

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	001a	Tom Bryant	Escondido	I am referring to subregion 5, Lapis 1. I find the loser of LaJolla is a bit extreme and really unnecessary. I am a low impact fisherman who really loves the spot and I wish to show my family members the excitement I have there. With this closer to this part of the beach would be diverting to the fishing community in a whole. I spend on an average of \$1000 a year on new gear and bait. If this place would to be closed my money that I spend would not be there to help of our local community. I think it would be a great idea to rethink the closing of LaJolla. In reference to Lapis 2 I like how this map is done.	5		
Carlsbad	001b	Tom Bryant		Looks a little more thought out goes well with Revised external proposal A. but back to lapis 2 if this plan was passed I would be spending more money in the future in our local community and be able to pass knowledge to my kids and be able to spend time with my family. I think low impact fishing is a great thing if your looking to save sea life. I think you should look elsewhere. Thank you for your time I help my input helped out.	5		
Carlsbad	002	Heather Jovin	San Diego	I am opposed to the closing of Lapis 1 due to the closure of La Jolla. My husband is a fisherman and I often accompany him on his kayak fishing trips. Not only do I enjoy spending time with my husband for his hobby, but I love the beautiful scenery and getting to truly appreciate nature. I do believe wildlife should be protected and preserved. For this reason, I suggest closing areas for commercial use, but leave them open for recreational use with fish limits and restrictions.			
Carlsbad	003	Nathan Jovin	San Diego	I am strongly opposed to the Lapis 1 proposal. My main reason is that it would restrict my ability to fish the La Jolla kelp beds. I am a recreational kayak fisherman and have become part of an amazing community of ocean-loving sportsmen. Each and every one of them is respectful of the ocean and the creatures in it. We may catch and keep one fish per month, if we're lucky. The rest we release and mainly we enjoy the sport. The rec fishing community also contributes a huge sum of money to the local economy. Last year I invested over \$1000 in fishing permits and equipment. Most of that went to small local businesses that cater to our community. I caught exactly one "keeper." We're not out there to pillage.		Again, I'm against lapis 1 for the reasons previously mentioned. External A looks like a better option, as it strictens the existing La Jolla SMCA but leaves the kelp bed area open. I would, however, like to see Pt. Loma remain open as well sa La Jolla, as shown in lapis 2	I am pleased to see the publics comments being solicited so aggressively by the stakeholders.
Carlsbad	004	Jack Voss	Laguna Niguel	I am a 69 year old kayak fisherman. As such it is important to me to have access to fishing areas. I support opal proposal because it allows me access out of Dana Point for fishing and lobster. I lobster along the long breakwater. Lapis 1 and Lapis 2 both eliminate any access to lobster for kayak out of Dana Point. It is also important keep Doheny Beach open to fishing as it is the only protected kayak area from power boats inside the buoys. La Jolla is another area I fish and the launch area is important at La Jolla shores and being able to get out to fishing area. Again I favor opal proposal. Dana Point headlands and salt creek area are important kayak fishing area accessible from Dana Point harbor.			I think it is a mistake to ignore economic impact on business with CA current economic crisis it is crazy to push this thru at this time.

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	005	Patrick Moench	San Diego	I am a kayak fisherman. I care a great deal about the environment, especially our oceans. I have always been an ocean person but it wasn't until I started kayak fishing that I really became passionate about it. Like many other fisherman (especially kayakers) La Jolla has become my main, if not only fishing grounds. The ease of launching is unparalleled, not to mention some of the best fishing the whole state has to offer. Without access to La Jolla I would be forced to look elsewhere, and would definitely fish less often. If Lapis 1 is accepted, the only time I would be able to fish is if I jump on a friend's boat. Please keep La Jolla kelp beds open (at least the northern part) so that I and other kayakers may continue to enjoy the great, environmentally friendly spot. Thank you			
Carlsbad	006a	Joshua Pruitt	San Diego	I would like to focus on two key points in an effort to keep from boring the reader. First off, the economic impact of closing La Jolla would be detrimental to the city of San Diego...not to mention taking the food out of my child's mouth and surely losing my home. As a kayak fishing guide who's only source of income comes from spreading the word of conservation, not by preaching false science, but through leading by example...the only way possible to really make a difference. My biggest concern after witnessing the obvious bias through every meeting I've had an opportunity (thank you) to attend is the "supposedly science" based agenda of the closures. For the last ten years I've had an opportunity to spend three to five days a week on the water...for the most part exclusively in La Jolla. What's blatantly obvious is that there are more fish now than ever...certainly more than ten years ago.		Please use the map which represents the most cross interest, revised map A.	If in the end a true compromise is reached, representing the most cross interest, with nothing other than the greater good of our ocean in mind...I'm 100% behind the MLPA. Thanks for you listening to those with the most to lose and giving the public a chance to speak.
Carlsbad	006b	Joshua Pruitt		Since the gill nets were taken out in the 80's it took time for the fishery to recover and the numbers today prove that, even though some how, I haven't seen that in the "data" that's been provided. From the perspective of a fellow conservationist with a whole-hearted concern for this fishery, how would forcing the entire fishing population to areas that can't handle it, in essence creating ocean wastelands, be better for the fishery and all mankind?			
Carlsbad	007a	Heather Bensen	Carlsbad	Overall, I support the conservation at our precious marine life. However, several of the current proposals (Lapis 1, Topaz) close the La Jolla area to fishing. I am opposed to closing La Jolla due to several reasons: 1. Safe access for watermen & women & children. This area is one of the only in San Diego County that provides the safety families need while responsibly fishing. 2. If fishing is closed there are many economical repercussions to the local area as well. Tackle gear dive shops will all suffer. 3. Also geared toward economy is the state of the recession. Fishing is an economical way to put healthy food on the table. Fishing from a surfboard or kayak does not pollute the environment as the large party boats do. 4. Family heritage is extremely important. Generations (over 100 years) of our family have provided for their families responsibly on the ocean. Norwegian captains down to our 6 & 4 year olds who already appreciate & know their history.			I am pleased to have the opportunity to learn more about the MLPA and also have a voice in the process. I hope the maps can provide fairness for all views. Easier said than done!! Thank you and keep La Jolla open.

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
† = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	007b	Heather Bensen		To tell them they do not have the RIGHT to enjoy the ritual of fishing with their father when it is time is unbearable. If La Jolla must receive "no take" zones...please move the zone to the South to Windansea. This will provide marine life protection & accomplish all goals set forth by the MLPA.			
Carlsbad	008	Bill Hamilton	Oceanside	Del Mar is better choice than La Jolla or Swany's		I support minimum closures and therefore Ext Proposal A. If slot limits are viable, I am in support of them and Ext Proposal B would be my next choice.	Too much controversial private funding for the closures. Shouldn't be spending the money when the state is broke!
Carlsbad	009a	Kurt Hoffman	La Jolla	As a general comment to the process I feel that Kayak fisherman could be given special consideration as a very low impact recreational use. Over the past two years I have spent over \$4000 on kayaks & fishing gear & my motor boat has been sitting on a trailer. Although I spent \$8000 to upgrade my motor boat with a clean running 4 stroke motor three years ago. I hvae only used the motor boat twice in the past three years, I prefer kayak fishing as a low impact environmentally friendly means of communicating with nature, I routinely pick-up floating trash discarded by motor boat fisherman. In general kayak fisherman are very conservative in their take of fish. Many fish are released readily from kayaks as in general kayak fisherman respect hte ocean & the resources to a greater extent than many other recreational users. The kayak fishing community is very strong and we have regular meetings and contests where we gather to share our passion and interests.		By noon only 8 yellowtail, 2 halibut and a handful of calico bass were weighed in. The impact of this even was very minimal and we all enjoyed the event and leaned a great deal about the MLPA process. Kayak fishing is a sport I hope to introduce to my son & daughter who attend John Muir school with an emphasis in global citizenship. I feel kayak fishing is a special way to commune with nature and I hope to share this endeavor with my children in the future. Kayak & spear fishing are such natural forms of recreation that restricting these activities seems counter to the initiative goal of increased ocean awareness & respect of the resource.	The economic impact issues seem to be pushed back to the sideline. Recreational fisherman's contribution to the so cal economy is significant & should be accessed & incorporated into the MLPA process. If kayak fishermen spend avg. \$2000 per year on average & motor boat anglers spend \$5000 per year. Those are significant figures & need to be incorporated into the review process.
Carlsbad	009b	Kurt Hoffman		The past staurday 135 of some of the most skilled kayak fisherman in so cal came together for a fishing contest MLPA awareness fundraiser. Many of us launched from the shores at 4am.			
Carlsbad	010a	Martin C. Harding	Encinitas	I am in favor of the revised external proposal A-the main reason being is that it keeps La Jolla shores open and does not extend the current reserve. La Jolla is considered a safe launch for kayakers whether they are 1st timers, youngsters, or those with disabilities. In addition you are close enough to land and lifeguard access should the weather turn or winds begin to howl. La Jolla shores has a very healthy kelp habitat and is an ideal location for kayakers and spearfisherman. I have been fishing La Jolla for the past 25 years and it is where I taught my son (now 9 years old) to fish, first from a boat as an infant then from a kayak starting at age 6. A closure of La Jolla shores would have been an enormous economical impact, as many of us do not have the desire or means to travel further than 3 miles out.			I have attended numerous meetings, and the main thing I notice is that the public has not been adequately unformed of this process. Furthermore, the voices of few have reigned supreme over the voices of many. The whole process seems a bit biased and the data inconclusive and not thoroughly evaluated.
Carlsbad	010b	Martin C. Harding	Encinitas	Also, from a safety stance, La Jolla Shores is considered a safe launch, not hazardous, as some other "surf" beach can be. I would recommed an MLPA in Del Mar, as it can be considered an unsafe launch would not impact the economy financially, and does not offer adequate assistance should it be necessary.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	011a	Ron Medak, M.D.	Encinitas	I have been a sportfisherman in California for over 50 years. Presently I fish both commercially (lobster & fish) in the San Diego area. Lapis 1: Unacceptable. Would essentially eliminate the lobster fishing industry and sportfishing in San Diego County. This is a "third world" type proposal which prohibits sustainable fishing, ignores a scientific approach toward fishing regulation and instead simply uses a hamfist. Lapis 2: Third best proposal. Opal: Unacceptable. This would crowd commercial & recreational lobster fishermen & sportfishermen in such a way as to cause overfishing & depletion of stocks in the few remaining fishable areas. Topaz: Acceptable and second best. This is a well thought-out plan with considerable compromise.			I am concerned that this process, trying to give an appearance of democracy, has in fact a preconceived conclusion to the detriment of the lobster fishing industry and recreational sportfishing as well. Hopefully that is not the case and a wise compromise, as in xtern prop B (revised) or topaz will be chosen.
Carlsbad	011b	Ron Medak, M.D.		Opal: Unacceptable. Practically this is the same as lapis (see above). External Proposal A (revised): best proposal. This satisfies the requirements of the MLPA & still allows San Diego commercial & recreational fishing to continue, albeit with lessened takes. I recommend that this proposal, coupled with reasonable & scientifically based fishing regulations, will allow sustained fishery & marine life that can be enjoyed for generations to come of all stakeholders. E.G. in the case of lobster fishing, this proposal, together with a limit in the # of traps/permits (E.G. 500) would clearly allow a healthy & sustainable lobster population. External Proposal B (revised): fine in San Diego but too restrictive in Orange County.			
Carlsbad	012	Derek Reed	Carlsbad	As an environmental consultant I appreciate the opportunity to provide public comment & support the public process in the MLPA development. I am concerned, however, regarding the potential for reaching closures and the lack of study and data available for public review. If this were part of the normal CEQA/NEPA process, there would be clearly a lack of technical studies to support any position. The process appears to be more emotionally or politically driven. However, I understand where the process currently stands and difficult task that the BRTF has before them. As a third generation San Diegan, the ocean is a very important recreational resource for my family. I do not own a boat and my access is limited to areas that I can reach from shore. I kayak, surf, fish, spearfish & swim in areas accessed from shore. I support the proposals that would not cut off that access for me and my family (ext A, Ext B and Lapis 2)		I support Ext A, B and Lapis 2 because they appear to provide good balance of SMR's and SMP's. I am concerned that large areas of the San Diego County coastline will be closed and access to the ocean for me and my family will be gone. Specifically, the La Jolla area concerns me. My only access, not owning a boat, is from the shore. There is not other option for me to swim 3 miles offshore or paddle 3 miles to be outside the closure areas proposed by some maps. The LJ shores boat launch is the only shore launch access point in the region. A large closure in this area effectively cuts off access for the entire area for recreational users that do not own boats. I hope the BRTF seriously considers access issues in their deliberations.	Overall, I appreciate the efforts of those involved with the MLPA process. The mandated deadlines are a struggle for all involved. Unfortunately, this weakens the entire intent of the act. Once areas are closed, access for many will be gone forever. I encourage limited full closures where possible to reduce recreational and socioeconomic impact.

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	013	Markus Medak	Encinitas	I am providing comment as a commercial lobster fisherman and avid recreational sport and spearfishermen. Lapis 1: this proposal will effectively eliminate lobster fishing as an economically viable fishery, in San Diego County. Opportunities for spearfishing for yellowtail and with seabass will be drastically reduced. Lapis 2: This proposal will still allow lobster fishing to continue but at a significantly lower profitability. The loss of the T.J river mouth will affect many San Diego fishermen. Opal: This will also eliminate lobster fishing in San Diego County, except at a very reduced level. The Tijuana River mouth SMR will jeopardize the supply of live bait for the CDFV fleet. Topaz: Lobster fishing will still be economically viable while protecting large areas of habitat. External A: protects, some very good fishing grounds in Del Mar but allows all sport and commercial fishing in San Diego County in a sustainable fashion.			The process is moving very rapidly with minimal data. I find MPA's to be a third world solution to effective fisheries management. I feel that lobster fishing, a sustainable minimal by catch fishery is unfairly targeted.
Carlsbad	014	Ralph Epstein	Escondido	My family and I have been fishing La Jolla, Carlsbad, San Diego for 30 years. I would like it to remain open for the next generation of my family to fish and kayak. Fishing is an important part of the economy of San Diego and brings in lots of tourists, why would you want to close all the areas and further rail San Diego economy. Maybe you want to bankrupt it like this state is bankrupt.			
Carlsbad	015	Tony Ritter	Vista	I'm very concerned about Lapis 1 & in particular the area around La Jolla 2. This would shut down the shores where the launch ramp is an dis the only access to open ocean. I am an avid kayak fisherman under my own power. I cathy photograph & release 90% of the fish I catch. There are hundreds of people who feel the same way about this process, that it needs to be postponed until California can get back on its feet. These proposals may do some good in certain areas that the commercial fisherman have overfished. If the MLPA's go into affect the DFG will be even more scarce than they already are!			
Carlsbad	016	Nathan Clookie	Carlsbad	I'm a 30 year old small business owner. I sell kayaks and specialize in fishing kayaks. Most of my business comes from kayak fishing. It's crucial for my clientele to have access to safe & easy ocean launches. La Jolla is the most important location to remain open and accessible. If La Jolla closes, it will start an economic snowball effect. I won't be able to support my family, I'll have to lay off my 3 employees and shut down my business. Please consider this as you choose the closures.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	017a	Peter Doherty	Encinitas	General comments: I am an environmentalist (sierra club, green peace), conversationist (nature conservancy) and a fisherman. I catch fish to put food on the table (and grow my own vegetables). I kayak fish for lots of reasons: no fossil fuel, non polluting, not supporting factory fish operations with their fossil fuels and killing of fish by catch. It is also my favorite outdoor sport and enjoy the California weather. There are few places to launch my kayak from the shore safely. These two areas are La Jolla and Encinitas. If La Jolla or Encinitas are closed you will be taking away my opportunity to supply my own fish for the dinner table.		Lapis 1: Not in favor...closes La Jolla to all fishing and closes swami's to kayak fishing. Not acceptable. Lapis 2: Somewhat in favor...Del Mar SMR acceptable. Closes point loam-not acceptable. Opal...somewhat in favor. Del Mar SMR-acceptable. Closes sunset cliffs-Not acceptable. Topaz: Somewhat in favor. Del Mar SMR-acceptable. closes swami to kayaks-Not acceptable. closes O.B.-Not acceptable. Ext A: Somewhat in favor...Del Mar SMR-acceptable. Closes O.B.-Not acceptable. Ext B: Somewhat in favor. Del Mar SMR-acceptable. Closes O.B.-Not acceptable. I am in favor of Ext A, Ext B, Lapis 2. I oppose Topaz and Opal. I strongly oppose Lapis 1-It will do the most damage to accessibility and will have the biggest economic impact.	Good so far. Please keep it fair and open. There were a few issues when the BRTF voted at Round 2. Please keep the process equitable-no hidden agendas please. Thank you for allowing me the time to comment.
Carlsbad	017b	Peter Doherty		If I had to travel further and fish I would have to buy a boat and burn fossil fuels. I support the local economy by buying rods, reel, tackle. Closing down this fishing will hurt the local fishing economy. The Del Mar area is my preferred area for closure since it is not readily accessible from shore and it would work to safeguard the necessary habitat area.			
Carlsbad	018a	Christopher Davis	San Diego	In general, all maps proposals close highly productive areas that don't need protection (for example, Laguna Beach area as a whole, La Jolla, Pt. Loma, P.V, Catalina Island, SBI, Point Dume). These areas are hit hard year after year, yet they still produce and hold large amounts of fish and bait. A reason they are hit hard and don't produce/hold large amounts of fish are neglected to "over-all" scoring. The areas on all maps give the highest socio-economic impact to local communities/businesses, commercial, and private entities. Landings and Harbours will be so detrimented that the death warrant for closing their businesses, the day this is passed. No to La Jolla/Pt. Loma closing..YES to closing Del Mar. Del Mar produces and holds just as much as several "hot spots" or "honey holes" as parts of La Jolla yet why is it being so overlooked.			Stop wasting money on this process, give this money to the DFG and fish restoration projects.
Carlsbad	018b	Christopher Davis	San Diego	Public access will be completely hurt for the kayaking community to the point of several shops throughout the La Jolla area having to close down due to complete halt in normal business. By shutting Del Mar down to fishing/spear fishing; you make it safer for people who have to hike down the hard access of the Del Mar cliffs and send them elsewhere. Del Mar is easily marked by Rivermouth to Rivermouth. This will cover a variety of habitats and residents will be happier that a severe increase of traffic of people will be halted. Laguna Beach is another place of easy beach access for residents of the Orange County area, most of who can't afford a boat or kayak. Proposal B is garbage. Do you guys even know what "persistent kelp" really is? This process needs to stop until more current information can be gathered and the "recession" can be taken into account for cause/effects.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	019	Jake Bonus	Laguna Beach	Lapis 1 and Topaz proposals capture the best habitat for replication and are vital for spacing guidelines. However, the Laguna Beach would benefit from having a preferred sized reserve off this stretch of coastline. To do this, Lapis 1's Laguna Beach SMCA needs to become an SMR, while Topaz's Laguna SMR needs the Southern border to extend to Alviso Creek and the minutes need to run on latitude lines as opposed to longitude lines. This will help with the feasibility of enforcement. All other proposals contain SMR's in Laguna Beach that are either too small or do not capture the highest quality and most critical habitat.		Lapis 1: Laguna SMCA needs to be SMR instead. Laguna SMR need to extend 3 nautical miles out. Topaz 2: Laguna SMR does not capture enough coastline. Opal: Laguna SMR does not capture enough coastline. Ext A: Laguna SMR does not capture enough coastline. Ext B: SMR would be ineffective. Size is too small.	Protecting areas is important to us ecologically, and economically. This process seems to focus too much on consumptive use rather than the benefits of protected areas. It is good that stakeholders have an opportunity to speak and express their opinions, but not when popular opinion threatens the outcome of the process. Sometimes popular opinion is wrong.
Carlsbad	020	Mike Dad	Laguna Beach	All draft arrays have SMR's that are too small in Laguna. I would like border to border abalone point in the north to mussel point in the south. SMR going three miles out in the ocean and of the preferred size of 18 square miles not only would the size follow the science guidelines but also border to border would allow easy education for the citizenry and enforcement. Lapis 1: too small SMR in Laguna. Lapis 2: Impossible to enforce and difficult to communicate borders to consumptives in Laguna. Opal: too small in Laguna. Ext A: Borders will be enforceable in Laguna SMR. Ext B: Are they nuts in Laguna?			
Carlsbad	021	Norman Yuen	Encinitas	I'm a proud sportsman and conservationist. I believe in being responsible in the taking of game-time so that future generations will be able to enjoy fishing also. I find the closing of La Jolla deeply troubling, not only to myself but to my community. La Jolla is the only safe place for many to launch kayaks to fish for pelagics. If La Jolla is closed my dream of teaching my young daughter to kayak fish with me drastically diminished. Also, I believe that our local economy will suffer even more than it already is. Our family spends roughly \$7000 or more per year towards the sport of kayak fishing. Many local retailers will be put out of business. 70% of my kayak fishing is out of La Jolla. In my opinion, an alternative of closing Del Mar would be fair for everyone. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my view in this matter.			
Carlsbad	022a	Shara Djahanbam	Encinitas	I am deeply concerned by the proposed initiative. I consider myself a conservationist concerned with preservation of our earth. I take this very seriously and teach my 2.5 year old daughter to always recycle, pick up trash, and report poachers, as a family we enjoy fishing and spend about 80%-85% at the beaches of La Jolla and Point Loma as a sportsman/conservationist my money goes to replenish, patrol and restock the ocean. The proposed closure of La Jolla or Point Loma would not only impact the fishing community, it would impact me personally. My husband and I are avid fishing/kayakers and have always felt safe and protected in these areas.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	022b	Shara Djahanbam		They not only provide easy access for launching our kayaks, it is also the safest area where I feel comfortable having our daughter accompany us. In fact this area is one of the only spots that certain pelagic fish are caught. As an example, we enjoy fishing for Bonito, Barracuda, etc. Our only other option if these areas closed would be boat fishing which would be at the expense of polluting, something I am trying to not be a part of and trying to teach my daughter about. La Jolla and Point Loma are close to the metro area which I think would offer legal and safe recreation for millions of San Diegans! Therefore the closure of these areas would 100% absolutely impact millions of people. A proposed closure could be in an area such as Del Mar where not as many people would be affected. Not as much money would be lost if Del Mar closed. If you close this area you are taking or should I say stealing something personal and dear to me.			
Carlsbad	022c	Shara Djahanbam		You'd be taking 100% of my family's fishing opportunity. My grandparents, and mom and dad and now my own family fish and kayak this area. It's been a tradition that I look forward to doing with my own grandchildren in this area. When my grandma passed away, I continued and increased my La Jolla/Point Loma beach visits as this area holds dear memories. I scattered my grandma's ashes at sea and each time I boat and fish this area, I feel happiness that my grandma's traditions and memories live on although she sadly passed away. I can't imagine an alternate area for these reasons. I can't fathom another safe place that would be free of kayak diving problems such as safe or adequate wind levels, distance/and or suitable launches. The only choice would be to keep these places intact.			
Carlsbad	022d	Shara Djahanbam		From an economic standpoint, I am contributing to local businesses and bringing revenue to these areas, more than tourism would. In the last year we have upgraded our kayaks and spent \$4000, we've spent roughly \$2000 on gas getting to these areas, spent about \$500-800 on lodging in Point Loma, spent \$500-600 on dining in Point Loma/La Jolla region. Spent \$3000 at local stores on new poles/bait tackle, life vests, safety courses, this is close to \$10,000 in just myself alone. That would not continue annually if these areas closed. La Jolla constitutes 80-85% of our outings. I want to continue traditions and spending my hard earned money in areas I trust and would like to see grow and prosper. I want/need to continue keeping my grandma's memory alive. I implore you to please choose an alternate area, such as Del Mar. Thank you for considering my opinions and plea			
Carlsbad	023	Jeff Morasse	Vista	External Proposal B is what I support. I need access to recreation of kayak fishing. As a native Californian I need access to these specific areas. Please do not stop my access. Are you a Native? I need to support people who make a living based on the ocean - marine, fishing, manufacturer's, etc. We do not need more people on unemployment. Thank you to all parties for your work on this issue. Please come together on a plan that helps us all to create a better ocean. Remember, water is what these species live in - clean ocean water is the only thing these species need. Pollution is the problem in the water. This is where these species live at this time. Too bad you are not worried about cleaning the water first.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	024	Donald Lang	San Diego	I am in favor of revised external Proposal B.			
Carlsbad	025	Paul Zenner	Encinitas	I like Proposal A from what I've seen. I do not like the arbitrary and non scientific approach this committee has taken in implementing MIPA in the North and Central Coast. I also do not like that the State cannot afford to enforce or scientifically monitor the results of any proposed restrictions which is the basis and legal authority for the Fish and Game Commission to implement the restrictions. Basically, the State of California is broke and is worse shape now than in 2004 when this was postponed. Cost of implementation has gone from \$250,000 to \$34,000,000 at a time when the state is ill prepared to pay this. What are we thinking?			
Carlsbad	026	Cyndi	Oceanside				Fishing grounds belong to the citizens of California, not special interest groups. Enforcing existing fish and game laws and conservation is sufficient to protect our fishing habitats. If there are problems to be addressed, I would suggest pollution and foreign fishery abuses. Californians are not the problem for our marine life. We must stop destroying businesses and recreation at the hands of a brute force environmental minority. Enough is enough! Leave proposal "O" as is.
Carlsbad	027	Owyn Snodgrass	Cardiff	I am a research fisheries biologist workign with the National Marine Fisheries Service. I am a conservationist and recreational fisherman. I believe MPA's are a good idea, but do not agree with many aspects of the current MLPA process. I feel complete closure of areas to recreational fishing is unfair to the public interest. Recreational fisherman are not a significant impact on fish stocks. Commercial fisherman are a much more drastic influence on our fishing stock. I think commercial closures are a good idea. but "no take" zones in places like LaJolla are a bad idea for recreational fisherman. Closing La Jolla would take away my only area to fish from my kayak. I would be ok with Proposal A revised external proposal. I think La Jolla should remain open to recreational fishing. The other areas are ok with me, but Lapis draft 1 is a very bad idea.		I think Lapis 1 would be very harmful to my way of life. Not to mention the livelihood of many people in the fishing industry. The closure and no take for La Jolla is specific is a very bad idea. La Jolla is a very special place for me and many people. It is wrong to completely close La Jolla. some species restrictions would be ok with me, for example closing invertebrate take would be a good idea. But closing La Jolla to taking highly migratory species such as yellow tail, and white seabass is a bad idea and would have no positive impact on their fish stock. I think revised external Proposal A is the best MPA map. I like the changes in Proposal A and agree with closing COMMERCIAL fishing in these areas but NOT recreational fishing.	I think the process is one sided and there has not been enough time to actually evaluate the science and research possibility. We need to know how these areas will be effected before we close them.
Carlsbad	028	Rachel Woodfield	Oceanside	Subregion 3, all proposals: I would propose that the newly restored Full Tidal Basin at Bolsa Chica Ecological Reserve be added to all proposals as a SMR. I propose all SMP land proposed at Bolsa Chica be changed to SMR, with the exception of the trail areas currently open to the public for non-consumptive uses. These should remain open, as SMP?			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	029a	Leslea Meyerhoff	Solana Beach	The City of Solana Beach remains concerned that the MLPA process could have a significant adverse impact on cities and SANDAG's ability to restore the San Diego County beaches and shoreline. The City is not opposing the MLPA process. However, we intend to make sure that our ability to restore our beaches and provide shoreline protection (through soft-solutions, not seawalls) is unaffected by this new regulatory scheme. The Lapis 1 (round 2) alternative is the only alternative that does not establish a "no-take" zone over one of our identified critical source of offshore sand. Access to this sand source must remain intact in order for the region to implement an effective beach restoration program in the future. We are formally requesting the inclusion of the following language in the take regulations for any and all MPA proposals:			
Carlsbad	029b	Leslea Meyerhoff		Allowances for sand removal and placement on regional beaches as part of an on-going and future beach restoration activities. If this language is included, Solana Beach will not oppose the MPA designations nor the process.			
Carlsbad	030	Katie Westfall	San Diego	Bring back Proposal C! Not a single proposal provides adequate protection for marine ecosystems. Because the majority of the RSG is comprised of fisherman, the middle ground proposals have been shot down and now we are left with four proposals that are almost identical. All six proposals are not adequate protection according to the Science Advisory Team. Not a single option represents the diverse needs of the broader south coast community. In order to ensure a successful outcome and an effective MPA network, we need a broad range of options on the table and a commitment to science. We urge the BRTF/FGC to ensure the round three proposal include options supported by a variety of stakeholders including conservationists, scientists, surfers, divers and others who use the ocean as a cultural and recreational resources.		Draft Proposal A & B both ignore areas of critical habitat and include areas that are not the highest of priority for conservation. Both plans do not include any additional areas in La Jolla and around the coves, regions shown to be highly biodiverse and worth protecting. A large focus on both of these plans include Del Mar which is a sandy bottom area and not as significant as Pt. Loma regions and La Jolla. Both of these proposals fail to represent the interest of a broad stakeholder group and only express the interest of fishing groups. We need a broad range of options as many of the proposals are identical.	It seems that the process has been hijacked by one interest group - the fishermen. They represent well over a majority of the RSG and all Round 2 proposals show their interests. We need more representation on the RSG of a diverse group including spanish speakers, conservationists, surfers, divers, and community members.
Carlsbad	031a	Alberto Silebi	San Diego	I am a San Diegan that holds with utmost value the varied resources provided by our oceans. I instill on my children the deepest of respect for our environment and all the joy that it brings our family. My concerns in the MLPA are multi-faceted and range from access to heritage to economics. I am a long time member of the San Diego Freedivers. We hold dearly in our club a high level of ethics and stewardship for our oceans. We donate funds to help raise White Sea Bass and increase the health of our oceans. While we contribute funds to maintain our oceans the state is erroneously rushing into a program that it cannot economically sustain. In addition, it adversely impacts our economy as a whole. I have a young daughter age 3 that has inherited her love for the ocean from myself. She also loves to consume the catch of the day with the entire family.			This process is not based on sound science and is artificially being funded. The State of California is neither capable of implementing this on its own, nor is it capable of enforcing it. Ethically the state should not pursue this.

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	031b	Alberto Silebi		Her problem is with access to quality diving/swimming from shore. On occasion she enjoys shore diversity/spearfishing with dad (me). there are only several places where a youth of 3-4 years of age can safely access the ocean. I cherish above all the legacy that is being passed to her. Besides being a bayside fisherman and diver that frequents La Jolla and views dismally the loss of that access for myself and my daughter, I also keep a boat in the water in Mission Bay. I fish both La Jolla and Point Loma. I dive both areas exclusively. Closure of either of these areas impact my access, my daughter's access, and reduce my annual \$10,000 expenditure into the local economy hosted by our oceans. I support the Dunes closure to meet guidelines.			
Carlsbad	032	Maurizio Mangini	Carlsbad	I am concerned about the procedures for collecting raw data as to all the proposal sites, as well as having access to all raw data. Transparency is not obvious. As to our local areas, Carlsbad to the mexican border, I favor the revised External Proposal B. It has the least impact on the safety, heritage, and the economics of our area. I am opposed to closing La Jolla and Pt. Loma. La Jolla has the only safe entry and return for kayak, swimming, divers and fisherman. Pt. Loma is the second closest to launching sites that are accessible and safe.			
Carlsbad	033a	Wayne Wilcoxon	Carlsbad	As a kayak fisherman, La Jolla is the most important area for me to keep open for fishing. I like to fish from a kayak because I believe in low-impact on the environment. I believe the fish population in La Jolla is not endangered. I occasionally take a fish or two for my own use and release most. I consider myself an environmentalist and want to protect the marine environment and fish populations. By fishing from my kayak (along with my wife) we feel we become part of the ocean and even pick up garbage when we see it. If La Jolla (and Pt. Loma too) are closed to us, our options to experience the beauty of the ocean is greatly depleted. My wife's father grew up fishing this area. He can no longer fish, but still enjoys hearing about my adventures and looking at the pictures I take. I spend a lot of money locally on gear. Just recently I bought a new rod and reel that contributed about \$350.00 to our economy. Thanks for letting me voice my option.			
Carlsbad	033b	Wayne Wilcoxon		Please do not close La Jolla or Pt. Loma to fishing. I am willing to consider new take laws, but not complete closure. This would drastically change my San Diego life to one I don't even want to consider.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	034a	Stan Hogen	Carlsbad	Re: Agua Hedionda SMR - As a resident of the lagoon (Bristol Cove) and a member of Agua Hedionda Lagoon Foundation, I feel the Agua Hedionda Lagoon SMR should be abandoned. Not only is the existing SMR not enforced, but it is located in an area that is rarely accessible (except at low tide). it is already a passive use area so no motors allowed. On proposal shows the entire passive use area as a SMR - this is a bad idea! All this will do is force anglers into one small area of the lagoon. Agua Hedionda is a very unique place. Nowhere else can you take a child shore fishing in the ocean and not have to worry about big waves. All of my kids and most of my friend's kids learned how to fish at Agua Hedionda. but more importantly they were taught the importance of protecting the lagoon through sportsmanship, catch and release techniques and identifying and sharing with all the wildlife and fish in the lagoon.			
Carlsbad	034b	Stan Hogen		Please do the right thing and let our kids and grandkids enjoy and learn about this lagoon. Either leave the existing SMR as it is or abandon SMRs in the lagoon all together.			
Carlsbad	035a	Nancy Ortiz	San Diego	In reference to Lapis 2 MPA Proposal: I've been kayak fishing for about three years now. I can simply state that I love to fish off my kayak. Recreationally, it is 100% of my leisure activity. I get friends and family involved in recreation fishing. I intend to pass this passion of mine to my children in the near future. Taking away La Jolla, the pearl of San Diego would be absolutely detrimental. The current marine reserve has worked amazingly well. A myriad amount of pelagic fish thrive in this nest egg of Southern California. Having the ability and access to launch out of La Jolla is a privilege for many of us. I see 1/2 day boats out with La Jolla, and because I own my kayak I can have the equal opportunity to economically put myself in the same situation/location as a charter boat.			Overall the statistics currently made are not accurate enough based on closures of La Jolla, Pt. Loma, Catalina Island. I strongly believe that the State of California does not have the economic means for such closures. La Jolla should be left alone as it is. Point Loma has not environmentally destroyed any fish classification. Instead of helping the budget-stricken State of California, these closures are going to bury the economy.
Carlsbad	035b	Nancy Ortiz		I can estimate that I contribute \$2,000 - \$4,000 dollars yearly in this "so-called passion" of mine. In preparing for any outing, I contribute gasoline expense, tackle, rods and reels, clothes, bait, etc. Why not take a slightly different approach and extend the fish size. Instead of 12" for calicos, why not make a legal size of 14"/15". Also making smaller fish limits for charter boats - to keep 7 calico bass, 1 legal halibut of 25", instead of 22". Logically, the pelagic fish have thrived with the current fish size and limitations; restricting the size is a cheaper and smarter approach.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	036a	Tom Keough	San Diego	1) I oppose all the MPA proposals that call for Marine Reserves in the areas off La Jolla and Point Loma. These areas have thriving sustainable pelagic and resident marine life populations due to years of sound game management effort of the Fish and Game Department. Declaring a Marine Reserve in the La Jolla and Pt. Loma areas prevents enjoyment by countless numbers of boaters, divers, fishermen, spear-fishermen, kayakers, and swimmers. Marine reserves in these areas will also prevent further sound resource management practices such as harvesting sea urchins to enable the kelp beds to flourish. The preserve off La Jolla shores is barren because the unchecked urchin populations destroyed kelp. 2) I oppose all the MPA proposals that call for Marine Reserves in the areas off La Jolla and Point Loma.			
Carlsbad	036b	Tom Keough		such action will have a widespread economic impact on the community of San Diego as a whole, particularly in these times of national and local economic hardship. many San Diegans rely on bringing people here for vacationing and associated recreational activities including kayaking, spearfishing and fishing. The La Jolla and Pt. Loma areas provide easy access for those activities since the areas can be accessed from nearby beaches via swimming and kayaking. the LaJolla areas is adjacent to a large metro area and is one of a few spots where kayakers and spearfishermen can fish for pelagic species (yellowtail, white seabass, bonito, barracuda, etc.) Many thousands of tourists engage in these activities and designating marine reserves in Pt. Loma and La Jolla will have adverse socio-economic impact for millions of people.			
Carlsbad	036c	Tom Keough		3) I urge the adoption of a Marine Reserve or closure in the area off the shoreline of Del Mar as an alternative to closures off the shorelines of La Jolla and Pt. Loma. The Del Mar area provides biodiversity and habitat and special protection that meets scientific goals of MPA and is supported by the proposals Lapis 2, Opal, Topas. 4) I have been a spearfisherman in the La Jolla & Pt. Loma areas for over forty-five years. I hope to be able to continue practicing this God-given heritage for the duration, and have many friends and family members that also have this interest. I personally knew the pioneers of free-diving and spear-fishing that started all here in San Diego. Over the years I have dived and shared adventures with many of them, and it saddens me greatly that this gratifying and fulfilling activity may be taken away from us and denied to my heirs by the action of MPA.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	037	Tara O'Connor	San Diego	I encourage that maps be looked at in a way that they are not duplicates of each other, in terms of ocean life and habitat. The sea bed floor and geographic is vacant and species of fish and peragics very similar in areas of reef, sea kelp beds, and sand floor areas. External Prop. C was voted out for a reason and I felt that when it was kept to go forward it was very unfair and disrespected those that have worked hard and who have spoken on behalf of the MLPA process and efforts of the blue stake holders. Thank you.		I urge to consider Del Mar as an alternative versus closing La Jolla. Firstly, the socioeconomic impact would be less severe. Secondly, access is a huge issue. I love to spearfish, but I also kayak and La Jolla Shores is the only accessible and SAFE area to do this. La Jolla Shores is adjacent to a hug metro area and it is convenient for thousands of people. Alternatively, Del Mar would not provide a safe access, and no access at all for kayakers. Please consider Del Mar as an alternative as it adheres to MPA guidelines with less socio-economic impact and also because access would not be an issue.	Please be fair and remain unbiased, non-judgemental, and democratic when reviewing both and all sides of MLPA process. Thank you so much for all your hard work so far!
Carlsbad	038	Jeff Squires	San Diego	Most of these plans do not allow surf fishing. Is there anyway to allow surf fishing in any of the "no fish zone"? I enjoy fishing from the beach and have taken my kids and now my grandkids to the beach to fish. We have always released our catch when possible. I would like to see you put limits on when I can fish, or what I can fish, or the size of the fish instead of not being able to fish at all. If you want to fix the eco-system, clean up th eater going into the ocean first. You clean up the water the life will come back. Fishing (recreational) is not hurting these eco-systems.			
Carlsbad	039a	Adam Birbaum	Del Mar	As a planner for the City of Del Mar in San Diego County. I have some limited concerns about the proposed designation of a (RED) MLPA for most of the off-shore area along the city boundaries. The City of Del Mar has traditionally been very supportive of resource preservation effortst. But the city also has an interest in future sand replenishment and san retention projects in the geographic area designated as an MLPA or vitually all of the alternatives. Del Mar is also a very popular beach recreation area with a corresponding emphasis on marine safety measures. As such, my concerns are that the regulatory guidelines that would govern activities in an MLPA will specifically allow beach replenishment and beach restoration projects without the need for a discretionary interpretation of whether that type of project is allowed in a (Red) MLPA area.			
Carlsbad	039b	Adam Birbaum		We would also want to be sure that the regulatory guidelines specifically allow the type of beach recreation that is historical to the area with the associated beach grooming, lifeguard patrols and other marine safety services.			
Carlsbad	040a	William Vitale	San Diego	I am a 45 year old man that has several disabilities due to injuries sustained in the line of duty while working as a firefighter. I have only one choice of a safe launch site. La Jolla Shores public boat launch to launch and land my kayak. I am a recreational kayak fisherman, and I fish the La Jolla Shores area 100% of the time. I also contribute thousands of dollars annually to my local economy purchasing fishing related equipment. In 2007 I purchased a new Hobie kayak for \$2,000, several rods an reels totally \$2,500, kayak rocks \$550, bait tanks \$150, GPS \$300, fishfinder \$350, etc. This is only a portion of my total kayak fishing expenditures. It is reasonable to assume that there will be a similar yearly expenditure in years going forward thus supporting my local economy.		I do not support a MPA at La Jolla Shores. I support External A Proposal because it offers a reasonable alternative by locating a MPA in Del Mar area.	I would like to see the raw science data collected to determine the habitat requirements. I would like to see economic impact studies as well as recreational impact reports.

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	040b	William Vitale		My 2009 kayak fishing related purchases have been very similar to the above mentioned purchases, as equipment needed replacing. If La Jolla Shores is closed to fishing due to an MLPA Reserve I will lose 100% of my recreational fishign opportunity kayak fishing. Multiply this dollar amount by the number of kayak fisherman. More over, my local economy will suffer an economic impact as I would no longer make kayak fishing related purchases totally approximately \$3000 per year as I have outlined in page one of this comment sheet. In addition to the points I have mentioned above, I also spend a fair amount of time fishing with my family in the La Jolla Shore area. this is tradition for my family and I would like to continue to take my young son kayak fishin in th esafe inshore waters of La Jolla Shores.			
Carlsbad	040c	William Vitale		La Jolla Shores is sheltered from dangerous swell activity, and also has shelter from strong winds due to Point La Jolla geography. This insures a fairly safe return after a day of fishing. A reserve located in the Del Mar area would offer the diverse habitat that is required for an MLPA reserve while allowing minimum economic and recreational impact to the residents of San Diego and tourists alike.			
Carlsbad	041	William Vitale	San Diego	Continued from 40			
Carlsbad	042	Mike Yoar	Elfinforest	I fish bass tournaments out of San Diego Bay and on your maps, the area that I fish is not open so I will have to use more gas to fish La Jolla, then come back throw the closed area. If the boat stops working and I am in the red with fish and will now get a ticket. P.S. The fish will be set free after weigh in. Lapis 2 draft. Revise External B.			
Carlsbad	043	Kiyo Sato	Gardena	Lapis 1 - is the least friendly map for fisherman, especially kayak and spearfishermen. It shuts down La Jolla Shores to human powered water crafts. External A&B are the preferres closures and still meet set guidelines.			
Carlsbad	044	Joan Sosa	West Covina	Lapis 1: This map proosal is the least friendly to human powered recreational fishermen - kayakers and spear fishermen. It would close La Jolla Shores to us which is an area that is used by almost all us fisherman for several reasons. These reasons include shelter from wind, swell, which play and huge part in our safety. Closing La Jolla Shores would make us travel farther distances for us to fish and if the weather turns for the worse, we are no longer as safe. Fishing is more than just a recreational activity to me and my family. My faather and I, as well as my cousins and their fathers, have enjoyed fishing and lok at it as a bonding experience. I would like to continue this with my own son or daughter one day. hope that one day they can enjoy a day on the water with their fathers, as I have, and not be put in danger by having to travel further under their own power to fish.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	045	Robert Sawai	Torrance	Lapis 1 - is the least friendly map for fishermanen, especially kayakers and spear fishermen. It shuts down La Jolla Shores to human powered water craft because it increases the distance needed to reach our fishing grounds. It also shuts down Laguna. They cannot be fishing safely. All other proposals leave La Jolla Shores open to recreational while still meeting the guidelines set by the SAT. External A&B are the preferred closures and still meet all SAT guidelines. Also, Lapis 1 forces fisherman to fish waters too far from the launch in Redondo. Human powered water craft are unable to fish the P.U. area without a very long distance of travel.			
Carlsbad	046a	Kenneth Rosburg	San Diego	I would like to show my support for revised External Proposal B. As a kayak angler that fishes La Jolla it will have the least impact on me as an angler. La Jolla is th eonly safe ocean launch site in San Diego and having it open to fishing is a must for a kayaker. It is simply unreasonable to ask a kayaker to launch at a site that is dangerous and further away and expect me to paddle 5 or more miles just to fish. The closing of La Jolla is Lapis 1 draft, Lapis 2 draft, Opal draft and Topaz draft are unacceptable to me and would cause me to either launch at a dangerous site or give up fishing in the State of California and spend my money in Mexico to fish.		External Proposal B is the MLPA that I support. It keeps La Jolla open to low impact kayaking. External Proposal A would be my second choice in supporting. Keeping La Jolla and Pt. Loma open to fishing in a must as a kayak angler. All other proposals I find to be unacceptable and would close many areas to angling like La Jolla 2 SMR in Lapis 1 draft, Sunset Cliffs SMR Opal Draft and Laguna SMR in Topaz Draft.	
Carlsbad	046b	Kenneth Rosburg		I have fished in San Diego as a boy and cannot imagine not being able to fish La Jolla or Point Loma. as well as not being able to take my young boys fishing there, kayak fishing is something I would love to share with them and shutting down fishing in La Jolla and Pt. Loma would end that dream. With that being said, I support External Proposal B with External Proposal A being my second choice.			
Carlsbad	047	Jonathan Zaidman	San Diego	None of the 6 maps respresent my interest in coastal conservation. I would like to see a proposal geared towards scientific biological conservation like the previously represented "Proposal C". Both External Proposals A& B are lacking in protection of important regions. I fail to see how either of these proposals represent the best interest of marine life. As for Lapis, Topaz, and Opal, they provide me very little difference and none of the 3 seem like viable ecological options.		I would first and foremost like to see La Jolla protected on a higher level on all of the maps. My main concern is the unique biodiversity that La Jolla has to offer including the leopard sharks, garibaldi, and the seals. All of these are treasures of our beach and a draw for thousands of tourists annually. The economic benefit of tourist dollars is one that is not to be taken lightly. I least of all support External Proposal B.	I feel there nees to be a stronger conservational interest being represented within the RSG. I see no proposals that suit me as a Sand Diego local, surfer and conservationalist. I could not, as of yet, even select an option. For, in my opinion, they all seem to ignore scientific reasoning.
Carlsbad	048a	Donald Irvine	San Diego	I am a 75 year old skin diver and fisherman. I do not spearfish. I fish from a 12 foot boat, so Ineed close, safe access to fishing grounds from Mission Bay or San Diego Bay. Mostly I exit Mission Bay and fish the edge of the kelp beds to the north. It is a short distance and is protected by Point La Jolla and the kelp beds themselves. Looking at the various proposals, the La Jolla South SMR would eliminate the one place which is relatively safe for me and those like me of limited means. My take in a years time is very small. Maybe a fish a month on average. My enjoyment cmes from the wonderful activity, not the take. Despite being on a fixed income (retired firefighter), I do spend a significant amount of my available funds on fishing.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	048b	Donald Irvine		I spent \$1,300. at the Del Mar Boat show (new boat), bought a more emissions compliant engine in Pacific Beach for \$1,400, spend over \$500 at Squidco Pacific Highway on miscellaneous fishing gear. That is just in 2009. Looking at the various proposals, External A looks to meet most of the needs of the MLPA while still making it possible for those without huge boats to still fish inshore in relative safety. If the Sunset Cliffs SMR inshore portion was eliminated, I would support it 100%. I would support a Del Mar SMR, and most other areas which do not selectively discriminate against those who must fish close to their access site. California has been my home since 1945, and I love San Diego. If the more restrictive proposals pass, however, I will seriously but reluctantly consider leaving the state.			
Carlsbad	049	Donald Irvine	San Diego	Continued from C48			
Carlsbad	050a	Jan Yafis	San Diego	Revised External Proposal A or B: I am the widowed grandmother of 10 grandchildren, 8 of whom live in the San Diego area. For 30 years before my husband died, freediving and spearfishing was our passion. We passed that passion on to our children and grandchildren. My husband and I were/are good stewards of the ocean and we passed our ethics and love of the ocean on to them. Since my husband died, my own passion has been to continue freediving and spearfishing. I love being on and in the ocean. While I spend a lot of time on and in the ocean, I haven't speared a fish for a few years. It's not the spearfishing that I love, it's the ocean itself. This summer when the water warms up, I plan to take my 5 year old grandson snorkeling and introduce him to the underwater world at La Jolla.			I firmly believe in taking care of our oceans and ocean resources. I believe the MLPA process is totally arbitrary and not based on any science. I am a conservationist, but I do not believe in closing areas which are easily accessible by human beings. The ocean critters will be fine. Nature takes care of itself. It's the people I'm worried about. Do not close La Jolla and Pt. Loma from access and use by people.
Carlsbad	050b	Jan Yafis		The thought of closing La Jolla to people makes me sick to my stomach. Thankfully my 15 year old grandson has been able to experience the ocean while areas remain open. If I am fortunate enough to be offered a boat ride, Point Loma is often our destination for fishing and/or freediving and spearfishing. We don't tape and pillage the fish. We take only what we need for the table for dinner. I spent most of my recreation discretionary money on fishing and spearfishing in 2008 and 2009 to date. It occurs to me that the economic impact to the City of San Diego (if La Jolla and Point Loma are closed) would be horrific. Closing Del Mar wouldn't have quite the impact as closing La Jolla and Point Loma. Upon review of the maps tonight, I lean toward favoring revised External Proposal A or revised External Proposal B.			
Carlsbad	051	Chris Adams	Vista	The bigger and more of the closures, which happen to be closest to both San Diego harbors, makes all the fishermen travel farther, burning more fuel over the no catch zones. Of all proposed, I would like to see the External Proposal B, sub region 5. All the other proposals are too extreme.	5		

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	052a	Tyler Webb	San Diego	I am a kayak fisherman. I am an environmentalist. Kayak fishing is a low impact on the environment. Kayak fishing is also very vulnerable to many of the closures proposed. I support revised External Proposal A. External Proposal A provides marine reserves to protect our habitat, while still allowing ocean access to all different kinds of anglers of all skill levels. Economic Impact: I own over \$10,000 in fishing and kayak gear, all purchased at local brick and mortar stores. On any given day I use about \$4,500 worth of gear. The Lapis 1 proposal would eliminate my contribution to the local economy. Ocean access and opportunity to fish: La Jolla is a unique place, protected from the elements for kayakers. It is the ONLY open ocean launch in San Diego county for kayak fisherman without significant drawbacks. Closing La Jolla would unfairly close our access to the ocean, while boaters would still have access to some spots.			The process is not public enough. Fisherman will be most affected, and yet many do not know about the MLPA.
Carlsbad	052b	Tyler Webb		In Orange County closures around Dana Point would have a similar effect. In short for kayak fishing access DO NOT EXPAND RESERVES IN LA JOLLA. We lose our equal access.			
Carlsbad	053	Brian Leslie	Encinitas	Is the GIS data shape files from Marine Map available for download or will it become available at some point?			
Carlsbad	054	Don Omsted	Encinitas	So. Coast Ext. B		I am much in favor of their plan surrounding Ca't Island - catch & release of large fish is a recent best idea. Incorporate this plan for more sites.	
Carlsbad	055	Don Omsted	Encinitas	So. Coast Ext. B	Catalina Island	The catch 4 release program is an excellent idea, An excellent idea that is difficult to enforce is not a good reason to eliminate the idea. It is the most up to date way to keep viable populations. Having the rule though difficult to enforce will educate more & more fisherman.	
Carlsbad	056	Don Omsted	Encinitas	<input type="checkbox"/>	Carls bad - Mex Border	100% of all Lagoons must be SMR Opal & Topaz do this	
Carlsbad	057	Luke Noll	Vista	Revised External Proposal B	Southern Channel Islands	I believe that if you extend out the area around the reserve, you will be severely limiting the shore based fishing, which restricts the recreational fisherman from going to Catalina. This will kill the local economy and be an overall deferment to the area.	
Carlsbad	058	Luke Noll	Vista	Lapis 1	Carlsbad/Mex Border	This is destroying all of the viable fishing grounds in the La Jolla area. This is a huge area for fishing. I believe a tighter regulation on fish counts, is better than an elimination of fishing.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	059	Shandiz Hedayah	Carlsbad	Revised Proposal B	Subregion 5	Again I like this proposal as it allows the casual fisherman the ability to fish without many restrictions I would even add to this proposal what was decided in Lapis 2 from Ocean Beach South. Additionally, the Laguna (Batiqitos & San Elijo) should be State Marine Reserves	
Carlsbad	060	Shandiz Hedayah	Carlsbad	Opal 2	Subregion 4	I think hybrid of opal 2 & External B	
Carlsbad	061	Shandiz Hedayah	Carlsbad	Revised External B	Subregion 7 SCI	I'm a big fan of this proposal. I would support it even more if we reduced the area for commercial fishing. I think slot limits are a good way of protecting these fish that are successful breeders while allowing recreational fisherman the option to his without restrictions on location	
Carlsbad	062	Jeff Squires	San Diego	Opal/Lapis 2		Del Mar allow surf fishing	
Carlsbad	063	Jeff Squires	San Diego	Lapis 1		I like that Del Mar is not Red	
Carlsbad	064	Jeff Squires	San Diego			Round 2-B- Del Mar Beach area allow beach surf fishing, Catalina - Open more areas to fishing. Round 2-A -Delmar Beach/surf fishing allowed	
Carlsbad	065	Rachel Woodfield	Oceanside	Lapis 1	Subregion 5	-Expand Agua Hedionda to the extent of Topaz -Expand Batiqitos to full lagoon (like External A) -Support designation of Aqua, Batig & San Elijo as SMR -Add Sweetwater Marsh as SMR (like Ext. B)	
Carlsbad	066	Rachel Woodfield	Oceanside	Opal	Subregion 5	-Expand Agua Hedionda to the extent of Topaz -Expand Batiqitos to full lagoon (like External A) -Support designation of Aqua, Batig & San Elijo as SMR -Add Sweetwater Marsh as SMR (like Ext. B)	
Carlsbad	067	Rachel Woodfield	Oceanside	Revised Ext. Prop A	Subregion 5	-Add largest extent of Agua Hedionda as SMR (like Topaz) -I support full extent of Batiqitos be covered as SMR -Add Penasquitos Lagoon as SMR, full extent -Add So. San Diego Bay as SMP (like Lapis 2) -Add Sweetwater Marsh as SMR (like Ext B)	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	068	Rachel Woodfield	Oceanside	Lapis 2	Subregion 5	-Expand Agua Hedionda SMR to larger area (like Topaz) -Expand SMR to cover all of Batiquitos (like Ext A) -Make Penasquitos Lagoon a SMR -I support So. San Diego Bay designation as SMP Add Sweetwater SMR (like Ext B)	
Carlsbad	069	Rachel Woodfield	Oceanside	Topaz	Subregion 5	-I support all designation & size -Expand Batiquitos SMR to full extent (like Ext. A) -Add Penasquitos SMR on (like Lapis 2) - Change So. San Diego Bay to SMP -Add Sweetwater Marsh at SMR (like Ext. B)	
Carlsbad	070	Rachel Woodfield	Oceanside	External B	Subregion 5	-Expand Agua Hedionda SMR to larger shown in Topaz -Make Batiquitos a SMR covering full extent (like Ext. A) -Make San Elijo SMR, cover full extent -Add Penasquitos in as SMP -Expand So. San Diego Bay SMP to larger extent like Lapis 2	
Carlsbad	071	Rachel Woodfield	Oceanside	Lapis 1 Lapis 2 Topaz Opal External A External B	Subregion 3	Expand Bolsa Chico to include newly created full tidal basin For all proposals - Recommend designating a SMR	
Carlsbad	072	Lynn Davidson	Laguna Beach	Opal X Topaz		Opal does not capture enough coastline, needs to start at top of Irvine Cove and end at Mussel Beach in South LAG, Topaz needs to extend further South to Dana Pt	
Carlsbad	073	Lynn Davidson	Laguna Beach	Lapis 2 x 1		Lapis 2 - Do they understand the DFG guidelines? This will be impossible to enforce. Reserve Laguna borders to border out to 3 miles Lapis 1 - So. Lag SMCA should be a SMR not an SMC out to 3 miles	

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	074	Lynn Davidson	Laguna Beach	External B & A		External B - Do they understand DFG guidelines? This will be impossible to enforce. Reserve laguna border to border three miles out. External A - Laguna SMR does not capture enough coastline border to border abacone Point Norhtern border to Mussel Point in S. Laguna	
Carlsbad	075	Sandy Dildine	Laguna Beach	Opal	Orange County #4	Laguna SMR needs to be bigger, Northern boundary needs to go to Abalone Pt. (N. City boundary) Southern boundary needs to go to at least Aliso Creek or even further top the Mussel Pt (S. city boundary) these boundaries would be rasier to enforce.	
Carlsbad	076	Sandy Dildine	Laguna Beach	Lapis 2	Orange County #4	The Laguna SMR is too small - must go to N. boundary Abalcone Pt. to at least Aliso Creek if not S. boundary of L. B. Ribbon /corridor btumn Lag. SMR & So. Lag Dana SMCA is odd & hard to enforce.	
Carlsbad	077	Sandy Dildine	Laguna Beach	Lapis 1	Orange County #4	Laguna SMR heeds to be bigger - bring it down to S. boundary of Laguna. This captures more habitat & easier enforcement boundaries	
Carlsbad	078	Sandy Dildine	Laguna Beach	Rev Ext A (Round 2)	Orange County #4	The Laguna SMR is too small - needs to go to Abalone Pt. (N. City Boundary) to at least Aliso Creek if not Southern boundary of Laguna. The broken SMCAs to the South (Laguna Coast - breah - Dana Pt - Breah - Dohery) will be a problem for enforcement	
Carlsbad	079	Sandy Dildine	Laguna Beach	Rev Ext B (Round 2)	Orange County #4	The proposal Laguna SMR is way to small. Is this a joke? This does not meet the science guidelines. I don't understand how this proposal could have advanced to the round.	
Carlsbad	080	Sandy Dildine	Laguna Beach	Topaz	Orange County #4	Laguna SMR boundary needs to come South to at least Aliso Creek. The Northern boundary into Crystal Cove State park will not be allowed as far as I understand. State Parks don't want their status changed....	
Carlsbad	081	Jake Bonus	Laguna Beach	Duplicate of C-19 †			
Carlsbad	082	Jake Bonus	Laguna Beach	Duplicate of C-19 †			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
† = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	083	Jake Bonus	Laguna Beach	Duplicate of C-19 †			
Carlsbad	084	Jake Bonus	Laguna Beach	Duplicate of C-19 †			
Carlsbad	085	Jake Bonus	Laguna Beach	Duplicate of C-19 †			
Carlsbad	086	Jake Bonus	Laguna Beach	Duplicate of C-19 †			
Carlsbad	087	Jake Bonus	Laguna Beach	Duplicate of C-19 †			
Carlsbad	088	Jake Bonus	Laguna Beach	Duplicate of C-19 †			
Carlsbad	089	Rodger Healy	Capo Beach	FIC/FIN Proposal A		As a member of FIC/FIN, I support responsible closures with low Socioeconomic impacts.	
Carlsbad	090	Rodger Healy	Capistrano Beach	Lapis 1 & Topaz	Palos Verdes	Propoed SMR at Palso Verdes Point decimates the Redondo Commerical Lobster Fleet and CPFV Fleet keep in mind Santa Monica Bay is closed to the commercial take of lobster. The Topaz proposal leaves very little productive area for the lobster (commercial) out of Redondo.	
Carlsbad	091	Rodger Healy	Capo Beach	Topaz	Laguna Area	The Laguna SMR proposed by Tjopaz closes the bulk of fishable area in Laguna and South Newport . 35 commercial loster fishermen between Newport and Dana harbors utilize this area. Other fisheries excluded: Unzchin, Dory Fleet, Squid, Crab, and nearshor FinFish.	
Carlsbad	092	Rodger Healy	Capo Beach	Lapis 2	Point Dune	I support this proposal as it does not close Point Dune on either side.	
Carlsbad	093	Jeff Pert	Don Mea CA	Lapis / Topaz	La Jolla	Area proposed West and North of La Jolla is and has historically been a prime sportfishing area - only the most minimal (prized) closure, ie not much beyond existing closure should be made here (or nothing beyond) (at the cove) I'm a boat owner/captain operatoing out of Mission Bay, that is where I fish along the coastline. My favorite spot if not offshore on blue water. It's a classic, legendary sportfishing location. I'm totally against closure of major La Jolla areas.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	094	Jeff Pert	Don Mea CA	Round 2 Lapis 1 + 2	Subregion 5 Penasquitos Lagoon SMR	Penasquitos Lagoon has already been fully closed to an access even hiking and no canoe/kayak access (nofishing, etc) no cove walks etc. This is already an unacceptable situation. The State "criminalized kayaking and canoeing" HERE. It should be reopened immediately. (Penasquitos Lagoon) FYI - The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers is looking into this. If this possibly illegal closure with no public (under U.S. code 33 - Rivers and harbors Act) input requested is any precedent this process is at best highly questionable and at worst already/effectively implemented a full closure were crammed up our rears in this location/instance. I live 150 -200 feet from the river, a navigable waterway, and I see a full closure signs, criminal threat of arrest and citation/punishment under State code all over. Canoe symbol signs with a red slash through them at the end of my street, closed to all available access signs. Is this a sign of things to come with MLPA?	
Carlsbad	095a	Robin Cadis	Carlsbad			External B is the best choice even if there are SMC's added to higher impacted areas if slot limits can be placed on species regulation. As a marine biologist (former) teacher, I understand the need to protect our resources for the future. Closure areas to produce stocks can only do so much. By using slot limits, an area can be open to fish yet still allow our viable fish reproduction by protecting the fish with the highest fecundity. Has there been enough viable evidence to show that the model of SMR works to restore neighboring fishing zones?	
Carlsbad	095b	Robin Cadis				As a sparefisherman, I see that closing major areas like La Jolla and Point Loma would shut down all recreational fishing for individuals like me that must fish from shore. You would be taking away/ punishing those who do not have the access to fish from boats. Fishing should be kept open in these areas to give fisherman the chance to harvest from a diverse area, even if that means higher restrictions must be put on our sport (ie slot limits/lower fish count limits).	

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
† = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	096	Robin Cadis	Carlsbad	External B	South channel islands	From the given proposals, I do like the idea of external B proposal because it provides fishermen the chance to fish much at these areas but the slot limits allow for fish with higher fecundity to have the chance to reproduce. My background is marine biology, so I support protection at some areas but as a spearfisherman, I think we need to opportunity to fish some of these areas to target specific species. By keeping a wider area open to fish, it relieves pressure on certain hot spots around the island. Otherwise the the fewer open areas will have quite a bit of fishing pressure creation less active zones which cannot be rebuilt/restocked solely by the overflow model of marine reserves.	
Carlsbad	097	Scott Bull	Oceanside	Opal		I am on with the Opal draft for La Jolla, but I think the SMR of Del Mar should be reduced in size. I spend a lot of my time shearfishing in Del Mar.	
Carlsbad	098	Scott Bull	Oceanside	Revised External Proposal B		Please don't put revisal external proposal B, this would make shorefishing pointless and would destroy the economy of Catalina Island.	
Carlsbad	099	Scott Bull	Oceanside	Revised External Proposal B		I support this plan the most, but I think Del Mars take should be reduced, I spend a lot of my time in Del Mar diving and I think fishing helps the economy of Del Mar substantially what they did wish C9JC119 reasonable for this proposition if you don't have a boat you will have no reasonable to Del Mar.	
Carlsbad	100	Tommy Gomez	Oceanside	Revised External Proposal A		Please leave open all areas in front of campgrounds around Catalina. If you don't own a boat, you won't camp, you won't spend the money to go to Catalina, thus hurting an already bad economy. Thanks	
Carlsbad	101	Tommy Gomez	Oceanside	I support revised External Proposal B		I also support closing all Lagoons along San Diego Coast to keep more coastline open for fishing & spearfishing	
Carlsbad	102	Ryan Sweeney	San Diego		Subregion 4	I feel horrible for any angler in the Orange County area. Laguna Beach area closures completely kill every fishing spot for shore based anglers. Proposal B is the least harmful but this is still a massacre	

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	103	Ryan Sweeney	San Diego		Subregion 3	External Prop B is by far the best solution! Shore based anglers will be put in danger entering the water or will have no access points to decent fishing grounds w/any other proposal.	
Carlsbad	104	Ryan Sweeney	San Diego	External Proposal B	Subregion 5	I suggest using the La Jolla SMCA from External Proposal B along with the Point Loma SMCA from Lapis 2 draft because the La Jolla shores launch ramp is essential to shore based anglers & sunset cliffs as well	
Carlsbad	105	Ryan Sweeney	San Diego		Subregion 7	Please refrain from closing fishing grounds near the Catalina camping locations. Anyone that doesn't own a boat will not be able to fish or spearfish & there will be no reason to camp at these locations. Or go at all especially two harbors! Catalina is a sustainable treasure to social. Please Please Please do not kill this fishing heritage!!!	
Carlsbad	106	Jennifer Barnes	San Diego	Lapis 1 & 2	Tijuana Estuary (SMP)	I didn't even realize that this area had Sea Turtles and I feel that it should be protected so that others have the opportunity to observe and learn about them.	
Carlsbad	107	Jennifer Barnes	San Diego			There is absolutely no reason to preserve this area that has no real diversity simply because the need to preserve an area. A more suitable area would be one similar to La Jolla cove that consists of seagrass, rocks, & kelp as well as various species of fish and other sea life	
Carlsbad	108	Cheryl Barnes	San Diego	Opal & Topaz & Lapis 2	Del Mar SMR	I have never heard of a single person who dives in Del Mar & see no need to place an MPA in the area MPA's should be set in areas high in biodiversity & rich habitat structure (not sand pits)! SMR's should benefit non-consumptive users as well as provide spill-over for everyone else.	
Carlsbad	109	Cheryl Barnes	San Diego	Lapis 1 & 2	Tijuana Estuary (proposed SMP)	This area, having the highest concentration of eelgrass in the county, provides much needed habitat for a wide variety of organisms (not to mention a great source of food for more charismatic creatures like the green sea turtle) Many people do not even realize its importance & it should definitely be protected so that others may learn about I enjoy it in the future!	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	110	Cheryl Barnes	San Diego	Lapis 1	Swamis - San Elijo SMCA	Swamis incorporates both shallow & deep water habitats as well as a great source of surf grass, making it a prime place to protect. Protecting this area would also make the area much safer for the many surfers who frequent the area I would serve a greater purpose than the Del Mar SMR	
Carlsbad	111	Cheryl Barnes	San Diego	Lapis 1	La Jolla 2 SMR	La Jolla is an area rich in biodiversity & habitat heterogeneity & deserves some consideration. The Lapis 1 proposal is the only one that seems to do the area any justice, the public (fishers, snorkelers, kayakers & divers) would greatly benefit from the SMR!	
Carlsbad	112	Shawn Patterson	San Diego	Lapis 1	Subregion 5	I'm against closing La Jolla. Its one of the few safe launch areas for kayak/beach spearfishing. We spearfisherman are selective and low impact fisherman. Besides La Jolla and Pt Loma/ OB is the birthplace of spear fishin. People have been spearing since 20's & 30's	
Carlsbad	113	Shawn Patterson	San Diego	Lapis 2	5	As a spearfisherman I am for conservation. I work on San Diego Bay and commonly see many fisherman. Spearfishers 9 time out of 10 come back empty handed. We go for the few prize fish and leave those borderline or barely legal fish along. I'm against blind taking of everything possible.	
Carlsbad	114	Nathan Jorin	San Diego	Duplicate of C-3 †			
Carlsbad	115	Nathan Jorin	San Diego	Duplicate of C-3 †			
Carlsbad	116	Kristy Schaubel	Encinitas	Opal Draft MPA Proposal	subregion 5	There are too many smaller areas. Tijuana is closed off which is a negative, because it is a hot fishing spot.	
Carlsbad	117	Kristy Schaubel	Encinitas	Revised External Proposal B	5	I like that there are fewer larger areas set aside rather than several smaller areas.	
Carlsbad	118	Brian Steeves	San Diego	Revised External Proposal A	7	I am against any closures at Catalina where camp grounds are nearby such as Blue Cavern SMR and Cat Harbor SMCA.	
Carlsbad	119	Brian Steeves	San Diego	External Proposal B	5	I suggest using the La Jolla SMCA from External Prop B and Pt. Loma SMCA from Lapis 2. I prefer using Del Mar and Imperial Beach for MLPA closures. Any closures in La Jolla or Pt. Loma would cause me to not be able to fish in San Diego where I live.	
Carlsbad	120	Manrizio Mangini	Carlsbad	all Proposals		Where can I obtain a copy of the raw data used in making the proposals? In the alternative, where can I inspect all the proposals were based? Also I am seeking a copy of the procedures used for raw data collection at all proposal sites.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	121	Juan Sosa	West Covina	A&B	5	These maps take into account the safety human powered recreational fishermen need. They allow us recreational fishermen to safely launch and land, while keeping us close to shore, an important factor for us human powered recreational fishermen.	
Carlsbad	122	Joshua Pruitt	San Diego	revised External Proposal A		I'm going to give the science team and all involved the benefit of the doubt in that logic will prevail and a MPA will be placed in Del Mar in trade for La Jolla. It would be a win win with the most cross interests as opposed to killing the entire kayak community with one big blow, not to mention erasing everything I've worked for in the last 10 years.	
Carlsbad	123	Shannon Bryant	Encinitas			I recommend coordination with SANDAG for upcoming/proposed next round of sand replenishment and request identification of likely receiver sites and harvest sites under consideration.	
Carlsbad	124	Heather Bensen	Carlsbad	Lapis 1	La Jolla shores	La Jolla (L.J. shores for launching) provides a SAFE area for fishermen/women to enjoy recreational fishing. If La Jolla must have a "no take" zone moving it SOUTH to Windansea would benefit the marine life as well as keep conservation minded fishing available for economic reasons. the human right to provide healthy food for family. Please keep La Jolla OPEN!!	
Carlsbad	125	Wesley Marx	Carlsbad	Lapis 1	Carlsbad/Mex Border	The designated areas for SWOM's at La Jolla are far superior in terms of size and richness of habitat than other proposals. Allowing Camp Pendleton to opt out seriously undermines the network goal. More consideration should be given to a designated area - kelp, rocky reef - off Carlsbad - Oceanside and San Clemente.	
Carlsbad	126	Martin Harding	Encinitas	Revised External Proposal A		I have taken my son out on the water since he was a mere baby on boats and we started kayaking together when he was 3 years old. At 6 years old he got his own kayak. Now at 9 years, there are not many safe beaches for us to launch together, the main exception being La Jolla Shores - surf friendly, a safe place for kids to launch and enjoy and respect the beauty of our ocean.	
Carlsbad	127	Clay Harding	Encinitas	External Proposal A		Please keep La Jolla open. It is a safe place to kayak from and there is already a reserve there so you have to paddle past it to fish. Why do you want to put another one there?	
Carlsbad	128	William Hamilton	Oceanside	Lapis 1	La Jolla 2 SMR	This is where I fish most on the California coast. Fish is still abundant and it should not be closed.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	129	Lee Wood	Oceanside	External Proposal B		I believe Proposal B is in the best interest of all concerned. It takes into account the recreational fishing for both fishermen and it will have less impact on those business communities and those dependednt on making a living in the fishing community.	
Carlsbad	130	Don Barth	Cardiff/Encinitas	Lapis 1	Swamis - San Elijo & Lagoons	Support.	
Carlsbad	131	Teresa Barth	Cardiff/Encinitas	Lapis 1	Swamis - San Elijo & Lagoons	Support.	
Carlsbad	132	Keith Greer	San Diego Association of Governments			SANDAG as the regional planning agency promotes the idea of conservation. We also support the maintentance to restoration of coastal lagoon, and the nourishment of beaches. Regardless of six alternatives writen language needs to be included in all of designations that dredging for restoration to promote lagoon health and maintenance, and dredging of offshore sand resources for being nourishment must be allowed. Without this language explicitly included in the MLPA alternative we risk losing the ability to protect and enhance our beaches and lagoons.	
Carlsbad	133	Patrick Moench	San Diego	Lapis 1	La Jolla 2	As a kayak fisherman, I would lose the vast majority of my fishing grounds if this proposal is accepted. Please consider the huge impact that this will have on my sport. Thank you.	
Carlsbad	134	Bill Hamilton	Oceanside	External Proposal B	Catalina Island	Slot limits are too difficult to enforce when traveling through. Best areas are virtually closed, no good!	
Carlsbad	135	Erin Gilmore	Oceanside	External Proposal B		Please do not pass revised External Proposal B. It would make shore fishing on Catalina pointless and ruin the economy of Catalina Island.	
Carlsbad	136	Suzanne Predney	Redondo Beach	Lapis 1		Lapis 1 will kill and demolish the sport fishing fleet. Jobs will be lost and forclosures of homes will result.	
Carlsbad	137	Elbie Bartolome	Encinitas	Round 2 - Revised External Proposal A		Please don't close down La Jolla. As an avid kayak fisherman for 15 years this place is ideal for kayak fishing. It has an accessible sand boat launch and the waves/swells are generally smaller here. It is close to home (Encinitas). The majority of the fish I catch is "catch and release". I have made many friendships while fishing in La Jolla. La Jolla is idea for kayak fishing because the winds are calm in the morning which is ideal , but later in the afternoon it picks up so I'm only there (and so are the majority of kayak fishermen) before noon.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	138	Nathan Clookie	Carlsbad	External Proposal B		As a small business owner (dive and kayak sales), I support External Proposal B as the most reasonable proposal. Any other proposal would severely impact my business. La Jolla must remain accessible in order for my business to survive. With the economy the way it is, I will go out of business and so will my 3 employees. Thank you for your time.	
Carlsbad	139	Mary Davidson	Laguna	All Arrays		Laguna SMR needs to be larger. Boarders from Abalone Point in Irvine Cove (N. Laguna) to Mussel Point in Three Arch Bay (S. Laguna) all the way out to 3 miles. Captures significant habitat and allow simpler education and enforcement.	
Carlsbad	140	Tony Ritter	Vista			I'm very concerned about the proposal for Agua Hedionda Lagoon. This is the only area I'm able to take my 5 year old girl out on my kayak. I feel the lagoon should be left alone. It is in great shape. The only area that should be patrolled by DFG is West of I-5.	
Carlsbad	141	Jeff Morasse	Vista	Revised 2 External Proposal B		Help to rebuild the stock, not closing certain areas help my access. Help support businesses that area part of this ocean (such as manufacturers of marine based products). We do not need more unemployed people in California.	
Carlsbad	142	Peter Thermos	Oceanside	All proposals	Coal Oil Point SMR, Helo SMR	Coal Oil Point has a very healthy lobster population that is sustainable, all proposals have this as a "no take" should be moved to a "Conservation Area" (SMCA).	
Carlsbad	143	Dan McCoy	Encinitas	Lapis 1	La Jolla, Carlsbad-Mexican Border	Lapis 1 concerns me based on the boundaries encompassing the entire kelp forest in La Jolla. I believe the current ASBS/SMR areas in La Jolla are adequate to preserve marine resources. As a diver and kayak fisherman, as well as a marine biologist, I feel that creating a no take zone around the most popular local fishing area would be a grave error. MPA boundaries around kelp in Cardiff, Carlsbad, or Del Mar would be preferable to La Jolla. La Jolla is the only area which has easy access from which to launch a kayak loaded with fishing equipment. Please don't close the La Jolla kelp beds to recreational fishing.	
Carlsbad	144	Steve Roberts	Oceanside	External Proposal A		I feel External A is very fair to both fisherman and the general public. Also A is a fair "deal" for ALL involved.	
Carlsbad	145	Leslea Meyerhoff	Solana Beach			All of the conservation areas, parks and reserves should include the following language in the "take" regulations: "Allowances for sand removal and placement on regional beaches as part of an ongoing and future beach restoration activities"	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	146	Alberto Silebi	San Diego	External Proposal B	south coast	I support External Proposal B. It is the best alternative with the least economic, heritage, and access impact to our community.	
Carlsbad	147	Eli Bensen	Carlsbad	Lapis 1		Move the La Jolla MPA south 2 miles. From Eli Bensen (age 6)	
Carlsbad	148	Wayne Wilcoxon	Carlsbad			I can't comment too specifically on each proposal, but Lapis 1 seems to me to be the hardest for me to live with. La Jolla has been fished for decades if not centuries, and it seems to me to still be a viable fishery with health fish populations. More people now than ever practice catch & release. I believe it is working. Please don't penalize people who enjoy La Jolla by closing it. It would change my lifestyle for the worse.	
Carlsbad	149	Don Lang	San Diego	Revised External Proposal A	La Jolla	I believe the existing MPA at La Jolla is something I have lived with and it does a good job of protecting the area. I am a kayak fisherman who cannot fish standing and the kayak allows me to enjoy fishing as it means for catching what I eat and vital physical exercise. Closing access would mean 100% of my economic contribution will end. Please leave La Jolla open.	
Carlsbad	150	Volker Hoehne	Del Mar	External Proposal A			
Carlsbad	151	Tom Keough	San Diego			I urge Proposal O.	
Carlsbad	152	William Vitale	San Diego	External Proposal A	La Jolla shores	I support External Proposal A specifically because it does not close La Jolla Shores where there is a public boat launch and shelter from swell activity. This is the only safe launch site for kayak fisherman.	
Carlsbad	153	Kiyo Sato	Gardena	External Proposal A&B	5	These maps show the most consideration to kayakers and spearfishermen. It allows conservation type fishing without endangering the lives of people. It does not force people to fish further away.	
Carlsbad	154	Rosey Sawai	Torrance	External Proposal A & B	5	These maps show the most consideration to kayakers and spearfishermen. It allows conservation type fishing without endangering the lives of people. It does not force people to fish further away.	
Carlsbad	155	Ian Vatis	San Diego	Revised External Proposal A & B		Upon reviewing the maps, I favor revised external Proposals A & B which appear to leave (for the most part) Point Loma and La Jolla open for people to enjoy the ocean. While I hate to see any area closed, if an area must be closed, Del Mar is a more logical closure.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
Carlsbad	156	Chris Adams	Vista	Revised External Proposal B	5	This is the most we should close and still allow some fishing in the kelp zone. There is not that much fish taken in this region on a regular basis. The fishing populations have stayed stable for over 15 years. The proposed no take, reserve, and parks need to be streamlined or condensed. All the rules seem confusing and unnecessary. How can DFG police all these rules?	
Carlsbad	157	Tyler Webb	San Diego	Lapis 1	La Jolla 2 SMR	I am a kayak fisherman. This La Jolla 2 SMR would eliminate all my access to the La Jolla kelp. There are no other kayak accessible kelp beds in San Diego County without serious drawbacks to accessibility. La Jolla provides kayakers of all skill levels equal opportunity access to the ocean. Closing it is not an option. The La Jolla 2 SMR on Lapis 1 would only leave a fraction of the fishing grounds available, and most would be sand bottom and canyon. Not the kelp beds we need to continue to practice our sport.	
San Diego	158	Tom Reeve	San Diego	Do you really plan to arrest kids who visit a beach in Del Mar or Pt. Loma and touch a crab or take a shell home? I think the "no take" regime is too strict for beaches and near shore areas. Especially in Del Mar and Pt. Loma. I also don't think the occasional surf fisher has an impact on fish or habitat. Most are catch and release, although a few keep fish and even C&R has some mortalities. Why not stop the "no take" zones 100 yards from the beach?			
San Diego	159	Tom Reeve	San Diego	When my inland relatives (Oklahoma, New Hampshire, and Connecticut) visit, I often take them for a 1/2 day fishing trip to La Jolla or Pt. Loma. They see sea lions, pelicans, gulls and may or may not catch 1 or 3 fish for the group. This is a splendid experience for my neices and nephews. Too many of the "no take" proposals would shut down this opportunity. Additionally, there are seasonal variations that are not accommodated in the "no take proposals". Please permit me to take guests and introduce them to marine life, and recreational fishing in the La Jolla and Pt. Loma kelp beds.			
San Diego	160	Tom Reeve	San Diego	I am amazed that this process is moving forward with total disregard for future costs or future funding sources. I don't think the Packard Trust will pay for any future claimed science review. We will be stuck. I don't think the private trusts will pay for wardens, etc. So, good people will obey and be deprived and poachers will prosper. (California once again puts form over substance). Why not select reserves only to the extent they can be protected/reviewed and evaluated. Then, as information is gathered more or less funding and more or less reserves can be sought. It is amazingly irresponsible to implement a statewide program that is only one third funded (process, not review and not wardens). R&G can and is not control sustainable resources without statewide permanent "no take" zones.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	161	Tom Reeve	San Diego	As a life long recreational fisher and life long conservationist I am embarrassed at this process. Too many of the stakeholders have done nothing and paid nothing to support fish or wildlife. The Department of Fish & Game is funded with my license fees. I thought the State Constitution permitted fishing and use of resources. but the default position of the process seems to be exclusion, not sustainable use. If the people of California can't use a resource (recreational fishing) they should not and will not support taxation for that excluded resource. We need fewer "no takes" and more "take some" areas if you want taxpayer support.			
San Diego	162a	Mat Kim	San Diego	Having grown up in Hawaii I have a natural affinity for the ocean and all activities it supports, however my passion is the sport of fishing. I was introduced to the sport by my father; it was one of the few activities we shared together and served to help build a bond between us. Since that time I have looked forward to the day that I am able to share those experiences with my own family. However some of the current proposals put that at risk. As a kayak angler I am limited to a select few areas such as La Jolla & Pt. Loma that offer protected beach launch access. Closing these two areas in particular greatly increases the danger & risk of harm. In addition in the midst of a budget crisis closures of these areas puts revenue to the state at risk. I have gone through the last 12 months of bank statements and have conservatively calculated over \$10 thousand dollars in purchases including tackle, gas, food and equipment.			
San Diego	162b	Mat Kim		If these areas are closed the majority of these expenses go away. as such I would like to voice my support for the Revised External Proposal A.			
San Diego	163	Mark Bucon	La Jolla	I oppose Lapis 1 because I live two blocks from the water in La Jolla. I fish and dive the reefs by boat and kayak. As the years go by my cholesterol goes up. The doctor tells me to eat fish two to three times a week; which I do. If you do not allow me to catch fish and feed myself and my family of five my health will be adversely compromised because I will not buy fish. Lapis 1 will force me to eat oatmeal for dinner as well as breakfast. I will accept with serious regrets Proposal A if you will not allow commercial lobster fishing in La Jolla. Commercial lobster fishing is bad for the environment because traps pushed by kelp caught on the buoys drag across the reefs and destroy the vegetation. Adverse water quality created by lack of street sweeping is the single largest issue facing fish resources.			
San Diego	164a	Kris Celario	San Diego	As a resident of San Diego I find the Lapis 1 proposal to be unacceptable. I live and work in San Diego and enjoy living close to the water. I do not fish. I think the Lapis 1 proposal is blatantly unfair. It allows access to the ocean to some groups at the expense of others. I do not see why certain groups such as kayak fisherman and shore based spear fisherman should face unfair closures while rich fisherman with boats can still reach so many good places to fish. the ocean is a unique resource in Southern California that should be shared and protected for use by and for many different user groups. I feel that the Lapis 1 proposal does not do this. Even though I don't fish, I understand that San Diego is a tourist destination.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
† = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	164b	Kris Celario		A big part of this is fishing boats that take tourists fishing. The economic impact of Lapis proposal 1 must be devastating to the many fishing boats in San Diego. Those boats are a tourist draw, and the main reason some people visit San Diego. Also from what I understand about the process, the only thing the MLPA is doing is banning fishing and take of fish from certain areas. Why is there no component of the MLPA that attempts to manage the fishery better through limits? If fisherman were no longer allowed to keep smaller fish, and allowed to keep less fish it would help more than wholesale closures. Since the banning of destructive commercial fishing methods I have read ban fishing in areas that are recovering?			
San Diego	164c	Kris Celario		There is also no part of the MLPA that deals specifically with water quality. Urban runoff and sewage are a huge factor in the health of the waters near shore. Just this week there was a 13,000 gallon sewage spill reported in Dog Beach area at the San Diego river outlet. Closing fishing access to that, or any area, would not magically make the water cleaner for swimmers, surfers, and fisherman. I know fisherman would benefit from a clean and healthy ocean with abundant fish more than any other user group. I feel this whole MLPA implementation is being done at fishermen's expense in a blatantly unfair and biased way. Recreational fishermen are getting unfair blame for ocean woes. Banning all fishing within 3 miles of shore in reserves will not suddenly make the ocean to spring to life with abundant fish and clear blue water.			
San Diego	164d	Kris Celario		Instead we have to look at the progress that has been made by banning gill nets within 3 miles of shore, and commercial long lining and recognize that we are making progress without limited this opportunities of the recreational fishermen. As my final conclusion, the more I learn about the MLPA process as a concerned san Diego resident I feel the MLPA is about limiting access to the ocean, not about actually protecting it in ways that would be meaningful and beneficial. To truly protect the ocean I feel that more should be done to prevent urban runoff, farm runoff, and sewage spills. I also feel that if needed, fishing limits could be changes instead of banning fishing in certain areas. I therefore support External Proposal A, which gives the public broader access to the waters that belong to us all.			
San Diego	165	Bud Stevens	San Diego	External Proposal B - I would vote for. This draft allows areas to be closed that can assist in supporting areas for commercial, sport, private endeavors. The negative impact for other proposals I see sportfishing industry drop, trickle down to other companies in marine industry, fuel docks, kayak, lobster, restaurants, hotels, boat sales, boat maintenance. This impact is not just local, state but nationwide impact in a negative way. Has anyone done a "business case" marine side, financial or is this _____ of input to be compiled into the most desirable and is this going to a vote - local, state, federal			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	166	Ben Valentine	San Diego	I appreciate what the MLPA stands for, and I am myself (or consider myself to be) a conservationist. I am involved with a local community wildlife rescue agency. I was raised with a certain reverence for wildlife that has stuck with me to this day. part of that reverence I'm sure is a result of growing up fishign the local San Diego waterways. Growing up this way has given me a huge respect for nature. A respect my friends ad acquaintances whom didnt' grow up in this way, clearly do not have. With that said, I believe closing such fine recreational fishing and diving areas as Pt. Loma and La Jolla kelp forrests would do far more harm than good especially in th long run. I believe it would result ina further deadening of the public sensitivities to nature.			
San Diego	167	Bernie Parmer	Santee	Opal - too restrictive, way too much red. Lapis 1 - again too restrictive around La Jolla for kayaker especially. External B - better! Still somewhat closed around Del Mar and Ocean Beach. Lapis 2 - closures are right where most of the access points are. External A - Where are the blue "SMCA's"? Overall - way too much "red" why can't we have more balance to "blue" so we can at least catch and release, follow catch & size limit?			
San Diego	168	Bernie Parmer	Santee				The process of MLPA is largely unfair to fishermen. The financing and rules are being bent to favor conservationists. Map C was voted out, it should stay out. Proposed closures based on "science" where the science is flawed or wrong. As a kayak and shore fisherman, I need access to bays, harbor and inshore kelp near safe launch areas such as La Jolla, Cardiff, Solana Beach, and Carlsbad. These areas have parking, safe beaches to launch and restrooms, to close these areas will basically close the whole ocean to us. Too many of the proposed maps eliminate 100%of recreational activity, and do not serve cross interest.
San Diego	169	Levi Shouse	Bay Park	I would like to see Round 2 Lapis 2 draft to be the plan used. I agree with smaller limits and slot sizes as I release 95% of what I catch as is. Complete closures are not fair to us that grew up here and have kids that will grow up here. Fishing is the one thign that kept me busy as a kid so I didn't get involved with the wrong crowd and kept me off drugs. I really hope our children have the chance to experience the local fishing the way we did leading them in the correct direction in life and off the streets.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	170	Duane Mellor	San Diego	As an avid conservative fisherman, I am in big favor of "Round 2 - Lapis 2 Draft MPA Proposal" due to the fact that inserts a conservation (blue area) at Pt. Loma and saves a lot of the fishing area in La Jolla. Furthermore it institutes a "proposed state marine reserve" in the Del Mar area which is wonderful due to its low pressure already. Regardless I strive for a beautiful clean and lively ocean as well and hope we can all work together to achieve that.			
San Diego	171	Jan Miller	San Diego	I'm against your proposed sites in La Jolla and Del Mar, the residents of these communities love and enjoy the ocean and all the wonderful activities beaches offer. Animals and humans can both enjoy these areas and God placed us in control of animals, not the other way around.			
San Diego	172a	Patricia Vitale	San Diego	I support Revised External Proposal A because it does not close La Jolla Shores area with a MPA which will allow fishing access to kayak fisherman and spearfishmen. I do not support Lapis 1 because of the extreme closures and lack of cross interest to fishermen. I do not support Opan draft because of its extreme closures that will block 100% of local kayak fishermen and spear fishermen, and surf fishermen. I do not support Topaz draft because of its closures in areas accessile to kayak fishermen and spear fishermen. I do not support Lapis 2 proposal because of the large MPA off Ocean Beach. I support Revised External B proposal as it allows access to fishing areas frequented by kayak fishermen. I do not support the MPA at La Jolla Shores in Lapis 1 draft proposal. It blocks 100% of kayak fishing and spearfishing as well as surf fishing. It is an extreme proposal with no consideration given to the above mentioned people.			I do not support the conflict of interest that are currently being investigated. No member of the BTRF that has accepted any money in any way from the Packard Foundation should be allowed to participate in the MLPA process Ken Wiseman's explanation about the Proposal C map was unacceptable. The vote was as clear as the instruction given to the RSG members.
San Diego	172b	Patricia Vitale		There is no cross interest reflected in the MPA and there would be a devastating loss to suffer if La Jolla Shores area was closed by all local fishermen.			
San Diego	173	William DeAngelo	San Diego	I support Revised External Proposal A because it allows finishing access to kayak fishermen at La Jolla Shores. I do not suport Lapis 1 draft proposal. I do not support Lapis 2 draft proposal. I do not support Opal draft proposal. I do not support Topaz draft proposal. I do support Revised External B proposal because it allows fishing access to kayak fishermen.			
San Diego	174	Peter Thompson	San Diego	Lapis 1 - too restrictive. La Jolla 2 SMR is inappropriate. I vote no on Lapis 1. Opal - why the Ocean Beach SMCA? Difficult to locate and police vs. the Sunset Cliffs SMR. Topaz - Same comment as for Opal. User's won't know where they are. If the area must be protected one larger SMCA or SMR would be better. Lapis 2 - Pt. Loma SMCA makes more sense than other proposals for the area.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	175a	Peter Doherty	Leucadia	I kayak fish. I need safe access to shore launch to the fishing grounds. I fish in La Jolla and Encinitas (Swami's). Please don't close down my access to kayak fishing. I support External proposals A & B they set aside conservation zones Del Mar and ocean Beach which meet the requirements of the MLPA. they leave open La Jolla and Encinitas so that the kayak fisherman have safe access to enjoy their outdoor sport. I do not support the other proposals. I especially do not support Lapis 1 as it is excessive in its closures and would have a draconian effect on us local sport fisherman and especially local fishing economy. I am an environmentalist and a fisherman.			
San Diego	175b	Peter Doherty		I fish in a non polluting manner (kayak i.e. no fossil fuels). take only occasionally what I will eat and no more. I respect the ocean and the fishing resource. I want to help the process by supporting proposals A & B. Please support the environment by voting for A & B and allowing me to continue to feed myself by kayak fishing.			
San Diego	176	Gabriela Halperr	San Diego				I strongly support the Marine Life Protective Act Initiative. Sadly, today, because of the current environmental downfall that has struck our country, the well being of marine life is being ignored. Fish are repeatedly being poisoned, oil spills have threatened their ability to migrate freely in the ocean, and much much more. Support the MLPA!
San Diego	177	Brandon Everett	San Diego	I like the idea of leaving this alone. The problems with the oceans is pollution runoff and over fishing in mexican waters. If the DFG would adjust the rules it would be much cheaper. We are in a depression. I would say have slot limits and reduce the number of fish one could keep per day/season. With that said Lapis 1 is going to make a huge impact on my life. I fish La Jolla about 10-15 times per year. I caught 1 yellow tail and about 10 bass last year. I release all bass. Hell of an impact! I go fishing to not stress out about my mortgage, property taxes (\$6,300 last year) and all the bells of life. So you want to take my tax money and kick me out of my only release? Thanks. Why don't we give it some time, balance the budget, cut the fat (MLPA). I believe the state should put money in schooling, healthcare, and roads. Why is this even on the block now?		Lapis 2 - that is another joke. Let's see real science data! I can't even pier fish I.B. with that one. Come on. Not that I would keep a single fish from there. Mexico pollutes the ocean so bad I would not eat I even for the money, but again relax and fish = less stress. Opal and Topaz also are a major closure problem. Let's spend some \$ and get more game wardens out there. The rules that are in place are not enforced enough. If you create rules how the hell are the limited DFG going to police that. All in all I like proposal B minus the Catalina Island (SMCA). Shrink that a little and I wouldn't mind so much that my hard earned tax dollars are being wasted on non backed (science) closures.	I hate it! We (California) can't afford it now. Let's slow down pollution and runoff and I bet you will see much more change than this bull.
San Diego	178	Tom Buckalew	San Diego	I am against closures and for enhancement and protection of our marine habitat. I understand that you have to do what you have to do, but please limit the closures to as little as possible. I am against Lapis 2 and more in favor of External Proposal B. I fish from a boat and a kayak and became dive certified last November. The ocean is a wonderful place and should be protected but not closed down.			
San Diego	179	Anonymous		Try better with map street name where coast bound start and stop			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	180a	Kurt Schinder	San Diego	My name is Kurt Schindler. I am an environmentalist. I am a conservationist. I am a kayak fisherman. These things are not mutually exclusive. Kayak fishing has a very low impact on the environment. No motors. Quiet. The marine life I have seen while fishing from my kayak is staggering. I've had a gray whale swim under me. A juvenile sea lion has jumped on my kayak was quite content to let me do the paddling for a good 5 minutes. Dolphins and porpoises turn on their side to curiously look at me as they pass under and around me. mako sharks have cruised around me and taken an experimental bite from my bait tube.			This entire process is flawed, please read comments!
San Diego	180b	Kurt Schinder		I am a part of the environment, not seperate. I love the ocean, and anyone who says fisherman don't care for the environment, well shame on them. I love to fish. I do take fish to eat. I think it's more environmentally responsible than buying food that is processed and trucked across the country. Healthier too. I also catch and release fish. On a kayak, I am the captain and can pick the species I want to target. There is no guarantee that you'll only catch what you target, but you have a better shot than going on a party boat.			
San Diego	180c	Kurt Schinder		One of the few drabacks at kayak fishing the ocean is launching and landing through the surg. Once the surf gets above two feet high this becomes tricky at best, and dangerous at worst. That is why kayakers need for La Jolla to stay open to fishing. The launch at La Jolla shores is sheltered and the waves are smaller than anywhere else on the coast in San Diego County. A few years ago I launched at a different spot. when I went out, the waves were decent sized, but manageable. A few hours later when I came in they had increased in size substantially. I was dumped by a 6 foot wave roughly 100 yards from shore. With the current pulling me away from shore, it took me an hour and the help of a free deiver to swim my kayak in through the surf zone.			
San Diego	180d	Kurt Schinder		Without the free divers assistance, I might not hve been able to get my kayak in and would have lost all my gear. If I hadn't been wearing my life jacket, or had been knocked unconcious when the wave dumped me, I might have lost my life. The ocean is unforgiving, which is why we need as safe a spot as possible, like La Jolla to stay open to fishing. Our of all the proposals, only one Lapis 1, would close La Jolla to fishing. This would destroy kayak fishing in San Diego. It would take away 95% of my fishing. I would prefer to see no closurers and have stricter take and slot limits. But I look at the other proposals and can live with them. Just not Lapis 1.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	181a	Alberto Silebi	San Diego	I am a lifelong consumptive ocean user. My family values the memories, recreation and nutrition that are provided by our oceans. The loss of utilization of areas off our coast in particular La Jolla and Pt. Loma would be catastrophic to our family. It is a gross ethical injustice on behalf of the State of California to impose on its citizens the will of privately funded group of lobbyists. The economic and scientific base for the entire MLPA process is fould and unsounded at best. Is the State of California being run by lobbyists. Not only is the process erroneous but the aftermath will be an economic disaster for the state. Not only do we not as a state have the friends to enforce and monitor the outcome, but the loss of influx into the economy will be widespread beyond incignation. Please note also that every official with knowledge and will enough to speak out here have been silenced by groups that have historically been the landmark of political disaster.			This entire process is flawed, please read comments!
San Diego	181b	Alberto Silebi		I urge the legislature of the State of California to cease and desist this process that was spawned out of legislation that has nothing or little to do with their current intent. California shame on you!			
San Diego	182	Tara O'Connor	San Diego	External proposal A or B provides the least impact socioeconomically. Access is a huge issue and safety. As an alternative, close down Del Mar which would provide minimal impact and leave La Jolla open! Kayakers, divers, and recreational divers need La Jolla Shores for access. Spear fishing was born in La Jolla and there is a culture from early forefathers like Jack Prodonovich with the bottom scratchers. We need to preserve this as well in addition to minimal socioeconomic impact and which can be detrimental to marina businesses, charter boats, fish and tackle shops, out of state tourists who really would like to see kelp forests and fish. Business owners need to thrive on the fishing industry and as a result the majority respect and follow ocean rules and guidelines as their business counts and relies on fish. Fishing management is huge and I believe with fishing management resources can be maintained.			Please leave La Jolla and Pt. Loma open and as an alternative consider Del Mar instead.
San Diego	183a	John Firebaugh	San Diego	Revised external proposal A - aka "fin" map. Or Revised external proposal B. Proposal B is the most acceptable proposal with the least detriment to my activity and lifestyle. Closing La Jolla or restricting it further will affect the quality of my life negatively. Freedive spearfishing, lobster diving and hook and line fishing is my only hobby, and a way of life for the last 40 years. Diving is a way of life encouraging health lifestyle and the most selective hunting (spearfishing) with no by catch. I work six days a week in healthcare and my one day off a week is spent like religious activity enjoying the ocean primarily in the La Jolla area. Freedive spearfishermen are stewards of the resource, and ecologically responsible.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	183b	John Firebaugh		My activities also support the local economy. 2009- Boat Depot San Diego, new 4 stroke outboard motor \$7,000, Archstone Apartments Corporation \$100/mo for boat storage (\$1,200.00), West Marine - yearly \$500.00, James and Joseph Chandlery, spearfishing gear \$500, Riffe International - wet suit \$500, Horizon Charters San Diego, dive charter to Mexico \$2,500, fuel x 40 trips diving per year \$1,200, food x 40 trips diving per year \$800. This is what I spend yearly to support local merchants by virtue of my diving activities.			
San Diego	184	Joseph Beckersly	San Diego	After talking with the group I support External Proposal B. It best protects our resources while still allowing recreational use. I'm not thrilled with closures of Sunset Cliffs in San Diego although I can live with it. I agree closures in Del Mar will have less economic impact than closures in La Jolla. I believe Catalina MLPA's in this external proposal B is the best. I do not understand how this process is being funded when there is no plan for funding in 2010 when ideas are complete and it is time for implementation. I also don't understand proposed ideas such as Lapis 2, Opal and Topaz that suggest major closure to popular in shore areas. How can we create all these MLPAs while CA is currently discussing closing many of our favorite state parks. Also it does not seem water quality is a top priority. Pollution from land to the ocean is a major affect on the health of the ocean.		External B is my top pick External A is second.	I thank you for including the public although I believe the money used for this should be used elsewhere to better benefit our ocean. Water quality should be top priority. Each winter I read about San Diego sewage leaking into the ocean. I swim and kayak on trash. How can we not pollute the ocean. Furthermore, a plan for funding implementation should come before all of this. What is the scope of funding?
San Diego	185	Elizabeth Taylor	Encinitas	The best option so far Lapis 1) Swami's should be no take; also it should extend north to Batiquitos Lagoon and South to Del Mar. Carlsbad and Oceanside should have a no-take zone. Santa Monica should have a no-take zone and Catalina should have more no-take areas. San Clemente should have large no-take areas. Lapis 2) needs more no-take area around La Jolla, Encinitas, Solana Beach, Carlsbad and Oceanside. Needs more no-take on Catalina and San Clemente needs no take areas. Opal - needs no take from Carlsbad to Solana Beach, not protective enough for Catalina, San Clemente, Santa Monica, Oceanside, Newport Beach. Topaz Swami's should be no take and expanded north and south; Catalina should have expanded no-take areas; San Clemente needs no-take areas. Proposals A & B - not protective enough; should have no-take from Carlsbad to Solana Beach; expand no-take on Catalina; expand no-take in Santa Barbara, Santa Monica, Hermosa, Huntington, San Clemente and La Jolla.		Lapis 1 appears to be the most protective of marine resources; it is the best option but still needs to be expanded in scope, especially for no-take areas.	There should be a draft proposal from the conservation community. It's not equitable to have two proposals from the fishing groups and none from the conservation groups. The process is too heavily weighted toward fishing/extractive interests without adequate concern for marine health.
San Diego	186	Daryl Smith	San Diego	Regarding any closure.			
San Diego	187	Jeff Crooks	San Diego	External Proposals A & B - not enough protection, especially in La Jolla. Topza - Imperial Beach SMCA too small, Kendall-Frost, SDR. Rev. South San Diego Bay - good. Opal - Tijuano River and Tijuano Reef - good. Need more protection in La Jolla, South San Diego Bay. Lapis 1 - need MPA at Cabrillo. Lapis 2 - need MPA at Cabrillo, need MPA in La Jolla		Imperial Beach MPA/Tijuana River Mouth - important for protecting intact estuaries and coastal systems - needs to be as large as possible. Cabrillo, Pt. Loma, La Jolla - Important areas for MPA establishment.	Good opportunity for input.

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	188	Pat Powers	Encinitas	I am against the closing of La Jolla and Pt. Loma to the taking of fin fish, Lapis 1 I believe. Restrict commercial fishing in all areas and limit the number of permits. The effect on the boating industry will be devastating to an already impacted industry. I do support the closing of the Del Mar Region as an option to La Jolla or Pt. Loma. thank you for your time!			
San Diego	189	Andy Wright	San Diego	In General, I am most concerned about the motivation and science involved in the closures. It seems to me that we are solely concentrating on the most accessible areas that happen to be by harbors, public access points and luxury homes while other areas are ignored. Furthermore, the goals of the MLPA is to control pollution, coastal development and overfishing. Instead it seems to be focussing on fishing, period. If the process addressed the other factors I wouldn't have such a bad taste. If the Laguna City Council wasn't trying to privatize their beaches (again) I feel that much of the bickering would subside. Base these on thorough solid science and I feel we can work towards a common goal			
San Diego	190	Zoe Dugan	San Diego	Lapis 1 - represents adequate protection. Would like to see more protection around San Miguel Island. Very happy with La Jolla SMR and Pt. Loma SMCA - like this one best overall. Lapis 2 - Question the need or Del Mar SMR. Habitat is not complex and does not represent a priority area for protection. Would like more protection for La Jolla SMR, which is an area heavily used for extraction. Topaz - I support protection of South San Diego Bay SMCA - Would like to see more protection in La Jolla and larger SMR at Blue Cavern Points, Catalina. I support Farnsworth SMCA!		Blue Cavern Pt. SMR (Topaz) As an active diver near Blue Cavern Point, appreciate the increased protection of the valuable marine resources in that area. Farnsworth Bart SMR - Lapis - Protect this special area!	A bit overwhelming on arrival but after a bit of time to figure out what is where - a little easier. I wish there was some type of transparent overlay of existing State MPA's that I could use with either the posters or 8.5x11 maps provided to help when making comparisons. All MLPA people here are very helpful.
San Diego	191	John McMahon	Del Mar	Round 2 Revised External Proposal B is best out of all maps. There is still too much red in all. We need to fish our areas too! Stop the nets and commercial fishing, start doing something about the seal over population. They are killing more fish than us recreational fishermen.			
San Diego	192	Chad Reed	El Cajon			Round 2 Revised External Proposal B is the best. I disagree with all as far as recreational fishing. I have been fishing since I was 2. You need to stop the commercial end of fishing as it depletes the fish more than anything. Recreational fishermen don't have "by catch". Leave us and our children alone - let us fish! Also clear out the children's pool - it's for children not seals!	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	193	Shafiek Peck	Pacific Beach	My comment in regards to all proposal, especially regarding the La Jolla and Pt. Loma - I was under the impression that current DFG laws were designed to limit and protect fisheries from depletion, not only for the marine life, but also to protect the livelihood of anglers. Most anglers abide by DFG rules and regulations very religiously in order to keep that delicate balance of protecting marine species and sustaining fisheries for future use. The tough restrictions that the MLPA is proposing will undoubtedly take away yet another freedom that people not only enjoy for recreation, but also depend on for making a living. The ONLY initiative which may be the least restrictive is the Revised External Proposal B. However, my fear is if one such proposal passes, that would seem to permit the flood gates to open on further restrictions.			Californians are losing too many freedoms as it is. I believe that current DFG regulations, while they are restrictive, work in the best interest of both marine life and anglers alike. I'm not in favor of any more MLPA restriction, period!
San Diego	194	Bill Waltz	San Diego	This entire initiative is based solely on the desires of radical environmentalists. This issue is no different, and is closely tied to, the actions faced by the offroad community. As anglers we are first and foremost conservationists. Having the will of this small group push around these larger groups is ludicrous. This is a slippery slope. Closures, no matter how small, is just a prelude of what is to come. Give radical activist a place to put their foot in the edoor and they will spread like cancer. Let's not forget who is paying for this. Seriously, anglers are going to pay for this in more ways than one. What happens when people sell their boats. What about all of the livelihoods that are dependent on this industry. This is completely unnecessary and frivolous. This state has already proved that it cannot manage itself or our money. Now we have to expect that they are going to do the right thing by the very people that pay for it...and will continue to pay for it.		I fundamentally oppose every single proposal. As I stated earlier, it is a slippery slope. This action is unnecessary.	I appreciate that I have been given the opportunity to have my voice heard. I have faced this before in the offroad arena. I fear that this outcome will be the same.
San Diego	195	Bob Crane	Encinitas	I am concerned that any designation in North San Diego County will interfere with sand nourishment processes which are vital for human enjoyment of our beaches. Will this specifically be addressed? I am not just concerned with prohibition but making the process for cities in a SANDAG more difficult.			
San Diego	196	Anonymous				I think all the stakeholders should tak a stand and support one over the other. If the stakeholders do not take a stand, no one will. However if they do not support environmentalism it is a shame and not promoted.	I care about Californias wildlife. It hink it is important to conserve the marine life off our coast and further education and improvements in this field. California has a vast variety of beauty to offer and shouldn't be victimized to the petty and vicious form of capitalism. I think it is a shame that external proposition C got kicked off because that was the only initiative that supports environmentalism!

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	197	Patricia Adams	San Diego	I believe that fishermen/women like me support sensible efforts to protect and preserve fishing in California, but I also believe that this MLPA process has been taken over by extremists bent on closing down as much fishing in this state as possible. this entire process needs much more legislative oversight. I will base all my future votes on City Councilmen, Supervisors, Assembly members, State Senators and even US Congress upon the outcome of this process. My children and I have always enjoyed a day of fishing off of La Jolla or Point Loma kelp beds. If you close those down that will be terribly unjust.			
San Diego	198	Mark Adams	San Diego				Overall this process got hijacked by extremists that want to close down as much of this state to fishing as they can. I believe that those moneyed interests want nothing less than to turn OUR coast into their own private aquarium. This has been a civil process, but appears to have been "bought and paid for" by wealthy Californians that do not enjoy fishing nor do they see why people like me do enjoy it. Please do NOT close Pt. Loma kelp beds or La Jolla kelp beds to fishing.
San Diego	199	Anonymous		Pt. La Jolla will become all seals that will eat all your protected fish. Say no to this!			
San Diego	200	Tom Wardin	Solana Beach	I'm a San Diego freediver and fisherman. My concern regarding complete closure of the area at Catalina Two Harbors area is this port needs tourist attraction to survive. Also why don't you make this a SMCA and not take Calico's, however, open it for lobster and palagic which should include white seabass!			
San Diego	201	Eric Disque	Oceanside	I am a sales rep for Confluence Watersports and would like to voice why I believe La Jolla is a bad choice for closures. This is an area that draws a very large number of kayak fishermen and women driving both the kayak fishing market in both LA and San Diego counties. This would bring a financial impact not only to myself, but to all kayak stores that I work very closely with. This impact would affect everyone including the factory workers back in South Carolina where we manufacture a great deal of kayaks specially designed for fishing. My sales region, Central and Southern CA, is responsible for over \$500,000 in revenue for my company. In turn, when this product is sold to the public, it brings an equal amount to retailers spread throughout my region. There are a number of alternative areas that could be protected that would have less of a financial impact. Both Del Mar and Imperial Beach are good alternatives.			
San Diego	202	CJ Solis	Bonita	None of the six proposals meet the science guidelines set by law, they are all one sided and not representative of my conservation views. I would like to see aspects of proposal C brought back as it was representative of conservation views.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	203	Fay Crevushay	San Diego	We disagree with all the proposals currently on the table. They all do a disservice to the ocean. Please put back proposal C. Let's not fool ourselves and cheat our children		Please don't forget the La Jolla seals. Seal Beach we should call it. We can have there a great education center for kids.	Good effort in democracy, but we cannot let one interest (short term one) hijack the process. Thank you for caring.
San Diego	204	Ben McCue	San Diego	I am deeply disappointed that none of the current proposals meet the science guidelines of the MLPA process. These proposals seem to represent the interests of consumptive users. I would like to see MLPA process include proposals that reflect the interests of other stakeholders.			
San Diego	205	Nathan Jovin	San Diego	I am opposed to the Lapis 1 proposal which would shut down the La Jolla and Pt. Loma fishing grounds. My friends and I are low-impact kayak anglers. We love the sport and supporting many local businesses that depend on our continued ability to fish these areas (Turner's Outdoors, OEX, Anglers Choice, Pat's bait and tackle, etc.) These areas are the only safe launches for kayak fishermen who wish to target larger game species. If La Jolla closes down, we would be restricted to fishing smaller species in San Diego and Mission Bays. Over the past year several of my friends and relatives have taken up the sport and we get endless hours of enjoyment fishing in La Jolla. It's one of the greatest parts of living in San Diego. If our access to these areas is removed, we will likely take our business down to Mexico. The state would lose out on all the wonderful sales and business taxes they now get when we buy all of our licences and gear in San Diego.		I appreciate the efforts of the state to conserve species and ensure that our waters continue to thrive. I hope that a proposal will be chosen (such as Lapis 2 or External A) that does not cut the legs out from under the entire community of kayak anglers in San Diego. It should also be taken into consideration that the sport continues to grow, San Diego will become a "hot spot" and millions of dollars will be poured into the economy by those flocking here to enjoy the world famous La Jolla kelp beds.	I am pleased to see public opinion being solicited so openly and aggressively. I hope that the state will continue to solicit feedback as a final decision draws nearer.
San Diego	206	Aric Curtis	San Diego	I am very concerned that the La Jolla kelp will be closed to fishing and spearfishing. I would like to see the La Jolla and Pt. Loma kelp beds stay open for fishing and spearfishing. I would not be opposed to smaller bag limits, size limits, or similar forms of management, but I do not want these kelp beds closed to fishing. Thank you.			
San Diego	207	Barry Snyder	San Diego	I'd like serious consideration be given to allowing the bait barge companies special latitude to continue their operations - even in designated areas. The bait barge industry is the backbone of the San Diego fishing industry as a whole and makes it world-renown. Anything done in South San Diego Bay should be done in concert with the Port of San Diego's ambitious habitat restoration efforts.			
San Diego	208a	John Principato	El Cajon	I am the son of a commercial pole tuna fisherman, a San Diego native of 53 years as both my parents are. Grandparents emigrated here and fished commercial tuna on both my mothers and fathers sides. My father showed me how to fish at an early age. I fish La Jolla primarily, from a kayak. I am able to delight my parents occasionally with fresh caught fish. I don't take much but they are happy simply with sandabs, an occasional barracuda or bonita. I fish every weekend and it has been a year since I caught a yellotail and I have never caught a white sea bass. I release most calico bass keeping an occasional. This is pretty much the same story with my 4 main fishing partners, not because of lack of fish, but probably more due to knowledge and technique. I have purchased quite a bit of high end gear locally and on an ongoing basis.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	208b	John Principato		I have a friend that brings his family on an annual trip to La Jolla to fish in a kayak with me. They stay at La Jolla beach and tennis club, buying all meals out and many other expenditures while here. Taking this very sustainable fishery away from my workweek is filled with anticipation of fishing the weekend in La Jolla. It is recreational and my form of exercise. Thank you for reading my comments and please do not take my La Jolla fishing grounds.			
San Diego	209a	Jan Vafis	San Diego	I attend the Carlsbad workshop last night. I have more comments. My husband and I were close friends of Jack Prodanovich. Jack was a pioneer of freediving and spearfishing which all started here in San Diego - off La Jolla. Jack died on 2/7/08. My husband died 12 hours later on 2/8/08. Two legends lost. I wish they were both here to defend the right and freedom to use all of our ocean areas. Both would be terribly upset that the MLPA process is so arbitrary and not based on science. Facts in the MLPA process are so lacking. It's very sad. There is no proof that closing any areas will appreciably alter the oceans resources. Both Jack Podanovich and my husband, George Vafis, were conservationists. They loved and respected the ocean. As spearfishermen, they harvested only what they would eat.			At a time when the economy is in the toilet, it is sad to me that more and more of our liberties are being taken away and/or restricted. It also appears that another bureaucracy will need to be formed and funded by taxpayer money (if there is any taxpayer money!) to oversee the various MLPA and MPA designated areas. As usual, the people with the money are driving the MLPA process. Spearfishermen don't tend to have a lot of money and many rely on what they spear to feed their families. Compared to what the commercial guys take, spearfishermen barely impact the fish population.
San Diego	209b	Jan Vafis		If Jack Prodanovich or my husband could have a say they would probably support Revised Proposal B which appears to close out Del Mar and leaves access to La Jolla and Pt. Loma for spearfishing.			I have been spearfishing on average of once every other week since January. during that time, I have not speared one fish, but I have thoroughly enjoyed the ocean. Thank you for "listening".
San Diego	210a	Jonathan Goin	La Jolla	As a fisheries biologist and recreational angler, I am particularly concerned with Lapis 1 and portions of Topaz, Lapis 1 closes too much in general but the extreme area of La Jolla that would be closed would alienate fishermen and other stakeholders completely. At the very least, the closed area should be half it's current size and allow fishing for pelagic species. Conservation goals could be achieved in this area in other ways than complete closure. Stronger limits for resident species or even catch and release for rockfish, calico bass, etc. would keep fishermen happy as long as they could target yellowtail and white seabass for harvest. Those are the targets for most recreational anglers and their populations are robust and/or growing. La Jolla is the absolute best fishing grounds in Southern California for pelagic species of notable size.			I don't see what conservation goals would be damaged by allowing pelagic species to be harvested from Encinitas and Cardiff Reef. The State of California is suffering from serious economic issues. Let's not further them by shutting down the fishing areas that help the economy the most. It's all about compromise.

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	210b	Jonathan Goin		To close it completely will forever damage the ability of management agencies to align with fishermen to achieve conservation goals. many recreational anglers remain the biggest proponents of conservation and know what's going on in the field more than many biologists trapped at their computers. Many biologists (including myself - I work for NOAA fisheries) have spent years building partnerships with fishermen to conduct effective research with limited dollars. Moving forward with Lapis 1 will forever jeopardize the relationship between biologists and fishermen who are our biggest allies in conservation as far as total numbers of people. Removing them from those areas also means enforcement personnel need to be present. there are too few CDFG game wardens as it is and CA is bankrupt. Lapis 1 will therefore potentially cause more poaching and backfire as far as conservation.			I don't see what conservation goals would be damaged by allowing pelagic species to be harvested from Encinitas and Cardiff Reef. The State of California is suffering from serious economic issues. Let's not further them by shutting down the fishing areas that help the economy the most. It's all about compromise.
San Diego	210c	Jonathan Goin		Please consider Revised External Proposal B or A. they both seem to be reasonable compromises that will accomplish satisfying the guidelines of MLPA, achieve conservation of resident species, and keep fishermen o the fishing grounds relatively happy. In addition, Topaz has it's own issues. My main concern with Topaz is closing Cardiff Reef and Encinitas to fishing for pelagics. If you would fish for pelagics in that particular SMCA, it would be an improved map but would still be inferior to Revised External Proposal A and B.			I don't see what conservation goals would be damaged by allowing pelagic species to be harvested from Encinitas and Cardiff Reef. The State of California is suffering from serious economic issues. Let's not further them by shutting down the fishing areas that help the economy the most. It's all about compromise.
San Diego	211	Gerald Hockstad	Santee	I caught over 2,000 fish a year since 1980 from our inshore kelp beds and bays. All were released. Most anglers practice catch & release. Not once did I disturb a dolphin or whale. I stay clear of them. Closure's should be the least restrictive and not in the entire areas of La Jolla and Pt. Loma. External Proposal B seems rational. It provides reasonable access and reasonable closures for conservation. It serves the goals of the MLPA and the best interests of all stakeholders involved. Unreasonable closures can't work as they will only create law breakers.			
San Diego	212	Jan Vafis	San Diego	Rather than going through of this MLPA process, why don't you just adjust the fish & game laws to better manage the fish population. Have temporary closures of certain areas. With this MLPA process, once an area has been closed, it will never be reopened. That's just wrong.			
San Diego	213	Sharon Hayes	San Diego	I am not convinced that any of this is necessary. Have the economic impact been taken into consideration? What is the purpose? What about other factors - pollution, seals, etc.? How have areas previously closed down been effected? These are sufficient rules and regulations as is.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	214	Patrick Moevich	San Diego	As a kayak fisherman, my access to fishing grounds is already limited. My main area to fish is La Jolla, specifically along the northern/northwestern edge of the kelp. Without this area, I would probably stop fishing on a regular basis and my enjoyment of life in San Diego would be greatly diminished. My free time is limited, so La Jolla is the perfect launch spot for me. I live very close to it, and it doesn't take long (even from a kayak) to get to a soze with world class fishing. If Lapis 1 La Jolla SMR#2 is accepted, I will lose all of this. I fear that the people I've met in the kayak fishing industry (good people with families who own their own shops, guide, etc.) will in effect be fired. Please reject Lapis 1. This is about compromise, they've made none. Thank you.			
San Diego	215a	Daryl Smith	San Diego	Before we decide to close or limit access to our coastal waters, please remember these areas are more of a concern to the people that use and enjoy our coastal waters. The environment is more important to us because we are swimming, diving, fishing, in it. Suggestions: size limit, bag limit, catch and release 1. We all want to safeguard our fisheries. 2. We need to take care in decisions we make in regards to closures. Many people in and out of state own a boat that they may use to come and enjoy in San Diego or wherever there is access to the ocean. Most of them may use their boat 2 weeks out of the year. If you close their fishing spot, they don't come and spend money which create jobs, they don't buy anything tackle, boat maintenance, licenses, permits, hotel space, food.			
San Diego	215b	Daryl Smith		Today's paper said San Diego Hotel business is already down 30-35%. We already have 12 1/2 unemployment rate, 2% more will chage our economy from at recession to depression. Plese think about California families first.			
San Diego	216	William Vitale	San Diego	I am in support of Revised External A Map. This proposal gives consideration to kayak fishermen. External A proposal has cross interest and is a good compromise for all sides involved. I am not in support of Lapis 1, Lapis 2, Opal, Topaz, or External B.		The MPA and La Jolla Shores in the Lapis 1 proposal is not acceptable. would prevent me from kayak fishing in this area. La Jolla Shores is my only safe launch site, as I have a disability due to a back injury. I would lose 100% of my kayak fishing opportunity.	Please be fair when considering a closure that will effect a large community like kayak fishermen.
San Diego	217	Diana Lopez	Chula Vista	MPA Proposal Tijuana River Mouth, change from moderate high to protect the crabs, lobsters and urchin and every other species with no acceptions to any. My opinions in general about all proposals is to increase all levels of protection, to regulate the destruction we have made to the sea. I would like to see all proposal in the level of protection of VERY HIGH to dimish the rate of species dying of hunger because of overfishing and not to mention the negative impact on the ocean.			
San Diego	218	Miguel Moreno	Chula Vista	I think a more aggressive approach is needed. Maybe have only one very ambitious proposal for each area and send that to each member of the Natural Resources Committee of both the California State Assembly and Senate. Try to sponsor an existing bill or see if an exceptionally green and activist legislator will present one of your bills, the one with the most ambitious wording. This is a very important project which is getting next to no exposure outside the "conservation circle"			For an initiative process this old and this important it is dangerously unknown to the general public. Social networking sites can help get the word out, but a more engaging attractive presentation than the one done today is what is needed.

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	219a	Rob Peterson	San Diego	External A/B is a good compromise on outlooks. Blocking areas with less access and visibility makes more sense. Not allowing free divers areas of better visibility to keep with diving hurts. No expanded mpas in La Jolla is a must. Lapis 1 is not good at all for the City of San Diego. it will kill the economy in San Diego around the coast. If you block some off the cleanest water to dive in then the sports fisherman, tourists and watermen won't show up they'll move to other areas or??? All bsuiness along La Jolla will be hit with trouble. That would put us out of business. Other proposals look more user friendly. We need to keep access to La Jolla open and free. Lapis 1 kills all fishing in La Jolla (sportfishing)! Sea lion and harbor seals would increase in colony size yearly with an increase seal & sea lion colonies the presence of white sharks will be more prominent.			
San Diego	219b	Rob Peterson		If these proposals hit San Diego it will gravely put the City of San Diego way behind the ball on \$. We are already financially hurting and less tourism would impact San Diego too much.			
San Diego	220	Paul McDonald	San Diego	Lapis 1 Draft - Ples revise La Jolla 2 SMR to start 1/4-1/2 miles south of the planned map. I am a long time coastal land owner. My backyard is on a dog beach - SDR SMCA & PLSMCA are where I would like to fish, and I fish 100% from my kayak. The proplem is 90% of the time the surf is too big and unsafe to launch. This is why i go to the La Jolla boat launch. 90% of the time there is little or no surf. 3 years ago I lost my job and have not been able to get employment. I need to be able to fish to feed my family. If you take away the proposed area you will be hurting my family. I know that the MIPA's are coming and in the end will be good for the fishing community. Please note that there are only a few spots, that as a kayak fisherman I can catch pelagic fish. These are the only fish I target. If you take as much of La Jolla as is on the map you will take 90% of where I kayak fish. Please note by adjusting the northern line a little, I will still be able to feed my family.			Please work with us little guys too.
San Diego	221	Kim Baldwin	San Diego	All proposals - I do not understand why a scientific proposal is not kept (as reference at least or for comparison with stakeholder proposals) so the task force and fish and game can compare, or at least fairly/ evenly composed teams. It will never be representative if all the groups making proposals are heavily biased (as consumptive users). If 40% of 60 people are consumptive users then biological rationale will never been evenly considered. Shouldn't this process be a balance between conservation and use of marine resources? Not sure what the rationale was or where the guidance was on determining stakeholder proposals teams but it should be based on a balance between science and use in order to adequately protect and conserve resources.			
San Diego	222	Jeff Becker	San Diego	I strongly believe that External A is the best option for Southern California. Taking into account all the variables. The economic impact that would result from the closure of La Jolla would be devastating to so many people. External B is also another proposal that makes sense.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	223	Brian Ondek	San Diego	I believe the external proposals represent an outstanding compromise of the MLPA with the causal recreational sportsfishermen. I spend over \$2000 year on activities relating to fishing not including boat maintenance and gas. Of the proposals I find Lapis #1 to be insane and completely ridiculous. Can't imagine why it was even proposed other than to have people (in SD County) bag on it (i.e. bad cop). I fish with family and friends including my young children and to have La Jolla to Pt. Loma mjaor closures would be UNACCEPTABLE. Spot closures as in External A & B are reasonable and within what I would expect to be conducive to maintaining a healthy fishery. The local recreational fishermen are very minor component to the "take" compared to the commercial fishermen and all the non-locals who have no idea of what they're doing to the environment when they take and don't "utilize" their catch. Thank you for your time and effort to this very important issue and process.			I think the process to date has been reasonable.
San Diego	224	John Wagner	La Mesa	Strongly support External A (no expanded MPA's in La Jolla). Oppose Lapis: wipes out La Jolla fishing, icreases seal populations, which pollutes water, attracts sharks, endangers swimmers, divers, surfers, and children in the water. Harms sportfishing and other coastal businesses. And no where does it address the water quality from Pt. Loma to La Jolla.\			
San Diego	225	Bill Hickman	San Diego	Thanks for offering the open house to get more information, but to be honest, it's all a bit confusing. I don't fish but do eat a little bit of it, selectively. I do support recreational fishing and people that fish for their own food, I repsect that. Please include as much limited recreational fishing as possible while not allowing commercial operations wherever you can. Nowadays fisheries are collapsing around the globe and we need to protect what we can. Protected areas increase fisheries around their boundaries so the two are not mutually exclusive. As a member of the Surfrider Foundation, I support their ideas and thank them for keeping me aware of the process. Thanks for your time.			
San Diego	226	Bob Kruger	Vista	All proposals! I am a kayak fisherman. I do not think that you should close any areas of San Diego County. I spent over \$2,000. on fishing this year including a new kayak, tackle, gas, license and equipment. Do you realize what your proposals will do to the economy?? This will effect more than just the fishing communtiy. The economy is already bad and you want to kick the dog when he is down. these proposals will cost people their jobs! I know someone who just opened a fishing store. Do you want to put him out of work?? I just took my girlfriend's 5 year old fishing for the first time. You want to stop him from being able to enjoy the beauty of fishing? Teaching him the conservation of catch and release fishing to keep the fishery going. Most kayak fishermen catch and release over 90% of the fish we catch, we know we have to keep the fishery going. If La Jolla and Pt. Loma are closed I will not be able to kayak fish anymore.		Please take into consideration the effect these proposals will have on the community as a whole. The impact it will have on the economy. The effect it will have on our young people who need to be able to be exposed to the outdoors and fishing. This was and is a big part of who I am and the young peple should have this opportunity in their lives. I red it will cost over 30 million dollars to implement these proposals. How can you justify this cost when we are laying off teachers, cutting health benefits, stoping rebates?? Once again I think you need to look at the overall effect that this will have on our community and the economy.	No part of San Diego county should be closed to fishign. This will have a very bad effect on an economy which is already bad. It will cost people their jobs!! This will affect gas, hotels, fishing retailers, sport fleets, car repair, sporting good dealers. It will also affect the community as a whole. i want the young people to be able to enjoy the beauty of fishing. That should be their right in the USA.

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	227a	Mark Kleiter	Poway	I do not approve of any areas to be closed in the San Diego County area. I am a kayak fishing enthusiast. Areas to be closed are going to affect the economy. We are in a recession as is, and to cut out areas for recreational fishing will bring more of an economic downfall than ever before. Many sportboats, lobster (commercial) and private sportfishing will be put out of business and families will be hurt. Areas that I kayak in are safe and easily accessible to the offshore grounds. Closing those areas will make it dangerous and unsafe for entrance into the water. Being blocked will make areas to far out to be safe in case of wind, swell or any sudden weather change. I take my daughter fishing in our kayaks of La Jolla, Point Loma, South of Coronado. We have been doing this for some time and she feels safe accessing these areas with me.			Some action should be taken, only in a positive aspect of what is good for our future, which can easily be done with proper size and numbers regulated. Closing areas doesn't solve the problem. Positive and agreeable human negotiating can make a difference.
San Diego	227b	Mark Kleiter		There is no low surf areas to access into the ocean in South San Diego areas. These areas are also handicapped accessible areas for skindivers, freedivers, and snorklers to gamefish without having to deal with dangerous surf and reef or rock areas. The fishing in the last 10 years has improved because of proper ethics from fishermen and positive catch and release of certain size limits. My health has improved because of my kayaking and fishing at my age. And I would hope to be doing this until the day I die (which isn't going to be anytime soon!!			
San Diego	228	Curt Lange	San Diego	I feel that External A & B and Lapis 2 are the most fair of the proposed maps. I am a kayak fisherman and these maps allow for easy access and thus the safest means of pursuing my favorite pasttime. The only fish I will keep are yellowtail and white sea bass. Since kayak anglers are limited to the number of large species fish we can take at one time. I feel we pose the least threat to our off shore fisheries. Forcing us to go out further to remain within the lan is a potentially dangerous situation. It would be nice if some incentives were built into the plan that favored kayak fishing we use no fuel (i.e. less pollution). we do little if any damage to kelp beds. We are not aggressive on the water; you can't get too drunk on a kayak on the open ocean. we take far less fish than our sportfishing brethren. I am being lumped into the same group as the party boat captain with 50 poles in the water and that is unfair.		Lapis 2 is my final vote.	I thank the MLPA for addressing this important issue. I would like to see the MLPA focus more on clean water issues, especially in any future SMCA/SMP or SMR. It makes no sense to protect an area you let open sewers dump into.
San Diego	229	Patrick Bowler	San Diego	The best proposal for San Diego is External Proposal A. It would seem to have the least economic impact on local fishing businesses. Also, it seems relatively balanced. But I question the need for any closure. I have lived and fished these waters my entire life (62 years old) and feel that good management techniques would better serve our ecological and environmental needs. In addition, I strongly urge that much tougher water quality laws be enacted to ensure the health of this area, along with this proposal. Of course, due to the complete lack of state funding, enforcement will be impossible for at least the near future. Given the crisis in California government this whole process should really be shelved until funding can be provided. I also strongly object to private enterprise, with their own agenda, funding this process. Clearly this is a conflict of interest of monumental proportions!			I strongly object to private enterprise (with their own agenda) funding, in part, this process. Major conflict of interest. Also, due to lack of state funding, I am very upset that we are moving forward. We can't educate our kids, but we can implement an ill conceived plan that we cannot possibly enforce.

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	230	Frank Price	San Diego	Of all of the proposal maps I saw today Lapis 2 looks to be the best. My family and I have been fishing in San Diego for over 50 years. Lapis 2 still gives us areas while leaving areas that can still be protected.			The process seems to be moving along too fast. have no idea of the science behind these closures.
San Diego	231	Nathan Price	San Diego	Of all the maps I have seen today, Lapis 2 is the one that looks good to me. I think this because it gives fishermen the most area to fish, and it still protects the environment.			
San Diego	232a	Douglas Gaxiola	San Diego	As a kayak fisherman, launches that provide SAFE access to fishing grounds are few and far between. Of all the proposals, only external proposal B allows really safe accesible fishing areas for kayak fishermen. Kayak fishing is one of the most environmentally friendly forms of fishing in existence. we take less and are non polluting. This type of conservation minded activity should be acknowledged and rewarded by the MLPA process. All the proposals except for External Proposal B will actually hve a deep negative impact on kayak fisherman. that is unacceptable. If I can't reach productive fishing grounds from a safe accessible launch. I might as well not even bother to fish. I spend several thousand dollars a year on fishing. that is money that goes into the local economy. I support local businesses.	Subregion 5	External proposal B is the only reasonable proposal for subregion 5. La Jolla and Pt. Loma need to be kept accessible. A SMR in Del Mar would have less impact.	
San Diego	232b	Douglas Gaxiola		Taxes from my purchases help SUPPORT our financially strapped state. My story is similar to thousands of other kayak anglers. The economic impact of most of these proposals will be severe. The state cannot afford the cost of these proposals.	Subregion 5		
San Diego	233	Kevin Stafford	San Diego	RE: Revised External Proposal A - This proposal appears to be the most acceptable to me I am a kayak fisherman who often fishes the La Jolla kelp and launch from La Jolla Shores. I am primarily a catch and release fisherman. I release at least 95% of the fish I catch. If I was unable to fish in La Jolla this would greatly disrupt my ability to fish. I spend thousands of dollars every year on fishing equipment and supplies in San Diego, and this would be negatiely impacted if I couldn't fish La Jolla. I grew up fishing with my dad, and I want my son to be able to fish with me as well. Point Loma and South San Diego Bay are important fisheries to me as well. I work for a nonprofit that takes at-risk youth fishing. If La Jolla and Pt. Loma were not accessible, these at-risk youth would not be able to fish and this would effect the San Diego Community. In closing, I strongly support Revised External Proposal A.			
San Diego	234	Dennis Kilian	San Diego	Revised external proposal B or Lapis 2 get my vote of support. As a recreational fisherman and freedive spearfisherman, closures in San Diego - particularly La Jolla and Pt. Loma would impact the area, which has a deep tradition of sportfishing. Closures in these areas would have great economical impact as well as limiting the sport that myself and many others love. Please consider closures in areas such as Del Mar/Solana Beach and Imperia Beach (Lapis 2) as an alternative.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	235	Jeff Gardner	Bonita	I'm concerned about the MLPA and proposed closures of Southern California. I enjoy kayak fishing and scuba diving. I dive and fish mostly in La Jolla and Pt. Loma. I find kayak fishing and diving enjoyable. It is good exercise and is friendly for the environment. Most of the fish that I catch are released and only fished for sport. I spend roughly \$1,000-\$2,000 a year on kayak/fishing and diving. If the MLPA closed Pt. Loma and La Jolla this would be lost revenue to local business. I enjoy these sports and I have been doing these sports since 1980's. I look forward to sharing these sports with family and friends. I don't think that these proposed closures are effective or worth paying for the state to enforce, rather they should enforce our current laws that protect endangered species black sea bass, abalone and lobster. La Jolla provides kayak fishermen a safer place to launch and land that is uncommon to other beaches. Please do not close La Jolla and Pt. Loma to kayakers.			
San Diego	236	Jose Gutierrez	San Diego	I'm for a Marine Protected Area in particular Prop. C. In general I don't like to skewed are the props toward the fishing industry. They have to co-exist with a protected, sustainable, marine coastline here in California; the posted maps show a ridiculous low percentage of proposed regulated area. I support a more effective grid that provides ample of habitat for marine animals, to provide and allow time for the natural cleansing of our seawater. I look for a win-win for both parties - enviros and fishermen et al, but the way it looks right now it is overwhelmingly skewed to favor only one group.		I want to see a larger protected area along San Diego county. A beautiful coastline will attract tourism and generate jobs for our sons and daughters.	
San Diego	237	Alex Seyf	San Diego	Round 2 Revised External Proposal B: Of all the proposals revised external prop. B looks to be the most reasonable since it has a good amount of protected area yet at the same time, it gives fisherman area to fish productive kelp beds		Lapis 1 Draft MPA Proposal - I view this prop. To be unacceptable for the local boaters, kayakers, and spearfishermen that fish La Jolla kelp. Many of the bigger unendangered species of fish migrate through La Jolla making it a great place for the fishing community.	I disagree with all of the Southern California from Del Mar & south being closed. I would like to see slot limits placed for specific species rather than closures.
San Diego	238	Justin Malizia	San Diego	I am very unhappy with all of the maps. None of them meet the science requirements. What is the point of the process if nothing is going to be protected. Please let someone create another map that actually helps the marine life. Give the seals a chance. Save them in La Jolla. Please have more choices. All these maps are the same.		I would like to see something saving the seals in La Jolla	Stupid, unless you really save the areas that should be protected.
San Diego	239	Maria Fernand Schroeder	San Diego	Why spend all this money on a process that has no real maps protecting the areas that count. None of these maps have La Jolla, Pt. Loma and all the other important places. Why do none of them meet the science requirements. Why have this? It seems bought. Why not save what really matters. The seals in La Jolla are a perfect example. Please add a map that meets science requirements because that is not what this process is about. Who is not doing their job? These are all single interest.		Map should meet science requirements. All these maps are B/S. Please create a new map that has protected area with the science guide. I support La Jolla shores as an MPA.	It would be great if it followed the science requirements.

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	240	Cole Didi	San Diego	It's apparent to me that after reviewing all proposals that External B appeals to me the most. I feel that this proposal allows recreational fishermen to utilize the ocean yet still conserve target species of fish. In this proposal we the fisherman are able to fish for top under species while still protect and ensure that the bottom fish are protected. Additionally, a factor that also have interest in is the new designated size and possession limits. I do believe that with this proposal put in place that we can both conserve and utilize the bounties of the ocean and ensure for future generations.		Proposal External B, sub category La Jolla SMCA is well thought out aspect of the proposal. As an AVID fisherman I have seen this area boom with not only the size, but the quality of tuna in this area with the restrictions that are currently in place. This should not be changed. Additionally in the same proposal subsection Ocean Beach SMCA it is a well exhibited thought in which recreational fishermen can still target and take specified fish while allowing other species to be under protection and populate.	Things should stay as they are. Keep Proposal
San Diego	241a	Bob Phillips	San Diego	I have fished South California since I was 7 years old, over the years preservation and good practice has always been a priority to me and all of the people and business that would be damaged by the more extreme measures proposed. In the 32 plus years I have been fishing Southern California. When I see acts of carelessness or destructiveness I feel it my duty to call and advise of the situation. In reviewing the maps of proposals I believe the Proposal (B) shows that fishermen have the values and want conservation as well. Most anglers self police and return the majority of their catch for another day. There is interest in conservation by all effect by these proposals, and the more extreme proposals would have financial impact on the State of California.			
San Diego	241b	Bob Phillips		that by passing on the more extreme proposals in effect is saying California does not need your money. Give it to the country to our south where most Californians would need to go to enjoy a way of life that as an American we have the right to enjoy.			
San Diego	242	Gary Sjoberg	Campo	Round 2 - Revised External Proposal B - I think you people have taken away too much already but of all the proposals this seems to be the lesser of evils.		Round 2 Revised External Proposal B - enough, leave things alone.	I think you need to keep us more informed. I hear nothing on the news about these closures. If I didn't go to Squidco I would know nothing about this.
San Diego	243	Greg Wade	San Diego	Opal - concerned with this proposal's recommendation for a state marine reserve (SMR) off the Tijuana river mouth in Imperial Beach. This would likely not be supported by the community at large, nor by the City Council as it would preclude any/all take, commercial or recreational. Additionally, it is still not clear if a SMR in this area would preclude dredging and beach renourishment. If so, this again would make this proposal unacceptable. Any proposal of any MPA that would preclude beach renourishment (on or near shore) and dredging for beach renourishment purposes, would be unacceptable.			
San Diego	244	David Hornback	La Jolla	I support External A because it has the best balance of protecting fisheries and allowing sustainable consumption. I prefer to close Del Mar rather than La Jolla. La Jolla has the best human power access for kayaks, surfboards, and swimming. I also prefer to keep Dana Point open for the same human powered access reasons. I am a long time member of Surfrider and appreciate conservation. But we need to allow some access for fishing in a sustainable manner.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	245a	Michael Dong	San Diego	Please vote "yes" for Round 2 - Revised External Proposal B. The importance of keeping an available , and accessible fishery at La Jolla and Pt. Loma is paramount. My family utilizes both areas primarily, as there is public parking, boat ramps available, and the fish is good. Further, my daughter is handicapped and spaces are at the docks. If going to alternative fishing areas or lobstering areas, the on-street parking is crowded, and no handicap accessibility is available. As a family, we spend about 5-6 thousand dollars a year on equipment, transportation and meals in the local area we fish, as the sports of hook and line and spearfishing is a significant part of our family tradition. If the other proposals are enacted, closing La Jolla especially, will prohibit 100 percent access to the La Jolla kelp beds via the boat launch in close proximity.			I am encouraged the MLPA process is becoming more transparent, albeit at the eleventh hour. I am disappointed that special interests and minority groups are funding a process that should be focussed on representation for ALL use groups. The future funding troubles me, as it allows special interest/private funding to hijack the process. I am a consumptive fisher and scuba diver, and an eco-cognizant steward of the ocean.
San Diego	245b	Michael Dong		It is a 20 minute paddle from the La Jolla launch to the beds and if the La Jolla area is closed, you cannot paddle the distances to the other reasonable fishing grounds. The Del Mar closure is a "win-win" for the MLPA and the City of Del Mar and San Diego, La Jolla already has a significant Marine Preserve area to attract tourism and provide for the protection of habitat. A similar preserve at Del mar will have the same effect, and not be a huge impact on th elocal fishery and lobstering. As a scuba diver and scuba spear-hunter, do not want to see La Jolla closed any further than it already is. The safe ingress/egress is unique to the area, especially Children's Pool which is an excellent egress if the conditions change. the ability to take lobster in the area is important, as my daughter is 16 years old and now participates in fishing and hunting lobsters with me.			
San Diego	245c	Michael Dong		A safe manner of enjoying the sport is important. I have many friends who visit from out of state, approximately 15-20 a year at a minimum, and they always want to hunt/fish the La Jolla kelp beds and dive near Pt. Loma. They bring in lots of tourist money to the areas in the form of hotel costs, fuel, equipment, out of state license fees.			
San Diego	246a	Sierra Dong	San Diego	Please vote "yes" on round 2 - revised External Proposal B. Please do not shut down the La Jolla or Pt. Loma fisheries. I am 16 years old and love to go fishing with my father when we have the chance. La Jolla and Pt. Loma have handicap accessibility near the boat launches, which makes things easier for me, considering I am in crutches; this way I am not left out on these trips. Although I have never been spearfishing, I really look forward to going with my father as soon as I get off my crutches. My dad said he would teach me, and it seems like it would be a great time for us to have father-daughter bonding, plus I enjoy spending time with him.			I am in high school, but I am interested to know how the enforcement of keeping fishermen off these beaches will be paid for.
San Diego	246b	Sierra Dong		The closure of Del Mar would be a realistic alternative compared to the closure of La Jolla or Pt. Loma because 1) it is harder to get to and 2) the parkign is always nonexistant because of the crowds. I think closing down the fishery access is more reasonable in Del Mar because I think more people go out there to swim or surf and hangout on the beach rather than fish.			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	247a	Jim Peugh	San Diego	I am not able to support any specific "proposal" because I do not understand them. I will address specific areas instead. Famosa Slough should be a SMR. Like most wetlands the bottom is covered with obstacles that would catch hooks, leaders, and fishing lines. these would entrap the hundreds of diving and dabbling birds that use the Slough resulting in mortality. Shoreline access by fishermen would destroy the wetland/upland vegetation that has been restored around the slough. It would also cause the thousands of crab burrows along the shoreline to be trampled reversing 15 years of restored. It would be really destructive to make it an SMCA as indicated on many of the maps. The destruction of vegetation and the lost fishing tackle would also put diving least terns and foraging Clapp & Rails (both endangered) at risk.			The hearing was very frustrating. I was not able to find anyone to explain the proposal, or the rationale for the specific MPAs. All of the explainers working the San Diego maps were occupied.
San Diego	247b	Jim Peugh		Similarly, we urge that the segment of the San Diego River between the Sports Arena Bridge and I-5 be made an SMR. It is not as well restored but it is heavily used by foraging and diving birds. Please establish an SMR for the Tijuana Estuary, the Sweetwater Marsh, the J Street Marsh, and the South Bay NWR for similar reasons. Please establish an SMR in the S.D. Bay and the Ocean Ecological Preserve. It is very important to protect upland for habitat continuity. There are not many areas available to preserve this sort of connection. Similarly, it is important to have MPA's adjacent to Torrey Pines and the Coast Lagoons, including Tijuana Estuary.			
San Diego	248	Chris Cary	San Diego	I am a kayak fisherman and conservationist. My opinion: I prefer Lapis 2 map over Lapis 1 map. I prefer the handling of the La Jolla area on the Lapis 2 map. I think Lapis 1 is too aggressive in this area. On Lapis 1, keeping the north end of La Jolla (SMR2) open is preferable to having it all closed. I prefer External Proposal A. It seems to be the most inclusionary and allows for the greatest amount of public access. I kayak fish La Jolla 80% of the time that I fish. This is the most important area for me to keep open. Also think external proposal B is ok, my second favorite choice. My dad taught me about the ocean. When I was young we spent many summer days exploring all that it had to offer. I respect the ocean, I conserve the ocean, I pick up trash, I paddle and have almost no carbon footprint. Leave access open for me and people like me.			I want to see La Jolla kept free of closures. I am a kayak fisherman and spend 80% of my recreational time fishing La Jolla. It is a very safe and convenient launch. It serves the citizens of California well. I spend \$500 per year on kayak fishing related gear and licenses. If 80% of my fishing opportunities are taken away, I will only be spending \$100 per year. Going to a more treacherous ocean launch is not an option for me as I am the sole income earner for my family, which consists of myself, my wife and two children. If I were injured due to a treacherous launch site, the economic impact to my family would be devastating. La Jolla is one of a kind in safety, opportunity and access.

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	249a	Derek Reed	Carlsbad	My comments are in support of External Proposals A and Lapis 2. These proposed maps appear to have cross interest support and limit access impacts that directly affect me and my family. I do not own a boat, so my access to the ocean for fishing and spear fishing is from shore or by kayak. the area south of Point La Jolla is the only major kelp bed and reef structure that is easily accessible to me and my family in San Diego County. Shore divers hve access to the inside edge of the kelp forest without having to surface swim hundreds of thousands of meters. Kayak and other small water carft can be launched from City of San Diego's public boat launch La Jolla Shores. To access areas south of Pt. La Jolla, kayak and small boat users must travers the existing La Jolla Marine Conservation Area.		I strongly oppose La Jolla 2 SMR as depicted on the Lapis 1 Draft map. Creation of this MPA would eliminate my safe access to shore based diving and kayak fishing kelp and reef habitat. There is no way to mitigate this loss of access for me and my family.	Overall I support the MLPA process and all the hard work of those involved. I would hope that given the large socioeconomic and recreational impacts that some of the proposed closures will have on the tax paying citizens of the state, that these decisions by based on science and tangible data/research and not on emotionally driving arguments or politics.
San Diego	249b	Derek Reed		This is the safest access for kayaks and the only access for those who do not have the strength to carry kayaks down long staircases, over rocks for a rocky surf launch. In my work in the environmental consulting field, I am familiar with the public process and specifically evaluating potential impacts that a proposed project may have on the local population. Eliminating access or creating a hardship on a disadvantaged or underrepresented group without appropriate mitigation does meet the guidelines of California Environmental Equality Act and exposes involved parties in future litigation. in this case, there is no way to mitigate the loss of access. This is the only area of its kind in the entire county. If the area south of Pt. La Jolla is closed to fishing, it in effect eliminates my shore based diving/spear fishing and kayak fishing.			
San Diego	250	Jeffrey Wolf	Lemon Grove	The proposals are all very staggering to look at. Being a bay fisherman mostly San Diego bay, I would go with External Prop. A. It has no effect on the South Bay, but at the same time I like the Lapis 2. I keep no fish I catch in the South Bay. I would just be concerned with the effect of the overgrowth of fish. I do go out on an occassional 1/2 and 3/4 boat and the external prop. A seems it would have the least effect for the party boats that support the San Diego tourism. I work for one of the largest conservation groups in the world, the S.D. Zoo. I know what it means to this town that survives on tourism. I feel there is a huge give and take to complete a fair process. After looking at all the proposals, External Prop. A seems it could satisfy both parties. Thank all of you for your time and effort on this project.			
San Diego	251	Kenneth Rosburg	San Diego	I support Revised External Prop. B as low impact kayak angler it has the least impact on me and my sport. La Jolla is the only safe ocean launch site in San Diego and as a kayak angler closing La Jolla will put an end to ocean kayak fishing in San Diego. It is simply unreasonable to expect me to paddle 5 or miles to fish after launching from La Jolla and revised External Prop. b would minimize the travel distance and would be the safest proposal. I find revised external proposal A to be my second choice. It minimizes closure in San Diego and would be a good alternative to Proposal B. Lapis Draft 1 would put an end to kayak fishing in San Diego and is unacceptable as are Lapis Draf2 and Opal.			

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
† = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	252a	Rick Osanai	San Diego	Lapis 1 - I am a local small business owner that specializes in kayak fishing. Kayak fishing happens to be a fast growing and relatively new sport. My business relies heavily on La Jolla and Pt. Loma to draw business. Closing La Jolla and Pt. Loma would be devastating to my business if kayakers and other recreational fishermen are not allowed to fish local waters., people will not want to purchase a kayak and could end up selling the ones they own. kayak fishing is a clean, green and every eco-friendly sport. We care about the environment more than most so-called environmentalists in fact I have a degree in resource management. i think that the solution is to put slotted limits on all species of local fish. The typical kayak fisherman only keep what is necessary to eat. In these touch economic climates I find it hard to swallow the fact that my livelihood is at stake, not because of finances but because the MLPA wants to shut down La Jolla and Pt. Loma for fishing.			
San Diego	252b	Rick Osanai		This is something we can control. My business has actually been impacted by the MLPA initiative. I have many customers who don't want to buy a kayak because of the possible closures. In a business where every kayak sale counts, this hurts big time.			
San Diego	253	Michael Weeks	San Diego	I am in favor of proposal A. It seems to meet the habitat needs. Least fiscal impact.		Lapis 1 - Too extreme. Lapis 2 - Too extreme. Opal - too extreme. Topaz - too extreme. Proposal B - probably the best. Proposal A - Probably would be the best for all parties, a reasonable middle ground.	I understand there will be closure, one must take into account the decrease of revenue. I spend money on fuel, bait, tackle, license. Family comes from Arizona and New York to fish, and spend plenty of money. If the closures are extreme how many people will be out of work? How much money will be lost to the State? A whole industry will be lost.
San Diego	254	Bailey Busch	San Diego	I do not support Lapis 1 draft MPA proposal because it closes off the kelp beds out at La Jolla Shores, an area where much of my personal recreation takes place. This proposal does not allow the area to stay open to fishermen and spearfishermen. Lapis 2 is a halfway decent proposal. I reject the closures of extractive activities of Pt. Conception, Dana Point and La Jolla. These areas should remain open for recreational use, personal fishing and spearfishing. I reject the Topaz draft proposal because of the extractive closures in Pt. Loma and La Jolla. Revised proposal External A and B are alright based upon marginal allowance for such recreational activity as spearing, diving, and fishing.		I believe all these proposals are extremely ignorant to consider at such a time of economic hardship and crisis our state is in. There is no reason to spend the time and money on this process that will simply close areas that people love and enjoy. Areas proposed, specifically in Lapis 1, notably La Jolla kelp, are paramount to mine and many others small business and livelihoods	I believe this process should consider the human and its activities more recreation fishing, spearfishing, diving, you name it, help to keep people happy and satisfied. This process should also more heavily consider the economic impact on small businesses, such as the one I work for, that are dependent upon business generated from the usage of the areas proposed to closures
San Diego	255	Garret McGufrie	San Diego	I do not support Lapis 1 because it closed off La Jolla Shores and the La Jolla kelp bed. I spend much of my summer and winter fishing rec. and sportfishing. Do not support Opal, Topaz, they close off La Jolla Shores. I support the revised External Proposal A, Lapis 2, and Proposal B. These are excellent compromises which allow me and my family to enjoy an area unique to Southern California. I work at a kayak retailer on Mission Bay and most of my business is based on the the kayak fishing industry. The loss of fishing in La Jolla will permanently cause undue economic burdens to me and my other coworkers. A business that has been on Mission Bay for 30 plus years.			The representation of the fishing industry isn't being addressed enough. The loss of jobs, and money would be so destructive to Mission Bay, Point Loma, and La Jolla.

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	256a	Ed Keil	El Cajon	I support revised external Prop A. As a kayak fisherman, keeping the La Jolla area open provides us with the safest launch for low impact recreational fishing. Our country's Declaration of Independence states that people are granted inalienable rights by our creator, those being life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. It does not guarantee the happiness, just the pursuit. The La Jolla area is my pursuit of happiness. Contrary to what has been said about fishermen, we are environmentalists. We practice catch and release, pick up trash and do what we can to preserve the area we love. I grew up fishing with my father and grandfather and hope to be fishing with my father and grandfather and hope to be fishing with my family and friends until I am no longer physically able to do so. As I stated, we participate in low impact recreational fishing. We do not return with kayaks full of fish, covered in blood and sporting new sealskin swim trunks.			
San Diego	256b	Ed Keil		Keeping the La Jolla area open gives us safe and convenient access to our sport, it supports both local business in the way of fishing and kayaking equipment and also the state, in the way fishing license fees. Thank you for considering my comments.			
San Diego	257a	Tyler Webb	San Diego	I am a kayak fisherman. I consider myself an environmentalist. I am very selective in the fish I decide to keep. Kayak fishing has a low environmental impact on the ocean and has limited opportunities in regards to ocean access, therefore I feel is unique among angling groups. As a fisherman, I feel I have the most to benefit from an ocean with clean water, healthy abundant kelp, any many fish. I support the goals of the MLPA process as I feel the ocean and all its users will benefit if implemented in a fair way. Lapis 1: completely unacceptable. See my comments on specific individual MPA's in that section. This map is very restrictive of my very limited fishing opportunities as a kayak angler in San Diego County.		Lapis Proposal 1 contains an SMR that severely limits my ocean access to kelp beds. The proposed La Jolla 2 SMR is unacceptable to me as it is drawn. I am a kayak fisherman. As you should know by now, kayak fishermen have very limited access to the ocean. In San Diego County we have one legal beach we can drive oto to unload gear, and that is La Jolla Shores. It is also has a designed "boat launch" where we don't have to dodge surfers and swimmers. la Jolla, because of its unique geography also provides a sheltered launch with minimal surf. One of my kayak fishing buddies is 70 years old. he can launch at La Jolla shores. he would struggle to even get his kayak down to many of the other beaches in San Diego County because stairways, parking lot distance to the beach and launching through the surf provides a whole different set of difficulties and dangers at these other beaches.	
San Diego	257b	Tyler Webb		I have proposed alternative solutions or acceptable compromises to me as a fisherman an environmentalist in my specific comments about individual MPAs in the proposal. Lapis 2 I have no complaints in San Diego County. The map provides many protected areas to help preserve our fisheries while still providing protection to habitat. Opal/Topaz: After the Santa Ana RSG meeting and public comment I was very happy to see 2 gem groups come to legitimate compromise maps. these two represent compromise and consensus tome. There were many complaints that some maps were too similar. I would argue that there are differences in the maps that would be substantial to many fishermen.		La Jolla also is a safe kayak fishing area for kids for all the safety reasons mentioned previously. The economic impact to the community of the La Jolla 2 SMR would also be large. As I have stated in previous submitted written commetns, recretational fishermen contribute a large amount to the local economy. Every time I go kayak fishing I use \$4500 worth of gear, all purchased at local brick and mortar stores. I own over \$10,000 worth of fishing and kayaking gear. I am not unique in the amount I have invested in the hobby. There are hundreds or thousands of kayak fishermen in Southern California with similar amounts invested.. For me personally, I would lose about 70% of my fishing grounds with the Lapis proposal 1 La Jolla 2 SMR. Because of this I would see more than a 70% reduction in fishin, and spending on fishing gear. there would be almost no point in fishing the little 30% area that is left for me.	

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	257c	Tyler Webb		For example, some proposed blue SMCAs were the same on the maps in location, but very different on take allowed. What about a fisherman that has a favorite spot in a reserve in one proposal, and the stop open in another? It would be tough to tell him the proposals are similar and will be combined as one. I argue the similar maps are because the different sides, even in the different gem groups, reached consensus. They reached a real middle group where as Ken Wiseman said quoting Sir Mick Jagger, "we can't always get what we want....but we just might get what we need". These maps aren't perfect for a fisherman, but they represent something I can live with and the massive consensus reached between the different sides within the gem groups has to be respected.		San Diego and Southern California is considered a hotbed or birthplace of modern kayak fishing and some of the leading retailers, innovators, and manufacturers in the world are located here. they would suffer, and our sport would suffer from closures, as they would struggle to remain in business. The ripples in the kayak community would be felt far beyond just the Southern California region as we are considered ground zero for kayak fishing innovation and new products. The economic impact would not be temporary. The closures are permanent and there are no plans to create new safe launch beaches for kayakers in San Diego to access the ocean to offset the potential La Jolla closure. The proposed La Jolla 2 SMR would unfairly deny us access to much of the kelp areas in which we like to fish. Other more mobile fishing groups like boaters would still have access to parts of the Imperial Beach, Pt. Loma,	
San Diego	257d	Tyler Webb		External Proposal A: This proposal allows ocean access for all angling groups. I feel it is the most fair in that respect, and does not disadvantage one group of ocean users over another. I like the SMRs in Del Mar Kelp and North Point Loma instead of La Jolla as it has less economic impact and less loss of angling opportunity yet still protects vital kelp habitat while still attempting to meet the science guidelines. External Proposal B: I wish some of the novel fisheries management guidelines in this proposal were implemented on a broader scale across all the different proposals. Slot limits work well in Florida and in the gulf for certain species, and I wish that progressive management tools like that could be applied here in a scientific way.		and Del Mar kelps in San Diego County, while kayakers would not. Since it is the best and for some, only kayak accessible kelp bed in San Diego County, I feel it is very unfair to close La Jolla to fishing, specifically kayak fishing. I would instead suggest a closure of Del Mar, Cardiff, or Imperial beach kelp beds in lieu of the proposed La Jolla 2 SMr. These areas would help a plan meet the science guidelines and minimum spacing requirements without unduly affecting kayakers, the most low impact fishing group. The alternatives I mentioned see some kayak pressure, but only from the experienced or the brave that go through the surf there. Further there are parking and beach access issues that further reduce the kayak fishing potential and access. Therefore I feel it would be best to leave La Jolla and the Scripps area as it stands right now because it is accessible to kayak fisherman of all ages, abilities, and skill levels.	
San Diego	258	John Hanson	San Diego	I oppose all the MPA proposals that call for Marine Reserves in the areas off La Jolla and Point Loma because of the adverse widespread economic impact such action will cause in the San Diego metropolitan region. Fishing and other recreational uses, such as diving, kayaking, and boating in the La Jolla and Pt. Loma areas have been important attractions for millions of residents and tourists who contribute substantially to the economy of San Diego. The La Jolla and Point Loma areas allow relatively easy access for these activities from nearby beaches via swimming and kayaks. The La Jolla area is adjacent to a large metro area and is one of the few spots where many kayakers, spearfishermen, and fishermen can fish for pelagic species, such as, yellowtail, bonito, white seabass, barracudas, etc. The economic impact of declaring reserves in La Jolla and Point Loma will be even more severe in these times of national and local economic hardship			
San Diego	259	John Hanson	San Diego	Round 2 Lapis 1 Draft MLPA Proposal	Pt. Conception to Mexican Border		

SMCA = state marine conservation area, SMP = state marine park, SMR = state marine reserve, SMRMA = state marine recreational management area
 † = person submitted duplicate comments

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	260	John Hanson	San Diego	Opal Draft	Pt. Conception to Mexican Border		If the La Jolla and Point Loma areas are closed under the MPLA I personally will lose nearly all of my current spear-fishing/diving recreational area and opportunities in the United States. Going into Mexico isn't a safe, reasonable alternative in the present World, and the fishery north of San Diego is not appealing since it lacks productivity, partially because of increased demands being put on it by metropolitan Los Angeles. In addition, I will no longer be making any economic contribution to the San Diego socio-economic infrastructure that provides a boat towing vehicle @ Mossey Toyota, gasoline for the towing vehicle and boat @ COSTCO, diving/spearfishing suits and supplies @ James and Joseph, Sport Chalet, Neptonics Systems, boat, boat-motor @ H&H marine, boat-trailer @AROS trailers boat service/repair/replacement @ Dan Perkin Marine Repair, that together average a total in excess of \$6000.00 yearly.
San Diego	261	John Hanson	San Diego	Topaz Draft	Pt. Conception to Mexican Border	As a charter boat fisherman this proposal would effectively sign my pink slip in this business that I know and love and work hard to maintain professional fishermen are indeed some of the greatest conservationists. There is no science associated with this proposal or the entire MPA legislation for that matter.	
San Diego	262	Mark Kleiter	Poway	Duplicate of SD-227 †			
San Diego	263	Mark Kleiter	Poway	Duplicate of SD-227 †			
San Diego	264	Mark Kleiter	Poway	Duplicate of SD-227 †			
San Diego	265	Frank Price	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-230 †			
San Diego	266	Frank Price	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-230 †			
San Diego	267	Brian Steeves	San Diego	Combination	Subregion 5	I support the closure of Del Mar and the Imperial Beach areas in return for the continued open use of the La Jolla and Pt. Loma areas. I believe this is a fair trade and enormous compromise on behalf of both groups. It will devastate the local economy as well as tourism that come specifically to enjoy fishing in La Jolla and Pt. Loma areas.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	268	Eric Stewart	San Diego	Lapis 2	Subregion 5	I support La Jolla and Pt. Loma remaining open to all fishing. I suggest closures at Del Mar (SMR) and Imperial Beach to satisfy MLPA requirements.	
San Diego	269	Brian Steeves	San Diego	Revised Ext. Prop A - Supporter	Subregion 7	I support the Rev. Ext. Prop. A with the exception to make the Blue Cavern a SMCA because us shore divers without a boat and camping at Two Harbors will no longer have a safe shore diving/spearfishing location. Thank you for understanding.	
San Diego	270	Brian Steeves	San Diego	Revised Ext. Prop B - Support	Subregion 4	I support the Revised External Proposal B	
San Diego	271	Eric Stewart	San Diego	Revised External Proposal A (Support)	Subregion 7	I support Rev. Ext. Proposal A with the exception that Blue Cavern remain an SMCA NOT an SMR.	
San Diego	272	Eric Stewart	San Diego	Revised External Proposal B (Support)	Subregion 4	I support revised Ext. Proposal B.	
San Diego	273	Joseph Bishop	San Diego	Lapis 2 draft	La Jolla	I am a kayak fisherman. We are an extremely conservation minded group. Our only decent launch is La Jolla shores. This proposal seems to be the best for our group, especially with regards to safety.	
San Diego	274	Joseph Bishop	San Diego	Lapis 1 Draft	La Jolla	As a kayak fisherman, La Jolla represents the safest boat launch in San Diego. This draft essentially takes away an older gentleman's only chance to launch. Especially important for returning to shore in swell.	
San Diego	275	Nathan Price	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-231 †			
San Diego	276	Nathan Price	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-231 †			
San Diego	277	Dang Vu	San Diego	Revised External Proposal B	Mia J Tegner SCMA	This is an excellent proposal. I think if it strikes the right balance between conservation and socio-economic concerns. It should not go further than 1 nm from shore as most of the kelp lies in that area, and fishing can still be accomplished relatively close.	
San Diego	278	Dang Vu	San Diego	Lapis 1	La Jolla SMR 2	I believe La Jolla SMR 2 is the wrong choice due to the vast amount of fishermen using that area coming out from Mission Bay. This area is well known for producing a decent fishery and taking this away will cause a huge loss for recreational fishing. The tourism in Mission Bay will also decline if this is closed. SMR 2 is a prime spot for 1/2 day sportboats taking tourists out fishing.	
San Diego	279	Bob Crane	Encinitas	Duplicate of SD-195 †			
San Diego	280	Bob Crane	Encinitas	Duplicate of SD-195 †			
San Diego	281	Bob Crane	Encinitas	Duplicate of SD-195 †			
San Diego	282	Bob Crane	Encinitas	Duplicate of SD-195 †			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	283	Bob Crane	Encinitas	Duplicate of SD-195 †			
San Diego	284	S. Crane	Encinitas	Lapis 2		Would Del Mar SMR prevent dredging for sand replenish elsewhere in North San Diego County? If so I oppose this. This area has been identified as a mjaor source of sand by SANDAG, 4 million cubic yards.	
San Diego	285	R. Crane	Encinitas	Lapis 2	Del Mar	Del Mar is one of th ebest sites for sand capture as part of the SANDAG beach replenish program. A SMR designation would not let this be a source for this important San diego Project. Find a way to avoid this result.	
San Diego	286	R. Crane	Encinitas	Opal	Del Mar	Concerned about having Del Mar as a SR if it prevents dredging sand for sand restoration. This area has been identified by SANDAG as the best source of beach quality sand - 4 million cubic yards.	
San Diego	287	R. Crane	Encinitas	Revised Proposal B	Del Mar	Del Mar has been identified by SANDAG as the best source of sand for beach replenishment in North San Diego County. A SMR designation would make its use or take of sand not possible. You need to avoid this result.	
San Diego	288	R. Crane	Encinitas	Lapis 1	Encinitas	Encinitas needs sand on its beaches and it is planned to add sand in 2011. Want to assure that the conservation area designation will not prevent taking sand or placing it on the beach.	
San Diego	289	B. Crane	Encinitas	Revised Proposal A	Del Mar	Sand replenishment is important for the North San Diego Conty cities. Designations need to avoid both taking sand for this purpose and placing sand at the beach SANDAG has identified Del Mar as the best source for sand. 4 million cubic yards. Encinitas needs sand badly so important not have Encinitas designated as a reserved conservation area.	
San Diego	290	Becky Twohey	San Diego	Lapis 2 (and Topaz)		SMR around Del Mar?? Why? Not much critical habitat compared to la Jolla/ Scripps per (like Lapis 1)	
San Diego	291	Becky Twohey	San Diego	Opal	San Diego	There seems to be a real lack of protected area in San Diego - La Jolla! like the SMR in Tijuana River Mouth.	
San Diego	292	Martin C. Harding	Encinitas	External Proposal A		I support for the accessibility aspect of keeping La Jolla open - safe launch, for kids, adults and the disabled. Also, semi-protected in case of sudden bad weather. Kayakers are zero to low impact, and we support our environment and repsect nature - we make sure to not leave a footprint!	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	293	Martin C. Harding	Encinitas	Lapis 1		Do not agree with Lapis 1 because it advocates the closure of La Jolla (SMR2) La Jolla is the only safe and secure, accessible beach launch. An option - create an artificial reef at Del Mar and make Del Mar a reserve.	
San Diego	294	William Vitale	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-216 †			
San Diego	295	William Vitale	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-216 †			
San Diego	296	Eric Friz	Carlsbad	La Jolla 2 SMR		Cut the no take zone in half and allow fishing in the northern quadrant. Eliminating this area completely would wipe out us local kayak fishermen.	
San Diego	297	Eric Friz	Carlsbad		La Jolla 1 & 2	Closures are not conservation, limits based upon population of species is. I have fished La Jolla for over 25 years and there have never been more white sea bass and yellowtail! Create no take times of the year - slot limits and base takes on true biology. Kayak fishermen are true conservationists and have a very small footprint. Impose laws on commercial who wipe out whole schools of fish.	
San Diego	298	Steve Aceti	Encinitas	Revised External B		For proposed SMRs at Agua Hedionda Lagoon, San Dieguito Lagoon, Del Mar, and for SMCA's proposed for Del Mar, Ocean Beach and for SMP's proposed for Batiquitos Lagoon, San Elijo Lagoon - allow for sand removal for beach restoration projects, especially in Del Mar where SANDAG has identified a major source of sand for dredging and placement on shore.	
San Diego	299	Steve Aceti	Encinitas	Revised External A		For proposed SMRS at Batiquitos Lagoon, San Elijo Lagoon, San Dieguito Lagoon, Del Mar, and Oneonta Slough. Allow for sand removal for beach restoration projects, especially in Del Mar where SANDAG has identified a major source of sand for dredging and placement on shores.	
San Diego	300	Steve Aceti	Encinitas	Topaz 2		For proposed SMRs at Agua Hedionda Lagoon, San Elijo Lagoon, San Dieguito Lagoon, Del Mar, Ocean Beach and Tijuana Estuary; as well as proposed SMCA's at SWAMIs, Los Penasquitos marsh, Ocean Beach and Imperial Beach - allow for sand removal for beach restoration projects, especially in Del Mar where SANDAG has identified a major source of sand for dredging and placement on shore.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	301	Steve Aceti	Encinitas	Opal 2	South Coast Study Region	For proposed SMR's at Batiquitos Lagoon, Del Mar, San Dieguito, Penasquitos, Sunset Cliffs and Tijuana River Mouth, as well as SMCA's at Encinitas, San Diego - Scripps, Ocean Beach & Tijuana Reef - Allow for sand removal for beach and restoration projects, especially in Del Mar where SANDAG has identified a major source of sand for dredging and placement on shores.	
San Diego	302	Steve Aceti	Encinitas	Lapis 2	Subregion 5	For proposed SMR's at Agua Hedionda, Batiquitos Lagoon, San Elijo Lagoon, San Dieguito Lagoon, Del Mar and Penasquitos Lagoon - allow for sand removal for beach restoration project; especially in Del mar where SANDAG has identified a major source of sand for dredging and pumping on shore. Same for Tijuana Estuary and River Mouth.	
San Diego	303	Steve Aceti	Encinitas	Lapis 1	Subregion 5	For proposed SMR's at Agua Hedionda, Batiquitos Lagoon, San Elijo Lagoon, San Dieguito Lagoon, and Penasquitos Lagoon and the SMCA at Swami's - San Elijo - Allow for sand removal for beach restoration projects especially at Swami's - San Elijo same with respect to Tijuana Estuary and River Mouth.	
San Diego	304	Trevor Blaun	San Diego	Lapis 2 Draft MPA Proposal		I support the Lapis 2 proposal as is.	
San Diego	305	Trevor Blaun	San Diego	Lapis 1	La Jolla 2 SMR	As a kayak based angler, the La Jolla 2 SMR in the Lapis 1 proposal eliminates the majority of the fishing grounds accessible via kayak when launching from the sheltered launch at Avendia de la Playa.	
San Diego	306	Larry Asakawa	La Jolla	Lapis 1	La Jolla	I strongly oppose Lapis 1. It will eliminate most fishing and spearfishing opportunities from La Jolla encourage unnatural harbor seal expansion (a shark hazard for swimmers, divers, and surfers) and hurt marine businesses throughout San Diego.	
San Diego	307	Larry Asakawa	La Jolla	La Jolla	La Jolla	I strongly oppose any expansion of the La Jolla SMR. It will restrict traditional fishing and spearfishing access, encourage harbor seal numbers (and shark attacks) and harm local businesses that have income from fishermen and spearfishing freedivers.	
San Diego	308	Dustin McIntyre	San Diego	Revised external Prop B	Subregion 5	Out of the 6 proposals this one is more spearfishing friendly. My family and I would have better access in La Jolla. I wish there would still be access to Sunset Cliffs. The proposal would eliminate any shorediving/ lobster diving in that area which is now used abundantly with little eco impact.	
San Diego	309	Dustin McIntyre	San Diego	Revised External Prop A	Subregion 7	Support w/exception: Blue Cavern should be an SMCA.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	310	Carl Stewart	San Diego	revised External Prop B		I am in support of this proposal! We need to have the "blue" areas. We will accomplish management goals without destroying livelihoods and lifestyles. Also we will be able to compare the results of the blue and red zones with something resembling scientific method, not just blanket closures resulting from emotion and naivete.	
San Diego	311	Carl Stewart	San Diego	Lapis 2 - Draft MPA Proposal	Carlsbad, CA/Mexico border	I would be willing to support this proposal with the provision that surf fishing be allowed in the area of Torrey Pines Beach. Even if it's strictly catch and release. This is one of the few areas of sandy beach readily accessible to anglers for nites both north and south. The fish can readily access these areas however, enabling them to maintain an already healthy population.	
San Diego	312	Dennis Kilian	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-234 †			
San Diego	313	Dennis Kilian	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-234 †			
San Diego	314	Gail Powell	San Diego	Famosa Slough SMR	San Diego Region	Question: "As a friend of Famosa Slough, could the MLPA also dictate "no dogs allowed - either leashed or unleashed" predation by loose or unleashed dogs has harmed or killed endangered bird, sea life and rehabilitated areas and there is no enforcement by City or Park and Rec. Officials"	
San Diego	315	Gail Powell	Imperial Beach	Oneonta Slough	San Diego (south bay)	Re: Proposed Oneonta MLPA Slough: Will it be affected by pollution in the Tijuana River area.	
San Diego	316	Scott Sherman	San Diego	Revised External Prop A	Study Region	I strongly support revised External A please see attached comments.	
San Diego	317	Tyler Roges	San Diego	Draft MPA Proposal Topaz	San Diego River	I would like to strongly suggest that you implement Draft Marine Protection Act Proposal Topaz. I am deeply concerned about the state of the world's oceans and this proposal would be the most protective of our California's Marine Wildlife.	
San Diego	318	Chris Fieno	San Diego	Lapis 1	So. Cal	Lapis 1 would devastate the kayak fishing heritage and sport. The launch we need is off Ave. de la Playa for safety. Pushing kayakers 3 miles off shore is not safe.	
San Diego	319	Peter Thompson	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-174 †			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	320	Corey Wyrick	San Diego	Lapis 1	La Jolla 2 SMR	In addition to my commetns previously submitted on another sheet, I would like to add two additional ideas that could produce a compromise regarding La Jilla 2 SMR. I previously suggested shfting this area to the south by 1/2 - 1 miles to accommodate our productive kayak fishing grounds, but two other possibilities would produce an acceptable outcome for kayak fishermen. If La Jolla 2 SMR could be reclassified as an SMCA or SMP which would allow for the take of pelagic fin fish including yellowtail, white seabass, and halibut, I would be happy with that. I think this idea might make a compromise more likely in the event that an additional MPA in La Jolla moves forward.	
San Diego	321	Nick Menas	La Jolla	MLPA Round 2 Revised External proposal B	South Coast Study Region	this is the only proposal that does not directly impact the activities of the public. All other restrictive and unacceptable.	
San Diego	322	Victor Vasquez	San Diego	Round 2 Lapis Draft MPA Proposal	La Jolla 1 & 2 SMR	Please keep the 2 areas listed as State Marine Reserves. Way too much scientific significance to tamper with this area. Many years of studies as well as unique habitat makes this a must keep protected area as part if this decision making process. Thanks for taking the time to read this commentary.	
San Diego	323	Kurt Schinder	San Diego	Lapis 1	La Jolla 2 SMR	As a kayak fisherman, this SMR would take away the only SAFE launch spot in San Diego County for kayak fishermen. If the northern boundary could be shifted south 1 mile/1.5 miles, it might be more acceptable.	
San Diego	324	Alberto Silebi	San Diego	External A or B		I prefer External B or A.	
San Diego	325	Christopher Davis	La Jolla	Proposal B		less of Catalina, Palos Verdes, and OB needs to be taken.	
San Diego	326	Boyd Elden	Imperial Beach	Proposal B or A		I am in favor of Proposal B. I need access to Pt. Loma and La Jolla for my kayak stuff or I will not be able to fish, any compromises that include white seabass, yellowtail and lobster will help sweeten the deal and make partial (blue) closures more acceptable.	
San Diego	327	Volker Hoene	San Diego	Ex. A			
San Diego	328	John Otto	San Diego	External Proposal A		I support External Proposal A. What I really don't understand why the government chooses now to get involved. As fishermen we've made reefs, helped improve fish stalks, we don't want to mess up our coast like the East Coast. Just closing areas doesn't work La Jolla been closed for 30 plus years is it better or has anybody even studied it maybe see if that worked first.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	329	Renee Owens	San Diego		near Ca/Mexico border	As a wildlife biologist education in marine biology and chair of the Sierra Club's Conservation Committee, I am very disappointed to see that none of the proposals include a much larger SMR adjacent to the Tijuana River Estuary and River Mouth!! California has lost over 90% of our estuaries, and I shouldn't have to tell the RSG how vital the remaining estuaries are to our natural heritage. there can be no great "compromise" that will bring back these lost estuaries, and thus establishing a much LARGER than mapped reserve is crucial to CA. To summarize I'd like to see a MPA - a Reserve- that truly promises to help keep the Tijuana River shed mouth preserved with true conservative initiative.	
San Diego	330	Vern Hall	Solana Beach	External Proposal A		Keep Pt. Loma and la Jolla open to fishermen.	
San Diego	331	John McMahon	Del Mar	Duplicate of SD-191 †			
San Diego	332	Nathan Jovin	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-205 †			
San Diego	333	Justin McNight	Imperial Beach	Revised External Proposal A		is one of the better maps if you can move La Jolla south and leave La Jolla like existing MPAs (proposal O) Please do not close La Jolla My kids love to fish La Jolla	
San Diego	334	John Principato	El Cajon	Duplicate of SD-208 †			
San Diego	335	Julia Coates	San Diego	Opal and Topaz	Carsbad - Border	I would be pelased to see some extensions of reserve coverage in La Jolla from just the existing reserve at the cove and therefore prefer the Opal and Topaz proposals. I am dosappointed to not see a little more coverage at South Pt. Loma where densities of urchins, abalone, sheedhead, etc. are higher than in the north but at least the Opal and Topaz proposals give some expansion of the Tegner Reserve.	
San Diego	336	Ron Cummings	San Diego	Round 2 Revision B		Acceptable.	
San Diego	337	Mary Grathowski	San Diego			I would like you to take into consideration which proposal will not impact the community. Many ideas will hurt our kayak fishing sport along with putting many people out of work. Find other means to preserve rather than destroy.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	338	Ryan Sweeney	San Diego		Sub region 5	Keep Pt. Loma and La Jolla open because.....1) Traveling further to reach fishing grounds burns more fuel - leaves more carbon footprint 2) These areas are healthier and can better support the more crowded fishing pressure 3) Shore based anglers and kayakers need these areas. PS. I'll be really pissed if my fishing licens fees ae allocated to pay for closures initiated by private interest eco groups that disregard adequate scientific data.	
San Diego	339	Diana Lopez	Chula Vista	Duplicate of SD-217 †			
San Diego	340	Thomas Wardin	Solana Beach	External proposal A		This is the one I would prefer to choose.	
San Diego	341	Chad Reed	El Cajon	Duplicate of SD-192 †			
San Diego	342	William Fender	Del Mar	Revised External Proposal B	Subregion 5	This proposal seems to balance habitat preservation in sensitive areas with recreational fishing along the San Diego Coast. I support an approach which limits SMR's and is adaptive to the improvements in the marine environment. Suggest imposing limits on commercial sportfishing as data indicates. These limits are already part of DFG activities.	
San Diego	343	Jeff Klin	San Diego		Subregion 5	As a kayak fisherman I have enjoyed many days in La Jolla sportfishing. Any proposal that restricts or closes fishing in that area is unacceptable. This takes away from my personal enjoyment on the weekends along with thousands of dollars from the local economy. Specifically boats that cater to sport fishing will be greatly impacted if not closed for business. Please consider these aspects when considering actions that will forever change fishing in San Diego. La Jolla is the only accessible area for big game fishing on kayaks. Keep it open to the public!	
San Diego	344	John Schad	Encinitas	Lapis 1		Oppose this proposal because it would create a conservation area in Encinitas making it difficult or impossible for sand replenishment.	
San Diego	345	James Finnell	San Diego	External Proposal B	Carlsbad - Mexico	I am a strong advocate and supporter of External Proposal B. I have fished for years in La Jolla and would like to see External B to be in effect.	
San Diego	346	Sarah Finnell	San Diego	External Proposal B		My husband and his friends spend absurd amounts of money fishing the San Diego Coast - that is a ton of revenue the county will lose if these areas are not available to be fished. We will actually probably move out of the county if the coast areas are closed to fishing.	
San Diego	347	Pat Powers	Encinitas	Duplicate of SD-188 †			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	348	Rod Hepburn	Ramona	Lapis 1 - NO	La Jolla	This is bad for me. I swim between Casa and the cove in La Jolla and any increase in seal population is potentially lethal due to increase of predators - SHARKS!!	
San Diego	349	Shawn Patterson	Ocean Beach		5	Closing La Jolla / Pt. Loma would be extremely harsh to the local economy. La Jolla/ Pt. Loma are the closest areas to Mission Beach and San Diego Bay marinas. Del Mar is not easily accessible by land and further away. Myself as a spearo take minimal fish anyway. Spearshack fishing gear in Ocean Beach will no doubt be out of business if you close down these 2 areas. Mark Morgans dad is on the edge of dying and Mark depends on that income to make hospital bills. Closing either area will no doubt push more of the business out of San Diego area. the La Jolla/ Pt. Loma areas are the only places I can go. We make little environmental impact and most of us contribute to HUBBS white seabass program anyway. Leave them open. You don't even have enough money to run or enforce this program. California is hurting. If you close this area I will lose my only form of exercise since I was hit by a drunk driver in '06.	
San Diego	350	Tommy Robinson	University City	La Jolla Kelp		Working in La Jolla for a kayak company puts me on the chopping block if this proposal goes through. I would most definitely be laid off because of loss of business. La Jolla is a vital part of my entire income.	
San Diego	351	Patricia Adams	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-197 †			
San Diego	352	Michael Mesri	La Jolla			Lapis 1 proposal - does not make any sense closing La Jolla altogether. Why not limit the take more? Lapis 2 makes a lot more sense. Opal and Topaz drafts also make more sense. Please do not close La Jolla. That's a way of living and sustaining a family for us.	
San Diego	353	Mark Adams	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-198 †			
San Diego	354	Gary Sullivan	Spring Valley	round 2 revised external proposal A	La Jolla	Please leave this area just as it is. This is the only fishing grounds easily available for me to access with my kayak.	
San Diego	355	Drew Clark	Vista	External B	La Jolla	By protecting consumptive use in La Jolla we protect several business interests who rely on the pelagic fishing found there. Were La Jolla to be closed I would no longer have reason to purchase kayaks, fishing licenses or gear and would probably consider relocation. My recreation is important and the few fish taken each year provide food for my family.	
San Diego	356	Eric Disque	Oceanside	Duplicate of SD-201 †			

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	357	Grant Milbrand	San Diego	Lapis 1 draft MPA proposal	La Jolla 2 SMR	The La Jolla 2 SMR Marine reserve is excessive and unacceptable. Please please please do not take away the ability to fish for pelagics i.e. white sea bass and yellowtail in this area. To do so would not only cripple the local economy it would destroy the cultural heritage in La Jolla. Size limits and reduced bag limits are the answer.	
San Diego	358	John White	San Diego	Opal and Lapis	San Diego	In the Lapis draft I am concerned about the La Jolla 1 SMR. This would cause grave financial hardship to our charter and tackle business in Mission Bay. Our charter fleet is 80% catch and release. The proposed closures in Opal would minimize damage to our shop. If the BRTF were to combine Opal and Lapis it would devastate our business, not to mention those are the areas I fish 80% of the time (100% catch and release on non pelagics)	
San Diego	359	Derek Reed	Carlsbad	Duplicate of SD-249 †			
San Diego	360	Eric Pugh	San Diego	Lapis 1		The closure of the La Jolla fishing grounds will affect me by not being able to catch pelagic fish. I fish from a kayak and this is the only place I can get to. I will no longer be able to fish here. I would also like to take my daughter fishing here one day. I spend thousands of \$ to local retailers to support my fishing. It is also great exercise to keep me healthy. I would much rather see Lapis 2 in effect. Please do not close La Jolla fishing grounds down!!	
San Diego	361	Anthony Lutkis	San Diego	Revised Proposal External 2 b	Aqua Hedlonda to CA/Mexico Border	I believe that this proposal is the perfect win-win situation some areas is closed for habitat rehabilitation and some is open for fishing.	
San Diego	362	Dave Easton	San Diego	Lapis 1 draft		I am a kayak fisherman. Eliminating La Jolla will essentially prevent me from my catch and release practice there and take out of any safe launching areas. Kayakers might accept shaving off part of the proposal or moving it a little bit south.	
San Diego	363	Josh Muller	San Diego	Proposal B	Subregion 5	I agree most with this proposal. I would suggest a green zone for all areas.	
San Diego	364	Richard Brown	San Diego	Revised External Proposal B	7	As a recreational boater - who travels the channel islands almost every summer, I feel Proposal B is too restrictive. It would make it very difficult for us 3-4 people to live off the gear on our annual vacation. We support the existing Proposal O.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	365	Craig Rothok	La Jolla	Revised External Proposal B		I think revised external proposal B best represents the type of conservation necessary to ensure protection of marine life while at the same time, allowing minimal interference with fishing. The majority of the fisherman that I know fish local kelp beds are strictly catch and release. I feel as if protecting the proposed areas without completely closing them will result in the best case scenario. I think stricter "catch limits" will help deter commercial fishing while still protecting your average catch and release recreational fisherman such as myself.	
San Diego	366	Patrick Moevich	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-214 †			
San Diego	367	Dennis Kilian	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-234 †			
San Diego	368	Greg Jones	La Jolla	Lapis 1	La Jolla	I oppose Lapis because it would wipe out human fishing; I've been pole fishing with my sons since they were preschool at wipeout and windsea beach. Meanwhile, seals eat 16 lbs. a day. We should be able to fish too. All kayaks, free rivers, half day boats would be banned - that's silly!! Free access for all including seals. But lifeguards, fish and game, police, Fed. intervention. there are more than enough rules and regulations. leave it alone.	
San Diego	369	Jerry Freer	San Diego			I'm happy with the idea of a preserve as long as the access to the only beach that I surf is not compromised. It is only 200 yards from the children's pool in La Jolla and the increase in seal population threatens to expand to my beach and they would pup where I enter the water.	
San Diego	370	Rob Peterson	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-219 †			
San Diego	371	Gary Brown	Imperial Beach		Carlsbad, CA - Mexico	Please ensure that fishing, surfing, scuba diving, and other recreational activities are allowed from the northern boundary of IB to the southern end of Seacoast Drive. Also allow off-shore dredging for sand replenishment on IB Beaches.	
San Diego	372	John Volker	La Jolla	La Jolla SMR & SMCA, External A & B		Do not restrict recreational fishing - particularly spearfishing.	
San Diego	373	Rick Osanai	San Diego	Duplicate of SD-252 †			
San Diego	374	Alex Khalil	San Diego	External A	South Coast	I strongly support External A. I strongly oppose Lapis 1 as it adversely affects all fishing in the La Jolla area while at the same time does not allow fish populations to grow because it will cause increases in the seal population, which ultimately impacts fish and environment much more than fishing.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	375	Bob Kruger	Vista	Duplicate of SD-226 †			
San Diego	376	Jose Santiago	San Diego	Lapis 1		La Jolla 2 SMR is a very popular spot for spearfishing visibility is the best of all spot in San Diego. Spearfishermen respect and chose carefully on what to take, we do very little damage to the sea life there. There are many people for example college students people who lost their jobs or having hard time finding money for food go to look for food for the week.	
San Diego	377	Don Irvine	San Diego	External Proposal A		Given the need for a higher degree of marine protection, I support External A. It provides improved protection while still providing some access to fishing areas by those in small inexpensive boats like mine. Many of the other proposals include La Jolla South. if both it and the Pt. Loma/Sunset Cliffs area are off limits, I may as well gie up fishing. I can't safely go any further. I am a retired firefighter.	
San Diego	378	Thomas	San Diego			Question: Is it cleared with every place in Fish and Game or is it completely run by Sacramento??	
San Diego	379	Jason Swarthout	Carlsbad	Round 2 Revised External Proposal A	5	This proposal makes the most sense to me, as I live in this area and have enjoyed th easy access and very productive recreational fishing it has to offer 20 plus years. I primarily fish from a kayak now and closing down areas, such as La Jilla would dramatically decrease my opportunities for providing my family with fresh seafood and the enjoyment of doing what I love to od. I could go on about the effects it would have on the local economy, but that seems pretty obvious. Thank you for your time and effort to realize the negative outcome closing this area down would cause.	
San Diego	380	Phil Estill	Carlsbad	Round 2 Revised External A	La Jolla SMR	I like that it gives me access to beach at La Jolla because I kayak fish and that beachhead has the smallest waves with fish within a 7 mile reach.	
San Diego	381	Amur Haseuin	San Diego	Revised External Proposal B		Of all the proposals, this one is the most acceptable. It is more fairly balanced between what the community wants and what the environmentalists want. Both of the MPA proposals have too strict restrictions on the La Jolla area.	
San Diego	382	B. Robinson	San Diego	Lapis 2 MPA, Revised External B, Cabrillo SMR, Sunset Cliffs, La Jolla SMR		We are 3 generations which boat fish, dive and spear. We enjoy all areas listed above. If this takes place we would sell the boat and leave. My wife said "You could stay at home and save over \$14,000/year.	
San Diego	383	Al Hussainy	El Cajon	FIC/FIN	Ext. A	I support this proposal as it is based on true science and developed with both recreational and commercial input. This proposal best represents the interests of the people of Southern California.	

California MLPA Initiative South Coast Project
Public Comments on Draft MPA Proposals Received from Public Open Houses as of July 20, 2009 (draft version)
DRAFT Revised July 29, 2009

Location	#	Name	City of Residence	Comments specific to an MPA Proposal	Subregion	Comments specific to an individual MPA	General comment about overall process
San Diego	384	Margaret Beuchamp	San Diego	External A and Lapis 2	South Coast	I am a kayak fisherwoman. I want the recommendations for the External A map into the Lapis 2 map to limit closures to La Jolla for kayak fishing.	
San Diego	385	Jim Lambert	San Diego	External A & Lapis 2 maps		I am a kayak fisherman. Please incorporate the external A map into the Lapis 2 map to limit closures to La Jolla for kayak fishing.	
San Diego	386	Paul Elder	San Diego	Lapis 1 & 2 SMP		Size limits, reduced bag limits. No need for blanket closures. If any species is in danger, make it off limits. Most fisherpeople only want yellowtail, white seabass, halibut and maybe calico. Will commercial interest be able to gill net outside of the reserves?	
San Diego	387	David Hornback	La Jolla	Duplicate of SD-244 †			
San Diego	388	Marco Hotch	La Jolla	Lapis 1		As an avid diver and fisherman I feel this proposal offers the best protection for our marine resources. I currently spearfish and kayak fish many areas that will be closed but I'm ok with that.	
San Diego	389	Mike Farris	Santee	External Proposal A & B	South coast	La Jolla - limit protected to the extreme southern end to the entrance to Mission Bay. Pt Loma - limit protected areas south of the Ocean Beach Pier to the College. IB - limit slosure areas to the extreme south end of the kelp. SD Bay - external A & B are ok. I like catch and release areas for the South of the Coronado Bridge. No live bait, barbed hooks, etc.	
Laguna Beach Beach	390	Greg O'Loughlin	Laguna Beach	All Draft Proposals-Are missing an existing preserve in South Laguna between Table Rock and Camel Point(South of Aliso Creek) I was not expecting to lose a named preserved through this process. All Draft Proposals-Reserves (in red) lack reserve protection for all of Laguna beach. I live above Table Rock and I would like to see a reserve cover the entire city borders of Laguna Beach. External Proposal C- is missing from these maps. I have to hope that the SAT will still consider this as a better starting point as None of the proposals posted here today seem to meet the minimum SAT requirements. Thanks for the opportunity to give feedback.		External Proposal B is almost a joke with its lack of protections-should have been tossed when ext. prop. C went downstream.	Great improvements from what I saw on the Cental Coast. I am concerned that the stakeholder group is so heavily loaded with consumptive users but I am trusting this system to allow that strong representation up front, follwed by serious conservation later in the process.
Laguna Beach Beach	391	Barbara Brown	Laguna Beach	Specific Recommendation: I believe that all of Laguna Beach should be declared an SMR. Laguna is unique in so many ways that it is the perfect location to create an environment where we can give the Marine Life an opportunity to regenerate. Laguna's #1 industry is tourism-not fishing . I want to see our coastline protected-we owe this to future generations.			

