The document provides an overview of the April 2008 North Central Coast MPA proposals as presented to the MLPA Master Plan Science Advisory Team. The presentation was given by Mary Gleason, Principal Planner for the California MLPA Initiative.
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### Evolution of NCCRSN MPA Proposals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Round</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Number of Proposals</th>
<th>Included Proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round 1-October ’07 (10 options*)</td>
<td>October ’07</td>
<td>10 options*</td>
<td>Proposal 0 (Existing MPAs), 6 NCCRSN MPA Options, 4 Draft External Proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 2-December ’07 (5 draft proposals*)</td>
<td>December ’07</td>
<td>5 draft proposals*</td>
<td>Proposal 0 (Existing MPAs), 4 Draft NCCRSN Proposals, 1 Revised Draft External Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round 3-March ’08 (3 final proposals*)</td>
<td>March ’08</td>
<td>3 final proposals*</td>
<td>Proposal 0 (Existing MPAs), 3 NCCRSN Proposals (1-3, 2-XA, 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total does not include Proposal 0
BRTF Recommendation to FGC

BRTF Meeting- April 22-23, ’08
(4 MPA proposals + existing MPAs)
To be forwarded to California Fish and Game Commission:
• Proposal 0 (Existing MPAs)
• 3 NCCRSG Proposals (1-3, 2-XA, 4)
• Integrated Preferred Alternative (IPA) as recommended by the MLPA BRTF
  – Developed at the BRTF meeting
  – Integrated elements from all three NCCRSG proposals

Proposal 0 (Existing MPAs)

13 MPAs (4% of study region)
• 1 state marine reserve (<1%)
• 10 state marine conservation areas
• 2 state marine parks

0 state marine recreational management areas

0 special closures
NCCRSG Proposal 1-3

23 MPAs (22% of study region)
- 12 state marine reserves (11%)
- 10 state marine conservation areas
- 1 state marine park

0 state marine recreational management areas

7 special closures

NCCRSG Proposal 2-XA

18 MPAs (18% of study region)
- 9 state marine reserves (9%)
- 8 state marine conservation areas
- 1 state marine park

3 state marine recreational management areas (< 1%)

5 special closures
NCCRSG Proposal 4

28 MPAs (27% of study region)

- 15 state marine reserves (14%)
- 12 state marine conservation areas
- 1 state marine park

0 state marine recreational management areas

7 special closures

Integrated Preferred Alternative

22 MPAs (20% of study region)

- 11 state marine reserves (11%)
- 9 state marine conservation areas
- 2 state marine parks

2 state marine recreational management areas (< 1%)

6 special closures
Area in MPAs By Designation

Comparison of Existing MPAs, NCCRSG MPA Proposals, & Integrated Preferred Alternative by Designation

Area in MPAs by Level of Protection

Comparison of Existing MPAs, NCCRSG MPA Proposals, & Integrated Preferred Alternative by Level of Protection

Note: For the purposes of the summary by designation, area within SMRMAs has been included under "SMR."