Lobster	\$420,552	\$84	\$9,918	\$7,336	\$11,208	\$8,582	\$89	\$9,918	\$873	\$1,020	\$1,641	\$1,690	\$1,364
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$49,637	\$0	\$13	\$13	\$26	\$26	\$26	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$61,447	\$0	—	—	—	—	—	\$13	—	—	—	—	—
Rock Crab	\$131,803	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$258,699	\$36,735	\$41,418	\$43,617	\$49,412	\$43,384	\$41,625	\$45,272	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$427,903	\$111,726	\$117,673	\$111,726	\$111,726	\$116,818	\$127,173	\$111,726	\$117,673	\$111,726	\$111,726	\$116,818	\$127,173
Squid	\$7,387,374	\$210,540	\$787,494	\$1,050,485	\$460,233	\$428,468	\$456,540	\$819,999	\$787,494	\$1,050,485	\$460,233	\$428,468	\$456,540
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	\$1,536,277	\$52,233	\$75,585	\$118,140	\$136,729	\$96,171	\$94,788	\$133,656	\$75,585	\$118,140	\$136,729	\$96,171	\$94,788
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$11,061,000</b>	<b>\$431,308</b>	<b>\$1,090,578</b>	<b>\$1,381,111</b>	<b>\$840,324</b>	<b>\$748,379</b>	<b>\$770,658</b>	<b>\$1,177,046</b>	<b>\$1,090,578</b>	<b>\$1,381,111</b>	<b>\$840,324</b>	<b>\$748,379</b>	<b>\$770,658</b>
		<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>	<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	100%	6.2%	14.0%	8.5%	12.5%	16.6%	14.7%	8.1%	14.0%	8.5%	12.5%	16.6%	14.7%
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	100%	0.8%	4.7%	3.5%	5.8%	3.5%	3.0%	4.3%	4.7%	3.5%	5.8%	3.5%	3.0%
Lobster	100%	3.1%	4.6%	4.9%	5.3%	5.4%	5.5%	4.9%	4.6%	4.9%	5.3%	5.4%	5.5%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	100%	0.2%	20.0%	14.8%	22.6%	17.3%	0.2%	20.0%	20.0%	14.8%	22.6%	17.3%	0.2%
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	0.0%	1.4%	1.7%	2.7%	2.8%	2.2%	1.7%	1.4%	1.7%	2.7%	2.8%	2.2%
Rock Crab	100%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	14.2%	16.0%	16.9%	19.1%	16.8%	16.1%	17.5%	16.0%	16.9%	19.1%	16.8%	16.1%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	100%	26.1%	27.5%	26.1%	26.1%	27.3%	29.7%	26.1%	27.5%	26.1%	26.1%	27.3%	29.7%
Squid	100%	2.9%	10.7%	14.2%	6.2%	5.8%	6.2%	11.1%	10.7%	14.2%	6.2%	5.8%	6.2%
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	100%	3.4%	4.9%	7.7%	8.9%	6.3%	6.2%	8.7%	4.9%	7.7%	8.9%	6.3%	6.2%
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>

**Table 15: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact for San Pedro**

Fishery	Baseline GER	C.I. MPAs					\$ Reduction in Profit						
		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	\$5,121,261	\$27,143	\$288,327	\$256,575	\$263,745	\$143,395	\$115,228	\$69,510	\$64,608	\$60,294	\$71,274	\$71,961	\$71,666
Lobster	\$980,389	\$937	\$1,639	\$1,726	\$1,831	\$1,527	\$1,027	\$4,579	\$6,636	\$7,285	\$5,772	\$4,977	\$6,651
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$14,034	\$0	\$68	\$27	\$82	\$82	\$82	\$96	\$20,227	\$25,600	\$20,433	\$20,268	\$17,747
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$76,447	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,135	\$18,951	\$17,038	\$16,114	\$18,572	\$19,792
Rock Crab	\$136,953	\$2,985	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sablefish	\$68,707	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,576	\$9,109	\$5,177	\$6,228	\$1,596	\$4,671
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$164,935	\$231,532	\$901,475	\$1,119,073	\$618,491	\$505,941	\$540,242	\$996,875	\$78,968	\$125,053	\$104,785	\$79,388	\$58,280
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$389,257	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$66,045	\$225,784	\$215,711	\$214,835	\$225,565	\$244,618
Squid	\$10,719,087	\$74,896	\$338,475	\$1,615,792	\$1,833,559	\$1,323,592	\$1,073,272	\$1,725,023	\$1,615,792	\$1,833,559	\$1,323,592	\$1,073,272	\$1,080,005
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	\$280,325	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	\$2,189,956	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$20,141,349</b>	<b>\$338,475</b>	<b>\$1,615,792</b>	<b>\$1,833,559</b>	<b>\$1,323,592</b>	<b>\$1,073,272</b>	<b>\$1,080,005</b>	<b>\$1,725,023</b>	<b>\$1,615,792</b>	<b>\$1,833,559</b>	<b>\$1,323,592</b>	<b>\$1,073,272</b>	<b>\$1,080,005</b>

Fishery	Baseline GER	% Reduction in Profit					% Reduction in Profit						
		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	100%	0.5%	5.6%	5.0%	5.2%	2.8%	2.3%	6.5%	5.6%	5.0%	5.2%	2.8%	2.3%
Lobster	100%	0.1%	6.6%	6.2%	7.3%	7.3%	7.3%	7.1%	6.6%	6.2%	7.3%	7.3%	7.3%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	100%	6.7%	11.7%	12.3%	13.1%	10.9%	7.3%	11.7%	11.7%	12.3%	13.1%	10.9%	7.3%
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	0.0%	8.7%	9.5%	7.6%	6.5%	8.7%	6.0%	8.7%	9.5%	7.6%	6.5%	8.7%
Rock Crab	100%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Sablefish	100%	0.0%	29.4%	37.3%	29.7%	29.5%	25.8%	11.8%	29.4%	37.3%	29.7%	29.5%	25.8%
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	1.8%	11.5%	10.3%	9.8%	11.3%	12.0%	10.0%	11.5%	10.3%	9.8%	11.3%	12.0%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	100%	0.0%	2.3%	1.3%	1.6%	0.4%	1.2%	2.5%	2.3%	1.3%	1.6%	0.4%	1.2%
Squid	100%	2.2%	8.4%	10.4%	5.8%	4.7%	5.0%	9.3%	8.4%	10.4%	5.8%	4.7%	5.0%
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	100%	0.0%	28.2%	44.6%	37.4%	28.3%	20.8%	23.6%	28.2%	44.6%	37.4%	28.3%	20.8%
Urchin	100%	3.4%	10.3%	9.9%	9.8%	10.3%	11.2%	10.0%	10.3%	9.9%	9.8%	10.3%	11.2%
<b>All Fisheries</b>	—	1.7%	8.0%	9.1%	6.6%	5.3%	5.4%	8.6%	8.0%	9.1%	6.6%	5.3%	5.4%

Table 16: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact for Dana Point

Fishery	Baseline GER	C.I. MPAs					Topaz	Opal	Lapis 2	Lapis 1	Ext. A	Ext. B
		\$ Reduction in Profit										
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Lobster	\$914,095	\$0	\$79,892	\$72,671	\$99,179	\$113,256	\$27,057	\$68,831	—	—	—	
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$31,345	\$0	\$10,253	\$9,513	\$821	\$2,693	\$740	\$821	—	—	—	
Rock Crab	\$38,375	\$0	\$3,933	\$2,671	\$710	\$2,468	\$668	\$787	—	—	—	
Sablefish	\$127,274	\$0	\$15,069	\$37,469	\$47,422	\$37,851	\$37,546	\$32,875	—	—	—	
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Spot/Prawn	\$300,792	\$0	\$12,122	\$12,874	\$38,742	\$30,410	\$12,182	\$12,302	—	—	—	
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Swordfish	\$196,774	\$3,227	\$14,778	\$14,797	\$37,544	\$18,516	\$14,561	\$14,542	—	—	—	
Thornyhead	\$160,858	\$0	\$43,689	\$44,686	\$75,394	\$63,925	\$44,960	\$30,949	—	—	—	
Urchin	\$90,579	\$0	\$12,092	\$10,661	\$770	\$3,524	\$1,431	\$2,129	—	—	—	
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$1,860,091</b>	<b>\$3,227</b>	<b>\$191,828</b>	<b>\$205,343</b>	<b>\$300,583</b>	<b>\$272,642</b>	<b>\$139,145</b>	<b>\$163,236</b>	—	—	—	
<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>												
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Lobster	100%	0.0%	8.7%	8.0%	10.9%	12.4%	3.0%	7.5%	—	—	—	
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	0.0%	32.7%	30.4%	2.6%	8.6%	2.4%	2.6%	—	—	—	
Rock Crab	100%	0.0%	10.3%	7.0%	1.9%	6.4%	1.7%	2.1%	—	—	—	
Sablefish	100%	0.0%	11.8%	29.4%	37.3%	29.7%	29.5%	25.8%	—	—	—	
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Spot/Prawn	100%	0.0%	4.0%	4.3%	12.9%	10.1%	4.1%	4.1%	—	—	—	
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Swordfish	100%	1.6%	7.5%	7.5%	19.1%	9.4%	7.4%	7.4%	—	—	—	
Thornyhead	100%	0.0%	27.2%	27.8%	46.9%	39.7%	28.0%	19.2%	—	—	—	
Urchin	100%	0.0%	13.4%	11.8%	0.9%	3.9%	1.6%	2.4%	—	—	—	
<b>All Fisheries</b>	—	0.2%	10.3%	11.0%	16.2%	14.7%	7.5%	8.8%	—	—	—	

Table 17: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact for Oceanside

Fishery	Baseline GER	C.I. MPAs					
		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	\$400,696	\$47,603	\$24,923	\$29,972	\$29,571	\$30,293	\$30,773
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$21,205	\$634	\$170	\$208	\$284	\$170	\$165
Rock Crab	\$35,177	\$18	\$11	\$11	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sablefish	\$90,829	\$10,754	\$26,740	\$33,843	\$27,013	\$26,795	\$23,461
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot/Prawn	\$211,491	\$27,282	\$27,282	\$27,282	\$27,282	\$27,282	\$27,282
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	\$207,737	\$54,531	\$57,896	\$96,182	\$81,350	\$58,250	\$40,779
Urchin	\$20,191	\$1,183	\$7,786	\$10,649	\$7,529	\$7,786	\$7,786
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$987,326</b>	<b>\$142,005</b>	<b>\$144,808</b>	<b>\$198,147</b>	<b>\$173,029</b>	<b>\$150,574</b>	<b>\$130,247</b>

Fishery	Baseline GER	C.I. MPAs					
		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	100%	11.9%	6.2%	7.5%	7.4%	7.6%	7.7%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	3.0%	0.8%	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%	0.8%
Rock Crab	100%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sablefish	100%	11.8%	29.4%	37.3%	29.7%	29.5%	25.8%
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot/Prawn	100%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	100%	26.3%	27.9%	46.3%	39.2%	28.0%	19.6%
Urchin	100%	5.9%	38.6%	52.7%	37.3%	38.6%	38.6%
<b>All Fisheries</b>	—	14.4%	14.7%	20.1%	17.5%	15.3%	13.2%

Table 18: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact for San Diego

Fishery	Baseline GER	C.I. MPAs					
		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	\$1,715,118	\$413,344	\$242,003	\$323,814	\$277,163	\$233,085	\$225,024
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$3,291	\$349	\$414	\$465	\$414	\$458	\$458
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$107,924	\$19,383	\$12,692	\$16,016	\$16,145	\$12,400	\$12,077
Rock Crab	\$155,496	\$14,026	\$13,668	\$16,312	\$12,175	\$12,222	\$12,238
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$7,712	\$2,822	\$1,379	\$2,098	\$1,203	\$1,174	\$1,174
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot/Prawn	\$254,984	\$34,780	\$29,833	\$31,669	\$29,986	\$29,425	\$29,451
Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	\$169,952	\$1,037	\$1,360	\$1,751	\$1,411	\$1,326	\$1,105
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	\$678,742	\$128,418	\$36,924	\$76,087	\$39,774	\$28,575	\$20,023
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>\$3,093,219</b>	<b>\$614,157</b>	<b>\$338,272</b>	<b>\$468,212</b>	<b>\$378,272</b>	<b>\$318,666</b>	<b>\$301,549</b>
		<b>\$ Reduction in Profit</b>					
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lobster	100%	24.1%	14.1%	18.9%	16.2%	13.6%	13.1%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	100%	10.6%	12.6%	14.1%	12.6%	13.9%	13.9%
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	18.0%	11.8%	14.8%	15.0%	11.5%	11.2%
Rock Crab	100%	9.0%	8.8%	10.5%	7.8%	7.9%	7.9%
Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	36.6%	17.9%	27.2%	15.6%	15.2%	15.2%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot/Prawn	100%	13.6%	11.7%	12.4%	11.8%	11.5%	11.6%
Squid	100%	—	—	—	—	—	—
Swordfish	100%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	100%	18.9%	5.4%	11.2%	5.9%	4.2%	3.0%
<b>All Fisheries</b>	—	19.9%	10.9%	15.1%	12.2%	10.3%	9.7%
		<b>% Reduction in Profit</b>					



Table 19: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact for the SCSR

Fishery	Baseline GER	C.I. MPAs		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
		\$ Reduction in Profit	\$ Reduction in Profit						
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	\$108,209	\$6,399		\$12,625	\$15,167	\$13,569	\$16,426	\$15,375	\$11,150
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	\$5,889,196	\$33,056		\$367,081	\$324,036	\$283,146	\$308,592	\$170,580	\$138,343
Lobster	\$6,360,856	\$67,941		\$754,425	\$544,987	\$662,853	\$688,818	\$507,771	\$545,568
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$217,200	\$15,114		\$31,226	\$31,291	\$29,570	\$33,855	\$27,980	\$15,487
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$372,719	\$1,679		\$38,598	\$32,580	\$28,079	\$30,605	\$22,853	\$24,355
Rock Crab	\$1,469,292	\$37,818		\$103,060	\$101,431	\$101,794	\$112,056	\$96,020	\$76,933
Sablefish	\$286,809	\$0		\$33,958	\$84,437	\$106,865	\$85,297	\$84,609	\$74,083
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$500,296	\$41,825		\$75,496	\$69,834	\$72,443	\$80,941	\$72,887	\$75,078
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$1,741,435	\$111,726		\$201,397	\$202,683	\$217,901	\$211,622	\$193,215	\$200,879
Squid	\$22,459,304	\$573,528		\$2,256,946	\$2,099,878	\$2,744,133	\$1,296,802	\$1,127,240	\$1,191,354
Swordfish	\$366,725	\$3,448		\$15,814	\$16,157	\$39,295	\$19,927	\$15,887	\$15,646
Thornyhead	\$648,920	\$0		\$164,264	\$181,550	\$296,629	\$250,060	\$182,597	\$130,007
Urchin	\$7,580,148	\$329,993		\$733,106	\$587,796	\$664,363	\$653,671	\$587,826	\$580,787
<b>All Fisheries<sup>7</sup></b>	<b>\$48,001,110</b>	<b>\$1,222,527</b>		<b>\$4,787,997</b>	<b>\$4,291,826</b>	<b>\$5,260,638</b>	<b>\$3,788,674</b>	<b>\$3,104,842</b>	<b>\$3,079,671</b>

Fishery	Baseline GER	% Reduction in Profit		Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
		% Reduction in Profit	% Reduction in Profit						
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	100%	5.9%		11.7%	14.0%	12.5%	15.2%	14.2%	10.3%
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	100%	0.6%		6.2%	5.5%	4.8%	5.2%	2.9%	2.3%
Lobster	100%	1.1%		11.9%	8.6%	10.4%	10.8%	8.0%	8.6%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	100%	7.0%		14.4%	14.4%	13.6%	15.6%	12.9%	7.1%
N. Fishery (Trap)	100%	0.5%		10.4%	8.7%	7.5%	8.2%	6.1%	6.5%
Rock Crab	100%	2.6%		7.0%	6.9%	6.9%	7.6%	6.5%	5.2%
Sablefish	100%	0.0%		11.8%	29.4%	37.3%	29.7%	29.5%	25.8%
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	100%	8.4%		15.1%	14.0%	14.5%	16.2%	14.6%	15.0%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	100%	6.4%		11.6%	11.6%	12.5%	12.2%	11.1%	11.5%
Squid	100%	2.6%		10.0%	9.3%	12.2%	5.8%	5.0%	5.3%
Swordfish	100%	0.9%		4.3%	4.4%	10.7%	5.4%	4.3%	4.3%
Thornyhead	100%	0.0%		25.3%	28.0%	45.7%	38.5%	28.1%	20.0%
Urchin	100%	4.4%		9.7%	7.8%	8.8%	8.6%	7.8%	7.7%
<b>All Fisheries</b>	<b>—</b>	<b>2.5%</b>		<b>10.0%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>

<sup>7</sup> Santa Barbara Ca. Halibut (Trawl) and Sea Cucumber (Trawl) are not included in this total. Please see Table 12 for estimated impacts on these two fisheries.

## 4. Results for Commercial Passenger Fishing Vessels (CPFV)

We summarize here our analyses of the potential impacts on the 10 CPFV fisheries (i.e., Barracuda, Ca. Halibut, Calico Bass, Lingcod, Rockfish, Ca. Scorpionfish, Ca. Sheephead, Sand Bass, Whitefish, and White Seabass). The results for CPFV fisheries are broken out by study region and by port (i.e., Santa Barbara, Port Hueneme/Channel Islands Harbor, Santa Monica, San Pedro/Long Beach, Newport Beach, Dana Point, Oceanside, and San Diego).

### 4.1 Potential Impacts on CPFV Fishing Grounds (Area and Value)

MPA proposals vary considerably in their effects, both between and across fisheries. As mentioned previously, this report only presents results. Evaluation methods are presented in a separate document. Each proposal affects the CPFV fisheries differently. For information on the potential impacts on CPFV fishing grounds for the 80 port-fishery combinations considered in this analysis (both in terms of total area and total value), please see Tables A.3 and A.4 in the Appendix.

### 4.2 Potential Economic Impacts on CPFV Fisheries


Table 20 summarizes the MPA proposals with the estimated highest and lowest potential economic impact by port (for associated values, see Table 21). On average, Ext. B is estimated to have the lowest potential net economic impact across the study region, while Lapis 1 is estimated to have the highest potential impact.

Figure 6 summarizes the potential annual net economic impact on all SCSR CPFV fisheries considered. Similar to our analysis of the commercial fisheries, we calculate the potential net economic impact for the CPFV fisheries as the average (i.e., for all 10 species considered) percentage reduction on net economic revenue (i.e., profit). The potential impacts from each proposal are further broken down by port in Figure 7. On average, Santa Monica is the port estimated to see the lowest potential net economic impacts (as a %), while San Diego is estimated to see the highest potential impacts (as a %).

**Table 20: Highest/Lowest Annual Estimated Net Economic Impact on CPFV Fisheries by Port**

Port	MPA Proposal(s) with highest potential impact	MPA Proposal(s) with lowest potential impact
Santa Barbara	Topaz	Ext. B
Port Hueneme / Channel Islands Harbor	Topaz	Lapis 2
Santa Monica	Lapis 1	Ext. B
San Pedro / Long Beach	Opal	Lapis 1
Newport Beach	Lapis 1	Ext. A
Dana Point	Lapis 1	Ext. A
Oceanside	Topaz	Ext. B
San Diego	Lapis 1	Ext. B
<b>Study Region</b>	<b>Lapis 1</b>	<b>Ext. B</b>


**Figure 6: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on CPFV Fisheries (% Reduction in Profit)**



**Table 21: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on CPFV Fisheries by Port (Reduction in Profit)**

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	C.I. MPAs		% Reduction in Profit					
				7.5%	11.8%	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Santa Barbara	100%	67%	33%	7.5%	13.5%	15.0%	16.8%	12.7%	10.6%		
Port Hueneme / Channel Islands Harbor	100%	61%	39%	11.8%	27.0%	25.9%	28.2%	24.2%	23.0%		
Santa Monica	100%	74%	26%	0.0%	16.0%	4.0%	7.8%	8.5%	1.3%		
San Pedro / Long Beach	100%	65%	35%	0.0%	5.4%	7.5%	6.4%	5.6%	6.4%		
Newport Beach	100%	62%	38%	0.0%	16.4%	9.2%	13.7%	5.8%	7.0%		
Dana Point	100%	79%	21%	0.0%	29.0%	11.0%	20.4%	10.7%	12.1%		
Oceanside	100%	62%	38%	0.0%	13.1%	15.2%	16.7%	12.3%	11.7%		
San Diego	100%	82%	18%	2.1%	45.7%	35.8%	31.7%	23.8%	22.4%		
<b>Study Region</b>	—	—	—	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>11.6%</b>	

**Figure 7: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on CPFV Fisheries by Port (% Reduction in Profit)**



## 5. Results for Recreational Fisheries

We summarize here our analyses of the potential impacts on the 17 recreational fisheries (i.e., Barracuda, Bonito, Ca. Halibut, Calico Bass, Croaker, Lobster, Mackerels, Rockfish, Rock Crab, Scallops, Sheephead, Sand Bass, Squid, Surf Perch, Thresher Shark, White Seabass, and Yellowtail). The results for recreational fisheries are broken out by user group (i.e., dive, kayak, and private vessel) and by county (i.e., Santa Barbara, Ventura, Los Angeles, Orange, and San Diego).

### 5.1 Potential Impacts on Recreational Fishing Grounds (Area and Value)

MPA proposals vary considerably in their effects, both between and across fisheries. Each proposal affects the recreational fisheries differently. Due to the large number of fisheries, user groups, and counties considered, we present potential impacts on total recreational fishing grounds (both in terms of total area and total value) in Tables A.5–A.18 in the Appendix.

## Appendix A: Summary Tables of Potential Impacts

Table A.1 Percentage Area of Total Commercial Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Santa Barbara	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	3.7%	9.0%	9.4%	9.6%	10.5%	8.8%	6.3%
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	0.0%	3.5%	4.3%	3.0%	4.2%	4.4%	2.4%
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Lobster	5.8%	9.6%	9.6%	10.1%	10.6%	8.8%	7.8%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	9.8%	13.6%	13.6%	14.0%	14.6%	12.9%	10.5%
	N. Fishery (Trap)	1.6%	6.6%	6.1%	6.6%	11.0%	8.9%	8.7%
	Rock Crab	3.9%	9.4%	9.4%	9.9%	10.5%	8.6%	6.4%
	Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	10.4%	14.5%	14.5%	15.6%	15.8%	13.3%	10.9%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	0.0%	2.3%	2.3%	2.6%	2.6%	2.0%	1.1%
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	12.9%	12.9%	5.6%	13.3%	12.9%	0.0%
	Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	7.2%	12.7%	12.5%	12.8%	13.9%	12.2%	10.4%	
Ventura	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	9.2%	13.2%	16.7%	13.3%	16.8%	18.3%	15.0%
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Lobster	0.1%	2.3%	1.7%	1.8%	2.8%	2.7%	2.3%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	N. Fishery (Trap)	10.5%	12.3%	13.2%	13.3%	13.2%	12.7%	13.1%
	Rock Crab	1.8%	1.8%	2.3%	1.8%	3.2%	3.3%	2.6%
	Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	11.7%	15.1%	14.0%	15.0%	16.5%	14.5%	15.9%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Squid	3.1%	9.4%	8.8%	9.0%	8.8%	7.6%	7.4%
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Urchin	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Port Hueneme	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	7.1%	12.4%	14.9%	13.0%	15.0%	15.4%	11.3%
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	3.8%	8.3%	8.2%	7.6%	8.9%	7.1%	6.2%
	Live Bait	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Lobster	1.0%	4.0%	3.1%	3.5%	4.3%	4.2%	4.3%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	7.0%	15.4%	15.4%	13.5%	16.3%	14.4%	7.3%
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	8.1%	6.9%	8.1%	13.0%	13.4%	10.8%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	1.5%	0.7%	1.5%	3.0%	2.0%	2.0%
	Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	9.5%	14.7%	15.0%	15.3%	16.4%	14.3%	11.9%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	25.6%	25.6%	29.5%	25.6%	25.6%	29.0%	35.8%
	Squid	4.0%	11.2%	10.6%	10.2%	10.6%	9.4%	8.2%
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Urchin	5.5%	7.9%	8.3%	7.8%	8.0%	8.3%	8.2%	

Table A.1 (continued) Percentage Area of Total Commercial Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
San Pedro / Terminal Island	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	3.0%	8.7%	8.5%	7.3%	9.0%	7.6%	5.4%
	Live Bait	0.0%	5.0%	4.7%	4.6%	4.6%	3.5%	2.3%
	Lobster	0.4%	7.1%	6.3%	6.3%	6.5%	6.6%	7.0%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	8.6%	13.5%	13.5%	14.2%	14.9%	12.7%	9.1%
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	6.1%	7.1%	7.5%	8.7%	8.8%	8.7%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	2.1%	1.5%	0.4%	2.8%	2.2%	1.6%
	Sablefish	0.0%	18.9%	38.1%	48.2%	36.8%	38.5%	20.8%
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	7.1%	13.3%	13.7%	14.3%	14.9%	12.7%	9.6%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	3.9%	3.4%	7.6%	5.3%	2.6%	4.4%
	Squid	3.6%	9.9%	9.5%	9.3%	9.4%	8.4%	7.8%
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Thornyhead	0.0%	18.9%	38.1%	48.2%	36.8%	38.5%	20.8%
Urchin	5.9%	9.3%	9.7%	9.2%	9.4%	9.6%	8.9%	
Dana Point	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	0.0%	5.7%	4.3%	0.0%	4.3%	0.2%	0.7%
	Lobster	0.0%	4.5%	4.1%	5.3%	6.0%	2.4%	4.1%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	14.5%	12.3%	2.7%	9.7%	2.4%	2.7%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	11.4%	7.5%	2.7%	9.6%	2.6%	3.0%
	Sablefish	0.0%	18.9%	38.1%	48.2%	36.8%	38.5%	20.8%
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	7.6%	9.7%	16.4%	15.8%	7.7%	8.0%
	Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Swordfish	0.9%	1.6%	1.7%	2.3%	1.8%	1.7%	1.7%
	Thornyhead	0.0%	18.9%	38.1%	48.2%	36.8%	38.5%	20.8%
Urchin	0.0%	4.6%	3.8%	3.0%	4.6%	2.8%	3.0%	
Oceanside	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	0.0%	1.5%	12.1%	13.6%	12.3%	11.9%	6.4%
	Lobster	0.5%	10.5%	9.6%	11.0%	10.2%	9.9%	9.8%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	9.8%	7.0%	8.4%	9.4%	7.0%	6.8%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	4.5%	2.2%	2.3%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%
	Sablefish	0.0%	18.9%	38.1%	48.2%	36.8%	38.5%	20.8%
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	8.5%	8.5%	8.5%	8.5%	8.5%	8.5%
	Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Thornyhead	0.0%	18.9%	38.1%	48.2%	36.8%	38.5%	20.8%
Urchin	0.0%	21.3%	25.3%	32.0%	25.3%	25.3%	25.3%	

Table A.1 (continued): Percentage Area of Total Commercial Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
San Diego	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%
	Lobster	0.0%	8.1%	7.0%	8.3%	6.8%	6.6%	6.5%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	0.0%	5.7%	6.0%	6.5%	6.1%	6.7%	6.7%
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	7.5%	6.0%	7.3%	6.9%	5.6%	5.5%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	12.3%	9.3%	11.1%	10.3%	9.5%	9.5%
	Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	0.0%	37.5%	21.8%	25.8%	21.1%	19.4%	19.4%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	12.9%	11.9%	11.9%	11.7%	11.4%	11.6%
	Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Swordfish	0.1%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%
	Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	0.0%	20.7%	13.0%	18.4%	11.1%	8.3%	7.8%	

Table A.2: Percentage Value of Total Commercial Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Santa Barbara	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	5.6%	13.3%	13.8%	14.5%	16.3%	12.7%	7.7%
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	0.0%	6.9%	8.3%	5.7%	8.4%	8.6%	5.9%
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Lobster	3.4%	7.5%	7.5%	7.9%	10.7%	7.3%	7.7%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	9.4%	12.9%	12.9%	13.3%	13.6%	11.6%	9.3%
	N. Fishery (Trap)	4.3%	7.0%	6.9%	7.0%	10.4%	7.4%	8.4%
	Rock Crab	4.0%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	11.0%	9.3%	7.0%
	Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	9.9%	11.8%	11.8%	12.2%	12.5%	11.3%	9.7%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	3.5%	2.8%	2.7%
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	12.2%	12.2%	6.8%	12.3%	12.2%	0.0%
	Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Urchin	6.6%	7.8%	7.5%	7.9%	8.2%	7.5%	6.9%	
Ventura	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	7.0%	9.0%	14.9%	9.2%	13.7%	17.7%	15.7%
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Lobster	0.0%	1.7%	1.2%	1.5%	2.4%	2.2%	1.7%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Rock Crab	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.6%	3.6%	3.5%
	Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	0.3%	17.4%	11.7%	14.8%	23.9%	15.3%	21.5%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Squid	3.0%	10.1%	9.4%	13.2%	5.0%	4.4%	4.5%
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Urchin	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Port Hueneme	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	6.2%	8.1%	14.0%	8.5%	12.5%	16.6%	14.7%
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	0.8%	4.3%	4.7%	3.5%	5.8%	3.5%	3.0%
	Live Bait	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Lobster	3.1%	4.9%	4.6%	4.9%	5.3%	5.4%	5.5%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	0.2%	20.0%	20.0%	14.8%	22.6%	17.3%	0.2%
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	1.7%	1.4%	1.7%	2.7%	2.8%	2.2%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	14.2%	17.5%	16.0%	16.9%	19.1%	16.8%	16.1%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	26.1%	26.1%	27.5%	26.1%	26.1%	27.3%	29.7%
	Squid	2.9%	11.1%	10.7%	14.2%	6.2%	5.8%	6.2%
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Urchin	3.4%	8.7%	4.9%	7.7%	8.9%	6.3%	6.2%	



Table A.2 (continued): Percentage Value of Total Commercial Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
San Pedro / Terminal Island	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	0.5%	6.5%	5.6%	5.0%	5.2%	2.8%	2.3%
	Live Bait	0.0%	2.5%	2.1%	2.8%	2.4%	1.5%	1.1%
	Lobster	0.1%	7.1%	6.6%	6.2%	7.3%	7.3%	7.3%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	6.7%	11.7%	11.7%	12.3%	13.1%	10.9%	7.3%
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	6.0%	8.7%	9.5%	7.6%	6.5%	8.7%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
	Sablefish	0.0%	11.8%	29.4%	37.3%	29.7%	29.5%	25.8%
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	1.8%	10.0%	11.5%	10.3%	9.8%	11.3%	12.0%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	2.5%	2.3%	1.3%	1.6%	0.4%	1.2%
	Squid	2.2%	9.3%	8.4%	10.4%	5.8%	4.7%	5.0%
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	0.0%	23.6%	28.2%	44.6%	37.4%	28.3%	20.8%	
Urchin	3.4%	10.0%	10.3%	9.9%	9.8%	10.3%	11.2%	
Dana Point	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	0.0%	8.2%	6.7%	0.0%	4.7%	0.2%	0.7%
	Lobster	0.0%	8.7%	8.0%	10.9%	12.4%	3.0%	7.5%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	32.7%	30.4%	2.6%	8.6%	2.4%	2.6%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	10.3%	7.0%	1.9%	6.4%	1.7%	2.1%
	Sablefish	0.0%	11.8%	29.4%	37.3%	29.7%	29.5%	25.8%
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	4.0%	4.3%	12.9%	10.1%	4.1%	4.1%
	Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Swordfish	1.6%	7.5%	7.5%	19.1%	9.4%	7.4%	7.4%
Thornyhead	0.0%	27.2%	27.8%	46.9%	39.7%	28.0%	19.2%	
Urchin	0.0%	13.4%	11.8%	0.9%	3.9%	1.6%	2.4%	
Oceanside	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	0.0%	0.2%	1.3%	1.4%	1.3%	1.2%	0.7%
	Lobster	0.4%	11.9%	6.2%	7.5%	7.4%	7.6%	7.7%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	3.0%	0.8%	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%	0.8%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Sablefish	0.0%	11.8%	29.4%	37.3%	29.7%	29.5%	25.8%
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%
	Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Swordfish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Thornyhead	0.0%	26.3%	27.9%	46.3%	39.2%	28.0%	19.6%	
Urchin	0.0%	5.9%	38.6%	52.7%	37.3%	38.6%	38.6%	

Table A.2 (continued): Percentage Value of Total Commercial Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
San Diego	Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Coastal Pelagics	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Live Bait	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%
	Lobster	0.0%	24.1%	14.1%	18.9%	16.2%	13.6%	13.1%
	N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	0.0%	10.6%	12.6%	14.1%	12.6%	13.9%	13.9%
	N. Fishery (Trap)	0.0%	18.0%	11.8%	14.8%	15.0%	11.5%	11.2%
	Rock Crab	0.0%	9.0%	8.8%	10.5%	7.8%	7.9%	7.9%
	Sablefish	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Sea Cucumber (Diving)	0.0%	36.6%	17.9%	27.2%	15.6%	15.2%	15.2%
	Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Spot Prawn	0.0%	13.6%	11.7%	12.4%	11.8%	11.5%	11.6%
	Squid	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Swordfish	0.1%	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%
	Thornyhead	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Urchin	0.0%	18.9%	5.4%	11.2%	5.9%	4.2%	3.0%	

Table A.3: Percentage Area of Total CPFV Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Santa Barbara	Barracuda	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	8.9%	9.2%	8.3%	9.0%
	Ca. Halibut	9.5%	11.6%	11.6%	12.4%	13.3%	11.2%	11.0%
	Calico Bass	9.3%	12.5%	12.5%	13.1%	13.9%	11.9%	11.1%
	Lingcod	7.1%	10.9%	10.9%	11.1%	11.8%	10.4%	8.6%
	Rockfish	7.2%	10.7%	10.7%	10.8%	11.4%	10.3%	8.6%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	8.5%	8.7%	8.7%	9.6%	9.8%	8.5%	9.5%
	Ca. Sheephead	6.6%	12.1%	12.1%	12.2%	13.2%	11.3%	8.8%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	6.3%	2.7%	3.0%
	Whitefish	9.2%	10.6%	10.6%	11.3%	11.5%	10.6%	11.1%
	White Seabass	8.1%	11.8%	11.8%	12.4%	13.0%	11.2%	10.2%
Port Hueneume / Channel Islands Harbor	Barracuda	5.9%	8.4%	7.7%	8.3%	9.0%	8.2%	8.2%
	Ca. Halibut	14.6%	19.2%	17.7%	18.4%	19.3%	19.1%	19.0%
	Calico Bass	4.5%	7.7%	7.0%	7.8%	8.8%	7.4%	7.8%
	Lingcod	10.4%	11.6%	11.2%	11.4%	11.7%	11.7%	11.6%
	Rockfish	11.6%	12.6%	12.3%	12.5%	12.7%	12.8%	12.5%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	6.9%	9.4%	8.6%	9.1%	9.9%	9.4%	9.3%
	Ca. Sheephead	5.4%	7.8%	7.1%	7.6%	8.0%	7.9%	7.7%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	4.0%	2.7%	3.4%	4.1%	4.0%	4.3%
	Whitefish	10.8%	14.2%	13.1%	13.7%	14.9%	14.2%	14.1%
	White Seabass	10.1%	15.3%	13.7%	14.6%	15.6%	15.5%	14.9%
Santa Monica	Barracuda	0.0%	4.7%	7.2%	3.5%	3.8%	6.4%	1.1%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	4.4%	5.5%	4.0%	3.9%	4.7%	1.8%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	4.9%	5.3%	4.7%	4.7%	4.8%	3.8%
	Lingcod	0.0%	8.5%	8.9%	5.4%	6.5%	7.3%	4.9%
	Rockfish	0.0%	10.8%	11.1%	7.0%	7.9%	8.7%	6.5%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.0%	4.3%	5.5%	2.5%	2.8%	3.9%	2.6%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.0%	8.0%	8.1%	7.8%	7.8%	7.9%	6.9%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	2.0%	3.7%	0.9%	1.5%	3.7%	0.6%
	Whitefish	0.0%	2.5%	4.1%	2.2%	2.3%	3.5%	1.2%
	White Seabass	0.0%	6.2%	6.8%	5.1%	5.6%	6.9%	4.5%
San Pedro / Long Beach	Barracuda	0.0%	5.1%	4.5%	4.3%	4.8%	4.0%	2.9%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	2.5%	3.6%	3.2%	2.9%	3.1%	3.5%
	Calico Bass	0.6%	4.8%	5.9%	5.8%	5.3%	5.1%	5.7%
	Lingcod	0.4%	11.6%	11.3%	11.8%	11.5%	10.0%	9.0%
	Rockfish	0.3%	10.5%	10.1%	10.6%	10.3%	9.2%	10.1%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.2%	4.0%	4.2%	4.9%	4.3%	3.0%	4.2%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.1%	6.3%	6.6%	5.9%	6.9%	4.9%	5.4%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	0.9%	1.9%	0.8%	1.1%	1.9%	1.9%
	Whitefish	0.2%	6.5%	7.0%	5.4%	7.3%	5.8%	5.2%
	White Seabass	0.0%	6.1%	6.0%	5.8%	5.6%	5.2%	4.2%

Table A.3 (continued): Percentage Area of Total CPFV Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Newport Beach	Barracuda	0.0%	3.9%	4.8%	5.6%	7.1%	3.1%	2.0%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	3.9%	3.5%	2.1%	3.1%	1.0%	1.5%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	6.0%	5.1%	3.6%	4.2%	2.2%	2.8%
	Lingcod	0.0%	11.2%	10.1%	10.4%	12.1%	5.1%	8.0%
	Rockfish	0.0%	9.8%	8.9%	10.6%	11.2%	4.3%	8.1%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.0%	7.7%	6.1%	2.1%	6.9%	2.0%	2.2%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.0%	8.3%	7.0%	7.0%	8.7%	1.8%	4.7%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	4.7%	3.7%	1.1%	2.3%	1.0%	1.2%
	Whitefish	0.0%	6.2%	5.4%	4.3%	5.9%	2.3%	3.0%
	White Seabass	0.0%	8.7%	6.8%	7.0%	8.1%	3.8%	3.5%
Dana Point	Barracuda	0.0%	4.5%	3.7%	3.3%	7.4%	3.1%	2.5%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	7.4%	6.6%	4.2%	6.1%	1.9%	3.0%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	11.9%	10.9%	7.5%	8.3%	4.9%	6.0%
	Lingcod	0.0%	13.8%	13.1%	8.2%	12.4%	7.8%	8.2%
	Rockfish	0.0%	17.6%	16.7%	12.6%	16.4%	12.1%	12.7%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.0%	12.4%	12.1%	12.7%	12.9%	6.9%	10.1%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.0%	10.7%	9.9%	4.3%	9.4%	3.7%	4.2%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	8.5%	6.9%	2.0%	4.4%	1.9%	2.2%
	Whitefish	0.0%	21.6%	21.8%	12.8%	18.0%	13.4%	13.8%
	White Seabass	0.0%	7.4%	6.8%	3.9%	3.8%	1.1%	1.4%
Oceanside	Barracuda	0.0%	8.1%	6.4%	7.6%	7.5%	4.2%	3.0%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	4.6%	5.9%	6.8%	8.2%	5.8%	5.8%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	7.8%	6.1%	7.3%	7.3%	5.0%	5.0%
	Lingcod	0.0%	6.4%	6.0%	6.9%	7.8%	5.9%	5.8%
	Rockfish	0.0%	6.6%	7.1%	8.2%	7.1%	7.0%	6.9%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.0%	7.4%	5.9%	7.1%	6.7%	5.8%	5.8%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.0%	8.4%	6.7%	7.9%	7.8%	5.6%	5.5%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	5.7%	6.9%	6.9%	8.4%	5.9%	6.0%
	Whitefish	0.0%	7.5%	7.7%	8.8%	10.2%	7.6%	7.7%
	White Seabass	0.0%	9.6%	7.8%	10.9%	9.3%	6.1%	3.3%
San Diego	Barracuda	2.7%	8.4%	7.6%	8.6%	8.1%	6.1%	5.6%
	Ca. Halibut	1.5%	9.4%	7.7%	9.1%	8.7%	6.9%	6.8%
	Calico Bass	0.2%	9.8%	7.6%	10.2%	8.6%	6.1%	6.5%
	Lingcod	8.7%	12.8%	12.5%	13.1%	12.8%	12.3%	12.2%
	Rockfish	9.6%	12.4%	12.4%	12.7%	12.4%	12.6%	12.6%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	1.2%	7.9%	6.9%	7.9%	7.4%	6.6%	6.5%
	Ca. Sheephead	1.3%	7.8%	7.1%	8.6%	7.6%	6.2%	6.7%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	10.2%	8.0%	9.9%	8.9%	7.0%	6.9%
	Whitefish	3.0%	12.9%	11.3%	13.7%	12.7%	10.0%	10.4%
	White Seabass	1.8%	13.3%	11.6%	14.5%	13.0%	9.9%	7.4%

Table A.4: Percentage Value of Total CPFV Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Santa Barbara	Barracuda	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	3.0%	3.3%	2.7%	2.8%
	Ca. Halibut	5.5%	10.1%	10.1%	11.6%	13.4%	9.1%	8.2%
	Calico Bass	1.2%	6.0%	6.0%	6.8%	9.3%	5.2%	3.8%
	Lingcod	4.8%	10.1%	10.1%	10.9%	11.4%	9.4%	7.0%
	Rockfish	3.7%	7.9%	7.9%	8.4%	8.5%	7.4%	5.2%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	3.7%	3.7%	3.7%	3.8%	4.3%	3.7%	3.8%
	Ca. Sheephead	5.3%	9.6%	9.6%	10.6%	10.9%	9.0%	7.1%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	6.1%	2.9%	1.6%
	Whitefish	8.2%	9.2%	9.2%	10.6%	10.1%	9.2%	9.6%
	White Seabass	3.6%	7.4%	7.4%	8.2%	9.1%	6.8%	5.6%
Port Hueneme / Channel Islands Harbor	Barracuda	3.4%	11.0%	8.4%	10.7%	12.4%	10.9%	10.3%
	Ca. Halibut	12.0%	23.6%	16.7%	22.8%	23.3%	20.1%	19.5%
	Calico Bass	3.3%	16.2%	9.6%	15.4%	17.9%	14.0%	14.3%
	Lingcod	10.6%	14.4%	12.9%	14.2%	14.8%	14.5%	13.5%
	Rockfish	12.1%	14.9%	13.9%	14.8%	15.2%	15.1%	14.0%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	4.3%	14.2%	9.6%	13.5%	16.2%	13.0%	11.7%
	Ca. Sheephead	7.0%	15.9%	11.1%	15.3%	15.5%	13.6%	13.1%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	3.8%	1.5%	3.4%	3.9%	2.8%	2.5%
	Whitefish	5.2%	16.3%	9.9%	15.5%	18.1%	13.2%	12.6%
	White Seabass	6.6%	16.8%	11.7%	16.0%	16.8%	14.9%	13.9%
Santa Monica	Barracuda	0.0%	7.1%	5.5%	1.3%	3.2%	5.4%	0.4%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	4.0%	5.6%	1.7%	3.1%	5.6%	0.3%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	8.0%	4.8%	3.1%	6.1%	4.6%	0.8%
	Lingcod	0.0%	7.8%	2.2%	0.7%	1.6%	2.0%	0.3%
	Rockfish	0.0%	8.1%	3.5%	0.6%	1.4%	3.3%	0.4%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.0%	4.1%	0.8%	0.8%	1.5%	0.6%	0.3%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.0%	7.8%	3.4%	2.7%	4.9%	2.8%	1.2%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	3.9%	1.5%	0.3%	0.9%	1.5%	0.0%
	Whitefish	0.0%	6.1%	3.5%	2.9%	3.8%	2.8%	1.4%
	White Seabass	0.0%	7.4%	5.8%	2.1%	4.8%	5.4%	0.4%
San Pedro / Long Beach	Barracuda	0.0%	1.3%	2.4%	1.9%	1.8%	2.1%	1.6%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	1.3%	2.1%	2.7%	1.9%	1.3%	2.1%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	3.2%	4.5%	5.0%	4.1%	3.5%	4.5%
	Lingcod	0.0%	5.5%	6.2%	5.9%	5.4%	4.8%	6.1%
	Rockfish	0.0%	5.3%	5.2%	4.7%	4.9%	4.6%	5.0%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.0%	2.5%	3.7%	2.6%	2.7%	3.4%	3.6%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.0%	3.4%	4.9%	5.4%	4.5%	3.3%	4.8%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	0.2%	0.8%	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.8%
	Whitefish	0.0%	1.9%	3.1%	3.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.8%
	White Seabass	0.0%	5.7%	8.1%	10.3%	7.6%	5.2%	4.8%

Table A.4 (continued): Percentage Value of Total CPFV Fishing Grounds Affected by Port

Port	Fishery	C.I. MPAs	Lapis 1	Lapis 2	Opal	Topaz	Ext. A	Ext. B
Newport Beach	Barracuda	0.0%	13.9%	11.6%	5.7%	7.4%	4.1%	1.1%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	22.1%	19.5%	14.8%	20.8%	12.8%	1.8%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	8.7%	7.3%	6.9%	9.6%	4.0%	4.8%
	Lingcod	0.0%	2.4%	1.8%	0.7%	2.1%	0.6%	14.0%
	Rockfish	0.0%	16.8%	13.4%	6.6%	14.0%	3.2%	5.6%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.0%	6.5%	5.2%	1.4%	2.8%	1.3%	0.7%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.0%	5.4%	5.1%	5.8%	5.5%	2.1%	5.3%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	13.7%	11.9%	9.0%	10.2%	3.6%	1.5%
	Whitefish	0.0%	2.4%	2.3%	1.9%	3.9%	2.2%	3.4%
	White Seabass	0.0%	8.8%	7.8%	2.1%	5.5%	1.8%	3.7%
Dana Point	Barracuda	0.0%	7.9%	7.4%	2.3%	3.7%	2.1%	1.9%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	13.3%	13.3%	5.9%	9.4%	6.1%	2.4%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	11.2%	11.2%	5.7%	9.3%	5.9%	2.4%
	Lingcod	0.0%	10.0%	9.7%	4.8%	8.3%	4.1%	6.6%
	Rockfish	0.0%	13.1%	12.7%	2.2%	9.0%	2.8%	6.5%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.0%	4.4%	3.9%	0.6%	1.9%	0.7%	5.1%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.0%	16.9%	16.6%	8.1%	13.9%	9.2%	3.6%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	9.0%	8.2%	3.1%	3.4%	1.0%	0.9%
	Whitefish	0.0%	6.8%	6.3%	7.6%	8.0%	5.7%	9.7%
	White Seabass	0.0%	5.1%	5.5%	6.6%	7.9%	5.4%	1.4%
Oceanside	Barracuda	0.0%	5.4%	5.2%	6.4%	7.8%	5.0%	3.8%
	Ca. Halibut	0.0%	8.4%	7.9%	9.3%	9.4%	7.8%	5.4%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	7.3%	5.5%	6.6%	5.4%	5.4%	5.0%
	Lingcod	0.0%	5.9%	5.3%	6.6%	6.9%	5.3%	7.7%
	Rockfish	0.0%	9.0%	8.1%	9.5%	10.3%	7.5%	5.3%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.0%	6.0%	5.2%	6.0%	7.8%	4.8%	5.2%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.0%	9.3%	13.0%	15.1%	17.0%	13.0%	7.5%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	6.1%	5.6%	7.1%	8.1%	5.4%	4.9%
	Whitefish	0.0%	14.0%	8.8%	11.5%	9.9%	4.8%	13.0%
	White Seabass	0.0%	12.9%	8.7%	11.5%	8.9%	5.8%	4.1%
San Diego	Barracuda	0.7%	22.0%	11.6%	15.7%	14.9%	10.0%	4.4%
	Ca. Halibut	0.1%	13.2%	9.6%	11.6%	10.6%	9.5%	5.5%
	Calico Bass	0.0%	9.6%	8.7%	9.9%	8.8%	9.8%	9.4%
	Lingcod	2.4%	12.7%	7.0%	9.1%	8.0%	6.3%	9.3%
	Rockfish	2.5%	15.4%	8.6%	11.3%	10.3%	8.0%	9.7%
	Ca. Scorpionfish	0.4%	10.7%	6.7%	9.0%	6.1%	4.3%	6.0%
	Ca. Sheephead	0.2%	17.9%	8.8%	11.2%	11.7%	9.2%	7.8%
	Sand Bass	0.0%	18.2%	10.6%	14.2%	12.6%	8.6%	4.1%
	Whitefish	0.4%	13.9%	11.6%	5.7%	7.4%	4.1%	8.8%
	White Seabass	0.1%	22.1%	19.5%	14.8%	20.8%	12.8%	7.1%

**Table A.5: Percentage Area of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Channel Islands MPAs**

County	Sector	Channel Island MPAs															
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass
Santa Barbara	Dive		0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	2.8%	1.6%							5.4%	3.7%
	Kayak		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%	14.9%	13.6%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	13.3%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	6.3%	11.9%	3.4%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	4.7%
Los Angeles	Dive	0.0%	0.6%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	1.7%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%
Orange	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
San Diego	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Table A.6: Percentage Area of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Lapis Proposal 1**

County	Sector	Lapis Proposal 1															
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass
Santa Barbara	Dive		6.6%	10.9%	16.3%	7.4%	7.6%	6.6%								7.3%	3.7%
	Kayak		7.5%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	1.0%								4.9%	0.3%
	Private Vessel	0.3%	7.9%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	12.2%	0.0%								3.9%	0.3%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%	18.7%	16.9%	13.4%	12.2%	16.9%	0.0%								11.4%	14.3%
	Kayak	14.3%	10.7%	14.2%	16.2%	13.0%	0.0%	18.8%	22.1%	6.5%						12.4%	28.5%
	Private Vessel	8.7%	11.9%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%									7.7%	10.7%
Los Angeles	Dive	15.8%	27.3%	14.6%	13.5%	50.6%	10.4%	28.2%	19.9%	26.3%	25.7%					12.2%	15.2%
	Kayak	12.0%	21.1%	6.5%	8.9%	9.7%	14.4%	17.5%	0.0%	9.9%	8.7%	24.0%				12.6%	16.5%
	Private Vessel	2.9%	3.3%	4.7%	5.1%	0.0%	1.3%	8.4%	5.5%	5.1%	5.1%	2.6%				7.8%	4.1%
Orange	Dive	5.3%	4.9%	16.6%	55.6%	8.1%	7.7%	8.1%	13.1%	25.4%						11.4%	6.0%
	Kayak	3.4%	14.9%	4.3%	10.2%	9.1%	3.2%	27.9%	15.9%	9.8%	19.2%					4.6%	15.9%
	Private Vessel	1.5%	1.9%	4.9%	5.3%	10.3%	6.3%	11.2%	19.0%	4.7%	0.0%					7.2%	1.6%
San Diego	Dive	11.3%	14.2%	18.2%	21.6%	25.1%	9.9%	42.5%	30.7%	36.6%	15.9%					8.7%	6.8%
	Kayak	32.3%	20.9%	14.8%	24.5%	17.8%	29.9%	21.5%	21.6%	29.3%	16.8%	44.4%				16.8%	12.9%
	Private Vessel	4.2%	2.9%	9.1%	11.4%	15.9%	11.8%	8.5%	8.5%	8.0%	12.1%	1.5%				8.8%	1.7%



**Table A.7: Percentage Area of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Lapis Proposal 2**

County	Sector	Lapis Proposal 2																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive			6.6%	10.9%	16.3%	7.4%	7.6%		6.6%							8.2%	3.7%
	Kayak			9.3%	4.3%		0.0%					1.0%				3.7%		
	Private Vessel	0.3%		7.9%	5.6%		0.0%	11.6%				0.0%				0.4%	3.9%	0.3%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%		19.4%	17.2%		14.6%	18.9%		15.9%	9.1%	18.1%				13.2%	14.3%	
	Kayak	15.6%		14.5%	20.9%		14.6%	21.9%	0.0%		18.2%	17.3%	20.3%			13.6%	20.1%	8.5%
	Private Vessel	6.5%	11.9%	10.5%	6.6%	0.0%	8.6%	3.3%	3.6%						0.0%	7.9%	9.5%	
Los Angeles	Dive	1.9%	4.1%	14.4%	13.2%	50.6%	11.5%	28.2%		18.3%	25.4%	28.5%				15.1%	11.5%	
	Kayak	8.5%	12.4%	6.7%	9.7%		10.9%	6.0%	0.0%		14.6%	9.0%	2.6%		4.6%	12.0%	13.8%	
	Private Vessel	5.8%	5.8%	6.0%	6.4%	0.0%	8.1%	4.2%	8.1%		6.9%	6.6%			8.2%	7.3%	4.6%	
Orange	Dive		5.3%	4.8%	15.0%	54.0%	8.2%	7.3%		6.6%	13.6%	21.8%				9.1%	4.6%	
	Kayak	2.5%	7.0%	4.7%	8.4%		10.3%	3.2%	22.1%		14.9%	6.2%	9.6%		3.9%	13.8%	14.2%	
	Private Vessel	5.1%	2.3%	5.2%	4.9%	8.3%	6.0%	1.2%	9.7%		18.9%	4.8%			0.0%	7.0%	2.0%	
San Diego	Dive	9.9%	11.7%	11.8%	12.9%	0.4%	7.4%	16.7%		14.0%	16.4%	9.2%				8.4%	8.8%	
	Kayak	14.7%	8.9%	9.6%	12.2%		12.9%	6.5%	5.0%		20.5%	11.5%	15.8%		20.6%	11.9%	9.4%	
	Private Vessel	3.6%	2.4%	7.2%	9.3%	11.2%	9.5%	7.5%		8.1%	6.0%				18.4%	7.5%	1.6%	

**Table A.8: Percentage Area of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Opal Proposal**

County	Sector	Opal Proposal																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive			8.4%	13.1%	17.8%	8.4%	10.3%		6.6%							8.5%	3.7%
	Kayak			6.4%	5.3%		0.0%					1.0%			4.0%			
	Private Vessel	0.4%		9.6%	6.8%		0.0%	12.3%			0.0%				0.4%		4.8%	0.3%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%		17.4%	15.6%	12.5%	12.0%	9.8%	16.2%	0.0%	10.5%						12.6%	15.2%
	Kayak	9.3%		8.4%	15.9%	12.0%	10.9%	11.6%	0.0%		13.8%	17.5%	3.4%		0.0%		9.9%	10.4%
	Private Vessel	6.8%	11.9%	9.3%	9.6%	0.0%	9.0%	5.2%							20.7%		9.1%	19.7%
Los Angeles	Dive	11.4%	27.9%	14.4%	9.5%	13.5%	7.9%	40.5%	26.8%	19.6%	17.3%						14.6%	17.0%
	Kayak	9.2%	4.0%	3.5%	4.6%	3.8%	3.9%	11.8%	0.0%	9.5%	2.6%	6.5%			5.8%		10.2%	17.1%
	Private Vessel	5.1%	4.4%	4.6%	5.0%	30.0%	5.6%	1.9%	8.4%	5.8%	1.7%				0.3%		7.6%	5.5%
Orange	Dive		15.9%	3.5%	10.6%	8.7%	6.1%	5.0%	6.6%	7.2%	8.0%						8.4%	4.9%
	Kayak	2.0%	4.2%	2.4%	4.7%	2.7%	0.0%	7.8%	3.1%	1.6%	10.5%				3.2%		4.8%	16.5%
	Private Vessel	5.0%	3.3%	3.7%	3.2%	18.1%	3.9%	1.1%	9.6%	21.4%	2.7%				0.0%		6.0%	2.5%
San Diego	Dive	13.4%	15.8%	16.1%	18.2%	6.7%	10.4%	20.7%	19.8%	23.4%	13.3%						11.2%	11.2%
	Kayak	18.7%	10.4%	12.6%	15.7%	17.9%	17.9%	5.8%	14.7%	28.9%	13.9%	17.8%			25.5%		15.4%	12.0%
	Private Vessel	4.5%	3.2%	7.1%	9.8%	10.5%	10.4%	10.4%	9.6%	9.2%	6.3%				23.8%		9.4%	2.4%

**Table A.9: Percentage Area of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Topaz Proposal**

County	Sector	Topaz Proposal															
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass
Santa Barbara	Dive		9.9%	15.9%	25.5%	10.0%	12.2%	8.4%								9.1%	3.7%
	Kayak		11.2%	13.7%	0.0%							27.6%			3.4%		
	Private Vessel	0.5%	12.0%	10.7%	5.7%	11.9%					0.0%				0.8%	5.9%	0.3%
Ventura	Dive	3.7%	16.8%	19.1%	15.3%	12.8%	17.9%	33.6%	18.4%							12.3%	14.6%
	Kayak	12.9%	10.9%	17.3%	22.9%	13.4%	16.8%				20.0%	22.7%	8.9%		1.8%	13.0%	23.5%
	Private Vessel	7.6%	11.9%	16.1%	0.0%	35.1%	0.0%	3.6%							17.2%	12.1%	11.9%
Los Angeles	Dive	16.6%	39.4%	15.8%	14.1%	48.8%	11.0%	28.2%	24.3%	27.6%	26.2%					12.5%	15.4%
	Kayak	9.5%	9.3%	5.7%	6.6%	10.4%	9.9%	15.0%	0.0%	10.9%	4.8%	16.4%			7.4%	11.6%	17.5%
	Private Vessel	4.4%	4.6%	4.8%	5.3%	7.9%	5.1%	1.8%	8.0%	5.3%	3.0%				6.8%	8.1%	5.0%
Orange	Dive		13.8%	4.8%	15.1%	66.7%	8.2%	6.8%	7.0%	13.1%	17.3%					9.5%	5.6%
	Kayak	6.8%	7.7%	3.8%	5.8%	9.7%	2.4%	21.4%	16.9%	4.1%	14.4%				10.0%	10.4%	20.8%
	Private Vessel	5.3%	2.8%	4.6%	3.7%	13.9%	5.8%	3.0%	10.6%	26.6%	3.4%			0.0%	2.4%	6.5%	2.1%
San Diego	Dive	13.9%	16.1%	20.4%	13.0%	18.8%	8.0%	20.7%	21.5%	24.4%	9.1%					9.9%	9.9%
	Kayak	17.0%	13.8%	15.1%	20.7%	22.3%	22.1%	8.9%	15.2%	32.6%	15.3%	18.9%			25.2%	14.2%	13.1%
	Private Vessel	4.1%	2.6%	8.6%	8.7%	15.7%	11.2%	9.8%	8.1%	7.6%	5.6%			18.1%	1.2%	8.3%	1.8%

**Table A.10: Percentage Area of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for External Proposal A**

County	Sector	External Proposal A																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive			5.2%	8.6%	12.6%	6.4%	6.4%		6.6%							9.0%	3.7%
	Kayak			10.9%	6.1%							1.0%				3.7%		
	Private Vessel	0.3%		6.5%	4.5%			10.9%				0.0%				0.4%	3.4%	0.2%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%		20.6%	18.1%			22.8%		16.8%	28.2%	22.0%				13.3%	13.8%	
	Kayak	18.9%		17.5%	21.8%			26.5%	0.0%	23.4%	24.2%	24.7%				13.6%	24.4%	2.7%
	Private Vessel	6.5%	11.9%	11.1%	7.4%	0.0%	16.5%	2.6%	2.2%						0.0%	8.8%	5.6%	
Los Angeles	Dive	25.7%	40.3%	10.1%	10.4%	16.5%	8.2%	20.7%		18.3%	12.8%	21.9%				17.8%	13.8%	
	Kayak	8.5%	3.7%	5.6%	7.1%		10.1%	6.0%	19.6%	0.0%	15.0%	6.8%	2.6%			4.5%	10.4%	11.4%
	Private Vessel	5.5%	5.5%	6.2%	6.7%	0.0%	6.4%	4.1%	7.1%		5.8%	4.3%			11.2%	7.7%	6.0%	4.1%
Orange	Dive		5.3%	2.4%	6.2%	7.9%	4.4%	3.8%		4.8%	6.4%	5.1%				7.0%	4.0%	
	Kayak	1.7%	2.3%	2.5%	2.0%		9.3%	0.0%	8.1%		2.7%	1.5%	10.8%			2.3%	4.6%	11.4%
	Private Vessel	4.9%	2.5%	3.2%	2.8%	2.7%	3.7%	0.9%	6.4%		16.9%	2.1%			0.0%	1.3%	4.7%	1.6%
San Diego	Dive	6.3%	7.9%	11.3%	6.7%	0.4%	6.1%	14.8%		12.3%	15.5%	4.5%				6.1%	6.1%	
	Kayak	10.1%	8.6%	9.3%	12.3%		12.2%	10.2%	6.1%	6.9%	19.5%	11.0%	14.4%			18.1%	10.0%	7.8%
	Private Vessel	3.0%	1.5%	4.4%	5.8%	5.0%	4.3%	6.3%	6.4%		5.9%	3.7%			9.6%	0.8%	6.4%	1.3%

**Table A.11: Percentage Area of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for External Proposal B**

County	Sector	External Proposal B															
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass
Santa Barbara	Dive			3.8%	5.9%	0.0%	6.2%	6.9%		11.0%						8.9%	3.7%
	Kayak			8.6%	9.8%		0.0%					0.0%			0.1%		
	Private Vessel	0.3%		5.1%	5.9%		0.0%	10.3%				0.0%			0.2%	3.7%	0.2%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%		19.7%	16.9%		13.6%	17.7%		17.7%	30.0%	17.7%				11.7%	13.8%
	Kayak	10.5%		12.3%	20.1%		13.9%	11.7%	4.3%		17.3%	24.4%	13.8%		0.0%	16.4%	1.8%
	Private Vessel	6.5%	11.9%	9.3%	5.7%	0.0%	15.6%	9.0%	1.6%						0.0%	7.5%	5.6%
Los Angeles	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%	8.6%	22.4%	6.9%	0.0%		20.7%	17.1%	25.2%				11.3%	8.6%
	Kayak	0.0%	2.4%	4.2%	6.4%		5.8%	0.2%	0.0%		19.0%	2.6%	0.0%		0.4%	9.3%	9.0%
	Private Vessel	0.5%	0.5%	4.9%	5.4%	0.0%	5.2%	1.5%	6.2%		5.2%	2.4%		12.1%	0.5%	3.9%	2.6%
Orange	Dive		5.0%	3.1%	7.6%	9.5%	5.9%	4.6%		4.2%	7.3%	5.7%				4.7%	2.5%
	Kayak	1.6%	1.9%	2.8%	3.2%		5.1%	0.0%	9.2%		3.0%	1.8%	10.4%		2.2%	5.0%	9.6%
	Private Vessel	0.7%	1.2%	3.6%	3.2%	6.6%	3.7%	1.0%	8.6%		16.0%	2.7%		0.0%	0.2%	4.0%	1.0%
San Diego	Dive	5.7%	7.2%	10.6%	6.0%	0.4%	5.8%	14.8%		11.6%	13.8%	3.9%				5.3%	5.3%
	Kayak	9.9%	6.2%	9.0%	11.7%		11.6%	6.1%	4.3%		17.6%	10.6%	9.6%		16.4%	6.7%	6.4%
	Private Vessel	2.3%	0.8%	4.9%	6.7%	7.1%	6.0%	5.7%	7.8%		6.4%	3.9%		9.6%	0.2%	4.1%	1.1%

**Table A.12: Percentage Value of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Channel Islands MPAs**

County	Sector	Channel Islands MPAs															
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass
Santa Barbara	Dive			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.7%		4.3%						0.9%	0.6%
	Kayak			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%				0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%		0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					0.0%			0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%		0.2%	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	12.0%
	Kayak	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	6.2%	1.2%	1.0%	2.6%	0.0%	4.6%	4.4%							0.0%	2.3%	11.0%
Los Angeles	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Orange	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
San Diego	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Table A.13: Percentage Value of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Lapis Proposal 1**

County	Sector	Lapis Proposal 1																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive			9.1%	13.5%	18.3%	6.1%	6.7%		9.2%							4.8%	0.6%
	Kayak			14.0%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%			1.4%						4.5%		
	Private Vessel	0.1%		9.1%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%		0.0%						0.1%	4.1%	0.0%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%		24.3%	19.2%	17.6%	15.9%	12.8%		15.6%	0.0%	13.8%				6.3%	13.5%	
	Kayak	14.8%		20.2%	22.0%	15.9%	19.7%	20.1%	0.0%	33.3%	27.3%	6.5%				3.9%	17.8%	24.1%
	Private Vessel	10.7%	1.2%	2.1%	8.2%	0.0%	6.5%	8.7%							24.8%	4.8%	16.2%	
Los Angeles	Dive	22.4%	16.3%	14.4%	19.0%	83.8%	12.3%	28.2%		5.6%	25.3%	16.6%				11.8%	14.4%	
	Kayak	13.2%	19.6%	8.8%	15.5%	17.2%	17.8%	26.1%	0.0%	17.5%	6.8%	24.0%			11.2%	16.4%	17.9%	
	Private Vessel	2.5%	2.3%	2.6%	5.0%	0.0%	7.6%	9.1%		7.1%	0.9%				3.1%	10.7%	5.8%	
Orange	Dive	7.0%		23.5%	74.7%	77.4%	24.2%	17.6%		12.1%	58.4%	79.2%				19.8%	13.8%	
	Kayak	2.1%	22.2%	13.9%	24.9%	29.4%	4.8%	37.5%		36.1%	27.1%	15.2%			9.8%	34.2%	25.0%	
	Private Vessel	2.5%	3.6%	4.2%	12.0%	8.2%	15.2%	10.4%		20.7%	5.3%				0.0%	12.1%	2.7%	
San Diego	Dive	29.3%	52.7%	42.4%	54.4%	50.4%	33.5%	41.1%		38.1%	56.3%	36.1%				31.5%	17.9%	
	Kayak	49.3%	50.2%	30.8%	52.0%	39.4%	41.0%	39.5%	61.8%	58.4%	45.4%	34.9%			32.7%	49.5%	41.1%	
	Private Vessel	11.2%	8.2%	9.3%	26.0%	19.4%	18.4%	10.7%		17.3%	8.2%				7.4%	20.5%	5.2%	

**Table A.14: Percentage Value of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Lapis Proposal 2**

County	Sector	Lapis Proposal 2																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive			9.1%	13.5%	18.3%	6.1%	6.7%		9.2%							5.2%	0.6%
	Kayak			11.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%					1.4%				7.2%		
	Private Vessel	0.1%		9.1%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%				0.0%				0.1%	4.1%	0.0%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%		8.6%	10.1%	8.8%	8.8%	8.3%		11.7%	6.8%	18.1%					13.8%	13.5%
	Kayak	14.9%		13.5%	16.3%	14.5%	14.5%	10.2%	0.0%		5.3%	15.7%	20.3%			17.1%	14.6%	11.0%
	Private Vessel	6.4%	1.2%	3.3%	5.2%	0.0%	6.0%	8.7%								0.0%	4.9%	13.8%
Los Angeles	Dive	3.1%	22.4%	15.5%	17.7%	82.0%	11.8%	28.2%		29.6%	30.5%	11.4%					6.6%	11.4%
	Kayak	7.6%	6.9%	5.9%	14.4%	6.8%	6.8%	6.5%	0.0%		5.2%	5.1%	2.6%			3.8%	12.0%	12.1%
	Private Vessel	5.5%	7.0%	5.1%	6.6%	0.0%	10.6%	8.5%			9.8%	1.9%			7.3%	9.7%	10.4%	5.9%
Orange	Dive	7.0%	7.0%	19.4%	66.4%	75.2%	21.9%	17.1%		11.0%	54.1%	71.4%					16.3%	13.1%
	Kayak	1.7%	13.0%	12.9%	20.8%	30.1%	30.1%	31.5%		34.4%	23.0%	5.9%				8.0%	31.9%	9.6%
	Private Vessel	4.9%	4.3%	4.2%	10.8%	5.3%	13.4%	9.4%		20.4%	4.3%				0.0%	6.1%	12.0%	3.0%
San Diego	Dive	10.2%	3.9%	9.2%	9.5%	0.2%	9.5%	13.2%		7.6%	10.6%	8.4%					8.0%	7.7%
	Kayak	4.6%	3.5%	9.0%	6.6%	9.5%	9.5%	4.0%	3.2%		10.7%	9.7%	6.3%			12.7%	4.2%	5.4%
	Private Vessel	6.2%	4.5%	6.4%	11.3%	17.6%	10.1%	6.5%		8.2%	6.2%				18.8%	2.0%	8.4%	2.8%



**Table A.15: Percentage Value of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Opal Proposal**

County	Sector	Opal Proposal																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive		11.3%	14.5%	20.9%	7.4%	9.6%	9.2%									5.9%	0.6%
	Kayak		10.3%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	1.4%				1.4%				2.6%		
	Private Vessel	0.1%	11.0%	8.4%	0.0%	0.0%	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%			0.1%	5.1%	0.0%	
Ventura	Dive	0.0%	18.1%	13.8%	12.7%	10.6%	13.0%	0.0%	10.5%							9.0%	15.5%	
	Kayak	9.1%	15.2%	15.3%	11.7%	16.4%	0.0%	14.4%	17.7%	3.4%					0.0%	11.1%	12.0%	
	Private Vessel	6.8%	2.1%	7.7%	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	11.8%							20.7%	7.4%	19.9%	
Los Angeles	Dive	21.5%	53.8%	11.7%	11.4%	17.1%	9.4%	40.5%	17.8%	10.1%						15.6%	18.6%	
	Kayak	6.7%	4.6%	3.2%	8.1%	6.5%	4.8%	11.3%	0.0%	6.5%					5.3%	10.9%	15.3%	
	Private Vessel	2.7%	4.7%	1.7%	5.2%	9.7%	7.2%	8.0%	9.2%	0.2%					0.4%	14.7%	10.6%	
Orange	Dive		19.7%	8.6%	18.0%	12.2%	13.8%	6.5%	10.1%	15.2%	19.3%					16.6%	15.2%	
	Kayak	0.6%	8.9%	2.4%	4.0%	3.5%	0.0%	4.7%	5.6%	3.5%	5.9%				6.6%	3.5%	10.9%	
	Private Vessel	4.6%	5.2%	2.0%	5.2%	17.6%	7.3%	1.9%	10.3%	28.1%	1.2%				0.0%	6.3%	17.0%	4.9%
San Diego	Dive	15.4%	7.6%	16.7%	18.2%	21.0%	17.6%	16.7%	14.2%	21.6%	15.3%					12.8%	10.9%	
	Kayak	6.4%	4.9%	11.6%	10.7%	15.4%	9.1%	2.9%	10.7%	18.4%	12.0%				13.7%	6.2%	7.4%	
	Private Vessel	8.0%	5.7%	8.0%	16.1%	8.6%	13.0%	8.0%	11.2%	8.0%					23.9%	2.4%	11.2%	4.0%

**Table A.16: Percentage Value of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for Topaz Proposal**

County	Sector	Topaz Proposal																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive			15.5%	21.8%	25.2%	11.3%	13.2%		10.9%						10.5%	0.6%	
	Kayak			12.0%	13.2%	0.0%	0.0%			18.9%					3.1%			
	Private Vessel	0.4%		18.2%	15.9%	7.0%	7.0%	9.2%		0.0%					0.3%	7.2%	0.0%	
Ventura	Dive	1.8%		13.9%	36.4%	22.1%	22.1%	11.9%	19.4%	37.8%	18.4%				7.4%	7.4%	14.0%	
	Kayak	13.1%		21.0%	25.6%	24.1%	24.1%	27.4%	7.1%	31.4%	25.6%	8.9%			2.2%	16.2%	21.8%	
	Private Vessel	8.0%	1.2%	6.5%	11.2%	0.0%	38.5%	8.7%							17.2%	8.8%	15.4%	
Los Angeles	Dive	29.8%	60.6%	14.0%	17.3%	84.4%	12.9%	28.2%	19.4%	28.0%	19.9%				13.5%	13.5%	16.4%	
	Kayak	9.5%	9.9%	6.1%	12.9%	15.3%	15.3%	16.7%	0.0%	11.0%	3.7%	16.4%			8.6%	15.8%	18.1%	
	Private Vessel	3.1%	4.8%	2.1%	5.5%	2.5%	7.8%	8.5%		8.4%	0.4%				10.4%	11.7%	7.6%	
Orange	Dive		17.2%	19.8%	57.9%	80.8%	23.8%	15.4%	10.4%	55.2%	48.5%				16.9%	16.9%	13.5%	
	Kayak	3.6%	14.5%	9.5%	9.1%	32.6%	32.6%	22.8%		36.6%	8.6%	9.3%			22.7%	10.0%	14.9%	
	Private Vessel	6.4%	5.4%	3.1%	7.1%	12.4%	13.9%	10.3%		44.1%	2.3%				8.6%	12.7%	3.8%	
San Diego	Dive	15.4%	10.9%	25.6%	21.8%	28.9%	16.1%	18.2%	19.1%	25.1%	17.4%				15.2%	15.2%	11.3%	
	Kayak	9.8%	8.0%	17.1%	15.6%	18.6%	18.6%	5.3%	12.4%	21.0%	14.5%	5.8%			15.3%	9.2%	10.0%	
	Private Vessel	6.7%	5.0%	8.4%	15.1%	23.7%	14.8%	6.9%		9.7%	3.1%				26.6%	10.8%	3.3%	

**Table A.17: Percentage Value of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for External Proposal A**

County	Sector	External Proposal A																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive			7.1%	11.6%	12.0%	4.8%		5.6%		9.2%						5.7%	0.6%
	Kayak			13.3%	2.6%		0.0%					1.4%				7.2%		
	Private Vessel	0.1%		7.4%	6.3%		0.0%		8.0%			0.0%				0.1%	3.6%	0.0%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%		15.9%	19.0%		14.2%		10.0%		15.1%	28.2%	22.0%				17.3%	12.4%
	Kayak	18.1%		19.2%	23.4%		19.1%		18.0%	0.0%	6.8%	22.0%	24.7%			17.1%	17.7%	3.8%
	Private Vessel	6.4%	1.2%	4.3%	5.2%	0.0%	15.7%	2.6%	5.7%						0.0%	5.0%	11.5%	
Los Angeles	Dive	33.3%	50.6%	14.3%	13.3%	16.3%	10.4%		20.7%		30.4%	23.5%	9.6%				15.5%	12.7%
	Kayak	7.6%	4.1%	3.6%	12.4%		6.4%	5.2%	8.5%	0.0%	5.3%	3.5%	2.6%			3.8%	8.9%	10.8%
	Private Vessel	5.5%	7.3%	5.2%	6.3%	0.0%	7.7%	3.2%	7.4%		8.8%	1.6%			9.7%	8.9%	5.9%	4.0%
Orange	Dive		7.0%	7.5%	15.5%	11.1%	10.3%		6.6%		7.4%	11.5%	15.7%				8.7%	8.6%
	Kayak	0.4%	5.6%	2.1%	3.2%	28.0%	0.0%	3.8%		4.9%	3.1%	7.2%			4.3%	3.4%	8.1%	
	Private Vessel	4.5%	3.6%	1.4%	4.2%	1.4%	7.1%	1.6%	5.9%		18.0%	1.1%			0.0%	5.2%	4.4%	2.2%
San Diego	Dive	6.2%	2.6%	8.6%	8.5%	0.2%	9.2%		11.6%		6.6%	10.3%	5.9%				7.5%	4.6%
	Kayak	3.7%	3.0%	8.5%	6.5%		9.2%	6.0%	3.0%	4.1%	9.8%	8.9%	4.6%			11.9%	3.5%	4.2%
	Private Vessel	1.9%	1.4%	4.6%	9.8%	3.4%	7.2%	7.2%	7.6%		7.7%	2.0%			16.4%	1.7%	7.9%	1.3%

**Table A.18: Percentage Value of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County for External Proposal B**

County	Sector	External Proposal B																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive			5.7%	2.4%	0.0%	4.9%		7.9%		13.5%					6.1%	0.6%	
	Kayak			7.3%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%					0.0%			0.0%			
	Private Vessel	0.1%		5.8%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%				0.0%			0.1%	3.7%	0.0%	
Ventura	Dive	0.0%		16.8%	19.7%	15.6%	15.6%	7.8%		18.7%	33.7%	17.7%				8.5%	12.4%	
	Kayak	10.1%		15.9%	23.4%	13.9%	13.9%	13.8%	1.8%		7.7%	22.1%	13.8%			11.8%	3.1%	
	Private Vessel	6.4%	1.2%	1.9%	4.0%	0.0%	14.5%	9.0%	4.4%						0.0%	3.5%	11.5%	
Los Angeles	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	16.6%	12.3%	20.1%	11.2%	0.0%		32.0%	26.9%	11.1%				3.3%	8.1%	
	Kayak	0.1%	2.6%	2.1%	10.8%	2.8%	2.8%	5.4%	0.0%		3.6%	1.0%	0.0%		0.3%	7.6%	8.1%	
	Private Vessel	0.5%	1.0%	1.9%	5.4%	0.0%	5.2%	1.2%	6.4%		9.2%	0.5%			9.8%	3.6%	3.6%	
Orange	Dive		6.7%	8.6%	17.4%	13.3%	12.7%	7.5%		4.8%	13.2%	17.7%				7.2%	8.5%	
	Kayak	0.4%	4.5%	2.6%	3.9%	5.3%	5.3%	4.1%		5.4%	5.4%	3.5%	11.1%		4.0%	3.9%	7.0%	
	Private Vessel	0.7%	0.8%	1.8%	4.9%	3.7%	6.1%	1.8%	8.5%		11.8%	1.3%			0.0%	4.0%	1.6%	
San Diego	Dive	5.8%	2.5%	7.9%	7.7%	0.2%	8.2%	11.6%		6.0%	8.8%	4.9%				5.7%	4.3%	
	Kayak	3.7%	2.8%	8.3%	6.0%	7.8%	7.8%	3.0%	2.6%		9.5%	8.8%	4.2%		11.0%	2.7%	3.7%	
	Private Vessel	1.4%	1.3%	4.5%	9.3%	8.2%	7.5%	6.7%	7.7%		7.6%	2.0%			16.4%	3.9%	1.1%	

## Example of Why Potential Impact on Profit (as a %) Can Exceed 100%

Cases where the potential net economic impact of a given MPA proposal on a commercial fishery exceeds 100% are not mistakes. Rather, they are directly related to how we account for operating costs.

In an effort to alleviate concerns over why potential impact can exceed 100%, we provide the following example.

The potential impact of a given MPA proposal is the impact to the baseline gross economic revenue (BGER), also known as ex-vessel landing value for the fishery. Assume a hypothetical fishery for which BGER is \$196,774 and a given MPA proposal that has a 58% impact on that fishery. To estimate gross economic impact (GEI), we multiply BGER \* 58%, which equals \$114,207. Then we calculate the potential gross economic revenue (GER) if the MPA proposal went into effect by subtracting the GEI from BGER. In this case,  $GER = BGER - GEI = \$82,566$ .

To determine net economic revenue (NER) (i.e., profit) prior to the MPA, we consider fishermen's costs. The total estimated cost for this hypothetical fishery is 66% of BGER, or  $66\% * \$196,774 = \$130,362$ . NER is calculated as BGER minus estimated costs, or  $\$196,774 - \$130,362 = \$66,412$ .

To determine NER (i.e., profit) post impact, we consider how the MPA proposal will affect fishermen's costs. Total costs are equal to fixed costs + variable costs. Fixed costs<sup>8</sup>, which are calculated as a percentage of BGER, will not change. In this case, fixed costs are 42% of BGER, or  $42\% * \$196,774 = \$83,457$ .

However, the MPA proposal will affect fishermen's variable costs because fishermen will no longer be able to fish in certain areas. Variable costs are broken out by crew (11%) and fuel (13%) and are based on GER after considering the impact of the MPA. In this case, variable costs = fuel ( $11\% * \$82,566$ ) + crew ( $13\% * \$82,566$ ) = \$19,682.

Therefore, NER (i.e., profit) after the MPA proposal =  $GER - \text{fixed costs} - \text{variable costs} = \$82,566 - \$83,457 - \$19,683 = -\$20,572$ .

Net economic impact (NEI) after the MPA proposal (i.e., change in profit) is calculated as  $BNER - NER$ . In this case,  $\$66,411 - (-\$20,572) = \$86,983$ . Finally, to estimate the % NEI we divide NEI by BNER, or  $\$86,983 / \$66,412 = 130.9\%$ . Because fishermen are likely to incur fixed costs regardless of the MPA proposal, the impact of the MPA on fishermen's profit exceeds 100%.

For additional details, please see Section 12 of the *SAT Draft Methods Used to Evaluate Marine Protected Area Proposals for the MLPA South Coast Region*.

<sup>8</sup> We assume fixed costs to be anything other than crew and fuel (a bit of a simplifying assumption, but generally appropriate). Examples of fixed costs could be payment on a boat, docking/mooring fees, permit fees, gear costs, etc.

## Summary of potential impacts of the Channel Islands MPAs on commercial and recreational fisheries in the South Coast Study Region

Draft 29 June 2009

Astrid Scholz, [ajschol@ecotrust.org](mailto:ajschol@ecotrust.org), Sarah Kruse, Charles Steinback,  
Jon Bonkoski, and Sonya Hetrick

### 1. Introduction

This report presents information on the potential impacts of the Channel Islands network of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) in the South Coast Study Region (SCSR). It is meant to be read in conjunction with the *Summary of potential impacts on commercial and recreational fisheries in the SCSR* report.

The Channel Islands network, which was established by California Fish and Game Commission (CFGF) in 2002 and expanded by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) in 2006 and 2007, encompasses 241 square nautical miles (or 318 square miles). It consists of 11 marine reserves where all harvest and take is prohibited (Richardson Rock, Harris Point, Carrington Point, Scorpion, Anacapa Island, Footprint, Gulf Island, Skunk Point, South Point, Judith Rock, and Santa Barbara Island) and two marine conservation areas that allow limited take of lobster and/or pelagic fish (Painted Cave and Anacapa Island). It should be noted that our evaluation is not connected in any way with the socioeconomic evaluation done during the establishment of the Channel Islands network, nor should the results presented here be compared to or used in conjunction with that assessment.

The Channel Islands network was originally set to be reconsidered during the marine planning process (i.e., stakeholders would be given the opportunity to propose changes to the siting of the existing MPAs). However, it was later decided that the Channel Islands MPAs would not be changed. Therefore, the potential impacts of the Channel Islands MPAs will be the same under all the alternative MPA proposals and any comparison of the proposals should separate out the impacts of the Channel Island MPAs.

This report evaluates the potential impacts of the Channel Island MPAs on commercial, commercial passenger fishing vessel (CPFV), and recreational fishing grounds in terms of both area and value. It also assesses the reduction in net economic revenue (i.e., profit) and gross economic revenue for the commercial and CPFV fisheries. We report commercial and CPFV results by study region. We report recreational results by user group (i.e., dive, kayak, and private vessel) and by county.

By subtracting the Channel Islands impacts presented in this report from the total impacts in the *Summary of potential impacts on commercial and recreational fisheries in the SCSR* report, stakeholders can more easily compare the alternative MPA proposals. For example, if the total impact of a MPA proposal is a 19% reduction in net economic revenue, but 5% of this reduction comes from the Channel Island MPAs, then stakeholders can only control 14% of the impact (i.e., the minimum impact of their proposal is a 5% reduction in net economic revenue assuming zero impact elsewhere in the SCSR).

The calculations in this analysis are performed the same way as the calculations in the *Summary of potential impacts on commercial and recreational fisheries in the SCSR* report. For detailed information on how the data used in this analysis were collected and/or the analyzed, please see our *Draft survey methods and summary statistics for Ecotrust's South Coast Study Region fishery uses and values project* (presented to the RSG on 3/3/2009). For information on the methods used to evaluate these data, please see Section 12 of the *SAT draft methods used to evaluate marine protected area proposals for the MLPA South Coast Study Region*.

The remaining sections of this document summarize the potential impacts. For more detailed statistics, please see the tables in the Appendix.

In all tables presented, a 'dashed line' represents a fishery that does not occur or a fishery for which insufficient data was collected to merit presentation.

## 2. Results for Commercial Fisheries

We summarize here our analyses of the potential impacts of the Channel Islands MPAs on the 15 commercial fisheries (i.e., Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line), Ca. Halibut (Trawl), Coastal Pelagics, Lobster, N. Fishery (Hook & Line), N. Fishery (Trap), Rock Crab, Sablefish, Sea Cucumber (Diving), Sea Cucumber (Trawl), Spot Prawn, Squid, Swordfish, Thornyhead, and Urchin). The commercial fisheries results are broken out by port (i.e., Santa Barbara, Ventura, Port Hueneme, San Pedro, Dana Point, Oceanside, and San Diego).

### 2.1 Potential Impacts on Commercial Fishing Grounds (Area and Value)

As mentioned previously, this report only presents results. Evaluation methods are presented in a separate document. For information on the potential impacts on commercial fishing grounds for the 65 port-fishery combinations considered (both in terms of total area and total value), please see Tables A.1 and A.2 in the Appendix.


### 2.2 Potential Net Economic Impacts on Commercial Fisheries

A key assumption of this analysis is that the Channel Island MPAs completely eliminate fishing opportunities in areas closed to specific fisheries and that fishermen are unable to adjust or mitigate in any way. In other words, the analysis assumes that all fishing in an area affected by an MPA is lost completely, when in reality it is more likely that fishermen will shift their efforts areas outside the MPA. The effect of such an assumption is most likely an overestimation of the impacts, or a “worst case scenario.”

The potential annual net economic impacts on SCSR commercial fisheries considered are calculated as a percentage reduction in net economic revenue (i.e., profit). The potential impacts are broken out by port in Table 1 and Figure 1. Santa Barbara is estimated to see the highest potential net economic impact (as a %), while San Diego is estimated to see only minimal impacts. Table 2 shows potential net economic impact by fishery. Sea Cucumber (Diving) is the fishery estimated to see the highest potential net economic impact while Sablefish and Thornyhead are not estimated to see any impacts.

Going forward through subsequent MPA evaluation rounds, the impacts of the Channel Island MPAs will not change; therefore, the net economic impacts in Tables 1–2 and Figure 1 are the minimum possible impacts that any of the alternative MPA proposals could have on the SCSR commercial fisheries.

**Figure 1: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries by Port (% Reduction in Profit)<sup>1, 2</sup>**



<sup>1</sup> Please note that the y-axis scales for the figures in this report are different from the y-axis scales for the figures in the *Summary of potential impacts on commercial and recreational fisheries in the SCSR* report.

<sup>2</sup> For all economic impacts, the results are the estimated maximum potential economic impact on average annual net revenue from 2000–07 (in \$2007).

**Table 1: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries by Port (Reduction in Profit)**

Port	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	\$ Reduction in Profit	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	% Reduction in Profit
Santa Barbara	\$5,796,804	\$2,655,064	\$3,141,740	\$256,224	100%	48%	52%	7.5%
Ventura	\$5,061,321	\$2,828,803	\$2,232,518	\$86,604	100%	56%	44%	3.9%
Port Hueneme	\$11,061,000	\$6,008,602	\$5,052,398	\$306,853	100%	54%	46%	6.1%
San Pedro	\$20,141,349	\$10,989,464	\$9,151,885	\$227,858	100%	55%	45%	2.5%
Dana Point	\$1,860,091	\$926,136	\$933,955	\$2,458	100%	50%	50%	0.3%
Oceanside	\$987,326	\$481,905	\$505,421	\$1,146	100%	49%	51%	0.2%
San Diego	\$3,093,219	\$1,462,682	\$1,630,538	\$168	100%	47%	53%	0.0%
<b>Study Region</b>	<b>\$48,001,110</b>	<b>\$25,352,655</b>	<b>\$22,648,455</b>	<b>\$881,311</b>	—	—	—	<b>3.9%</b>

**Table 2: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries (Reduction in Profit)**

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	\$ Reduction in Profit	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	% Reduction in Profit
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	\$108,209	\$56,702	\$51,508	\$4,794	100%	52%	48%	9.3%
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	\$5,889,196	\$3,275,865	\$2,613,331	\$21,043	100%	56%	44%	0.8%
Lobster	\$6,360,856	\$2,921,739	\$3,439,117	\$55,518	100%	46%	54%	1.6%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$217,200	\$112,075	\$105,125	\$11,668	100%	52%	48%	11.1%
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$372,719	\$190,306	\$182,413	\$1,266	100%	51%	49%	0.7%
Rock Crab	\$1,469,292	\$688,818	\$780,474	\$31,005	100%	47%	53%	4.0%
Sablefish	\$286,809	\$161,330	\$125,479	\$0	100%	56%	44%	0.0%
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$500,296	\$248,147	\$252,149	\$32,868	100%	50%	50%	13.0%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$1,741,435	\$848,554	\$892,881	\$88,006	100%	49%	51%	9.9%
Squid	\$22,459,304	\$12,870,158	\$9,589,146	\$357,317	100%	57%	43%	3.7%
Swordfish	\$366,725	\$242,956	\$123,770	\$2,626	100%	66%	34%	2.1%
Thornyhead	\$648,920	\$335,275	\$313,645	\$0	100%	52%	48%	0.0%
Urchin	\$7,580,148	\$3,400,730	\$4,179,418	\$275,201	100%	45%	55%	6.6%
<b>All Fisheries<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>\$48,001,110</b>	<b>\$25,352,655</b>	<b>\$22,648,455</b>	<b>\$881,311</b>	—	—	—	<b>3.9%</b>

<sup>3</sup> Santa Barbara Ca. Halibut (Trawl) and Sea Cucumber (Trawl) are not included in this total.




### 2.3 Potential Gross Economic Impacts on Commercial Fisheries

A key assumption of this analysis is that each of the MPA proposals completely eliminates fishing opportunities in areas closed to specific fisheries and that fishermen are unable to adjust or mitigate in any way. The effect of such an assumption is most likely an overestimation of the impacts, or a “worst case scenario.”

Unlike net economic impact, the calculation of potential gross economic impact does not account for fishermen’s operating costs. Therefore, the percentage reduction in gross economic revenue (2.5%) on SCSR commercial fisheries considered is less than the percentage reduction in net economic revenue (3.9%); however, the dollar reduction in gross economic revenue (\$1,222,527) is greater than the dollar reduction in net economic revenue (\$881,311).

The potential impacts are broken down by port in Table 3 and Figure 2. Table 4 shows potential impacts by fishery. Going forward through subsequent MPA evaluation rounds, the impacts of the Channel Island MPAs will not change; therefore, the gross economic impacts in Tables 3–4 and Figure 2 are the minimum possible impacts that any of the alternative MPA proposals could have on the SCSR commercial fisheries.

**Figure 2: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries by Port (% Reduction in Profit)**



**Table 3: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries by Port (Reduction in Profit)**

Port	Baseline GER	\$ Reduction in Profit	% Reduction in Profit
Santa Barbara	\$5,796,804	\$310,585	5.4%
Ventura	\$5,061,321	\$137,310	2.7%
Port Hueneme	\$11,061,000	\$431,308	3.9%
San Pedro	\$20,141,349	\$338,475	1.7%
Dana Point	\$1,860,091	\$3,227	0.2%
Oceanside	\$987,326	\$1,402	0.1%
San Diego	\$3,093,219	\$221	0.0%
<b>Study Region</b>	<b>\$48,001,110</b>	<b>\$1,222,527</b>	<b>2.5%</b>

**Table 4: Estimated Annual Gross Economic Impact on Commercial Fisheries (Reduction in Profit)**

Fishery	Baseline GER	\$ Reduction in Profit	% Reduction in Profit
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	\$108,209	\$6,399	5.9%
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	\$5,889,196	\$33,056	0.6%
Lobster	\$6,360,856	\$67,941	1.1%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	\$217,200	\$15,114	7.0%
N. Fishery (Trap)	\$372,719	\$1,679	0.5%
Rock Crab	\$1,469,292	\$37,818	2.6%
Sablefish	\$286,809	\$0	0.0%
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	\$500,296	\$41,825	8.4%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	\$1,741,435	\$111,726	6.4%
Squid	\$22,459,304	\$573,528	2.6%
Swordfish	\$366,725	\$3,448	0.9%
Thornyhead	\$648,920	\$0	0.0%
Urchin	\$7,580,148	\$329,993	4.4%
<b>All Fisheries<sup>4</sup></b>	<b>\$48,001,110</b>	<b>\$1,222,527</b>	<b>2.5%</b>

<sup>4</sup> Santa Barbara Ca. Halibut (Trawl) and Sea Cucumber (Trawl) are not included in this total.

### 3. Results for Commercial Passenger Fishing Vessels (CPFV)

We summarize here our analyses of the potential impacts of the Channel Islands MPAs on the 10 CPFV fisheries (i.e., Barracuda, Ca. Halibut, Calico Bass, Lingcod, Rockfish, Ca. Scorpionfish, Ca. Sheephead, Sand Bass, Whitefish, and White Seabass). The results for CPFV fisheries are broken out by port (i.e., Santa Barbara, Port Hueneme/Channel Islands Harbor, Santa Monica, San Pedro/Long Beach, Newport Beach, Dana Point, Oceanside, and San Diego).

#### 3.1 Potential Impacts on CPFV Fishing Grounds (Area and Value)

For information on the potential impacts on CPFV fishing grounds for the 80 port-fishery combinations considered in this analysis (both in terms of total area and total value), please see Tables A.3 and A.4 in the Appendix.

#### 3.2 Potential Economic Impacts on CPFV Fisheries


Similar to our analysis of the commercial fisheries, we calculate the potential net economic impact for the CPFV fisheries as the average (i.e., for all 10 species considered) percentage reduction in net economic revenue (i.e., profit). The potential impacts are broken down by port in Table 5 and Figure 3. Port Hueneme/Channel Islands Harbor is estimated to see the highest potential net impacts (as a %), while Santa Monica, San Pedro/Long Beach, Newport Beach, Dana Point, and Oceanside are not estimated to see any impacts.

Going forward through the subsequent MPA evaluation rounds, the impacts of the Channel Island MPAs will not change; therefore, the net economic impacts in Table 5 are the minimum possible impacts that any of the alternative MPA proposals could have on the SCSR CPFV fisheries.

**Table 5: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on CPFV Fisheries by Port (Reduction in Profit)**

Fishery	Baseline GER	Estimated Costs	Baseline NER (Profit)	% Reduction in Profit
Santa Barbara	100%	67%	33%	7.5%
Port Hueneme / Channel Islands Harbor	100%	61%	39%	11.8%
Santa Monica	100%	74%	26%	0.0%
San Pedro / Long Beach	100%	65%	35%	0.0%
Newport Beach	100%	62%	38%	0.0%
Dana Point	100%	79%	21%	0.0%
Oceanside	100%	62%	38%	0.0%
San Diego	100%	82%	18%	2.1%
<b>Study Region</b>	—	—	—	<b>3.0%</b>

**Figure 3: Estimated Annual Net Economic Impact on CPFV Fisheries by Port (% Reduction in Profit)**



## 4. Results for Recreational Fisheries

We summarize here our analyses of the potential impacts of the Channel Islands MPAs on the 17 recreational fisheries (i.e., Barracuda, Bonito, Ca. Halibut, Calico Bass, Croaker, Lobster, Mackerels, Rockfish, Rock Crab, Scallops, Sheephead, Sand Bass, Squid, Surf Perch, Thresher Shark, White Seabass, and Yellowtail). The results for recreational fisheries are broken out by user group (i.e., dive, kayak, and private vessel) and by county (i.e., Santa Barbara, Ventura, Los Angeles, Orange, and San Diego).

### 4.1 Potential Impacts on Recreational Fishing Grounds (Area and Value)

Due to the large number of fisheries, user groups, and counties considered, we present potential impacts on total recreational fishing grounds (both in terms of total area and total value) in Tables A.5–A.6 in the Appendix.

**Appendix A: Summary tables of potential impacts****Table A.1 Percentage Area of Total Commercial Fishing Grounds Affected by Port**

Fishery	Port						
	Santa Barbara	Ventura	Port Hueneme / Oxnard	San Pedro / Terminal Island / Redondo	Dana Point / Newport	Oceanside	San Diego
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	3.7%	9.2%	7.1%	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	3.8%	3.0%	—	—	—
Lobster	5.8%	0.1%	1.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	9.8%	—	7.0%	8.6%	—	—	0.0%
N. Fishery (Trap)	1.6%	10.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rock Crab	3.9%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sablefish	—	—	—	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	10.4%	11.7%	9.5%	7.1%	—	—	0.0%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	0.0%	0.0%	25.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Squid	—	3.1%	4.0%	3.6%	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	0.9%	—	0.1%
Thornyhead	—	—	—	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	—
Urchin	7.2%	—	5.5%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Table A.2: Percentage Value of Total Commercial Fishing Grounds Affected by Port**

Fishery	Port						
	Santa Barbara	Ventura	Port Hueneme / Oxnard	San Pedro / Terminal Island / Redondo	Dana Point / Newport	Oceanside	San Diego
Ca. Halibut (Hook & Line)	5.6%	7.0%	6.2%	—	—	—	—
Ca. Halibut (Trawl)	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—
Coastal Pelagics	—	—	0.8%	0.5%	—	—	—
Lobster	3.4%	0.0%	3.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
N. Fishery (Hook & Line)	9.4%	—	0.2%	6.7%	—	—	0.0%
N. Fishery (Trap)	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rock Crab	4.0%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sablefish	—	—	—	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	—
Sea Cucumber (Diving)	9.9%	0.3%	14.2%	1.8%	—	—	0.0%
Sea Cucumber (Trawl)	0.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—
Spot Prawn	0.0%	0.0%	26.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Squid	—	3.0%	2.9%	2.2%	—	—	—
Swordfish	—	—	—	—	1.6%	—	0.1%
Thornyhead	—	—	—	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	—
Urchin	6.6%	—	3.4%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Table A.3: Percentage Area of Total CPFV Fishing Grounds Affected by Port**

Fishery	Port							
	Santa Barbara	Port Hueneme / Channel Islands	Santa Monica	San Pedro / Long Beach	Newport Beach	Dana Point	Oceanside	San Diego
Barracuda	8.3%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
Ca. Halibut	9.5%	14.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
Calico Bass	9.3%	4.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Lingcod	7.1%	10.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%
Rockfish	7.2%	11.6%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.6%
Ca. Scorpionfish	8.5%	6.9%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Ca. Sheephead	6.6%	5.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
Sand Bass	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Whitefish	9.2%	10.8%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%
White Seabass	8.1%	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%

**Table A.4: Percentage Value of Total CPFV Fishing Grounds Affected by Port**

Fishery	Port							
	Santa Barbara	Port Hueneme / Channel Islands	Santa Monica	San Pedro / Long Beach	Newport Beach	Dana Point	Oceanside	San Diego
Barracuda	2.7%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Ca. Halibut	5.5%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Calico Bass	1.2%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lingcod	4.8%	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
Rockfish	3.7%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
Ca. Scorpionfish	3.7%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Ca. Sheephead	5.3%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Sand Bass	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Whitefish	8.2%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
White Seabass	3.6%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%

**Table A.5: Percentage Area of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County**

County	Sector	Fishery																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive		0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	2.8%		1.6%						5.4%	3.7%
	Kayak		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%									0.6%	0.0%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%	14.9%	13.6%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	13.3%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	6.3%	11.9%	3.4%	7.5%	0.0%	1.6%										6.1%	4.7%
Los Angeles	Dive	0.0%	0.6%	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	1.7%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%
Orange	Dive		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
San Diego	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

**Table A.6: Percentage Value of Total Recreational Fishing Grounds Affected by County**

County	Sector	Fishery																
		Barracuda	Bonito	Ca. Halibut	Calico Bass	Croaker	Lobster	Mackerels	Rockfish	Rock Crab	Scallops	Sheephead	Sand Bass	Squid	Surf Perch	Thresher Shark	White Seabass	Yellowtail
Santa Barbara	Dive		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.7%			4.3%						0.9%	0.6%
	Kayak		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				0.0%				0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%				0.0%				0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Ventura	Dive	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%				1.1%	12.0%	
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	
	Private Vessel	6.2%	1.0%	1.2%	4.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%								2.3%	11.0%	
Los Angeles	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				0.6%	1.0%	
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			1.5%	0.0%	
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%			0.4%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	
Orange	Dive		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				0.0%	0.0%	
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	
San Diego	Dive	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%				0.0%	0.0%	
	Kayak	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	
	Private Vessel	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	